

**Exhibit 8**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-○○-

JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (L) REFERRING PARTIES TO MEDIATION, APPOINTING MEDIATOR AND GRANTING RELATED RELIEF AND (II) APPROVING STIPULATION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY FILED BY THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF THE FRANCISCAN FRIARS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. LAFFERTY  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor and Debtor- ROBERT G. HARRIS, ESQ.

In-Possession: Binder Malter Harris & Rome-Banks  
LLP  
2775 Park Avenue  
Santa Clara, CA 95050  
(408)295-1700

Special Counsel for Tort  
and Insurance Issues for  
the Debtor:

BRIAN P. BROSNAHAN, ESQ.  
Cornerstone Law Group  
48 Gold Street  
1st Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
(415)974-1900

1 For the Associated  
2 Ministries: MICHAEL ST. JAMES, ESQ.  
3 St. James Law, P.C.  
4 236 West Portal Avenue  
5 Suite 305  
6 San Francisco, CA 94127  
7 (415)391-7566

8 For Franciscan Ministries: CHRISTIAN M. KEINER, ESQ.  
9 Dannis Woliver Kelley  
10 555 Capitol Mall  
11 Suite 645  
12 Sacramento, CA 95814  
13 (916)978-4040

14 For Official Committee of BRENT WEISENBERG, ESQ.  
15 Unsecured Creditors: JEFFREY D. PROL, ESQ.  
16 Lowenstein Sandler LLP  
17 One Lowenstein Drive  
18 Roseland, NJ 07068  
19 (973)597-2490

20 MORGAN K. STIPPEL, ESQ.  
21 TIMOTHY W. BURNS, ESQ.  
22 Burns Bair LLP  
23 10 East Doty Street  
24 Suite 600  
25 Madison, WI 53703  
 (608)286-2302

26 GABRIELLE L. ALBERT, ESQ. (VIA  
27 ZOOM)  
28 Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP  
29 425 Market Street  
30 26th Floor  
31 San Francisco, CA 94105  
32 (415)364-6791

33 For Aetna ACE: TANCRED V. SCHIAVONI, ESQ.  
34 O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
35 7 Times Square  
36 New York, NY 10036  
37 (212)326-2000

38 For General Insurance  
39 Company of America: KAITLIN R. WALSH, ESQ.  
40 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,  
41 Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.  
42 919 Third Avenue,  
43 New York, NY 10022  
44 (212)935-3000

**eScribers, LLC**

1 ELLEN MACDONALD FARRELL, ESQ.  
2 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,  
3 Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.  
4 555 12th Street, Northwest  
Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20004  
(202)434-7300

5 For The Travelers  
Companies, Inc.:  
6 ANDREW D. TELLES WYATT, ESQ.  
7 Dentons US LLP  
8 4675 Macarthur Court  
Suite 1250  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
(949)732-373

9 PATRICK C. MAXCY, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
10 Dentons US LLP  
11 233 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 5900  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312)876-8000

12 For London Market  
13 Insurers:  
14 TIMOTHY W. EVANSTON, ESQ.  
15 Skarzynski Marick & Black LLP  
633 West Fifth Street  
26th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
213)721-0650

16 For Zurich American  
17 Insurance Company:  
18 FRANK J. PERCH, III, ESQ. (VIA  
ZOOM)  
White and Williams LLP  
1650 Market Street  
Suite 1800  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215)864-7000

19 For Transcontinental  
20 Insurance Company:  
21 MIRANDA H. TURNER, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
Plevin & Turner LLP  
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue,  
Northwest  
Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20006  
202.580.6640

22

23

24

25

**eScribers, LLC**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Court Recorder: PHYLLIS WRIGHT  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
1300 Clay Street  
Oakland, CA 94612

Transcriber: RIVER WOLFE  
eScribers, LLC  
7227 N. 16th Street  
Suite #207  
Phoenix, AZ 85020  
(800) 257-0885

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript provided by transcription service.

**eScribers, LLC**

## **Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

5

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 1, 2025, 10:38 AM

2 -oo-

3                   (Call to order of the Court.)  
4                   THE CLERK: Calling line item number 12, Franciscan  
5 Friars of California, Inc, case number 23-41723. Transferring  
6 over parties now, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay. There'll be a few appearances in  
8 the courtroom. Why don't we start with those?

9 MR. HARRIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Harris  
10 of Binder Malter Harris & Rome-Banks, representing the debtor  
11 and debtor-in-possession, Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else here with you for the  
13 debtor today?

14 MR. BROSNANAH: Yes, Your Honor. Brian Brosnahan.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and get up to a  
16 microphone.

17 MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

18 | THE COURT: Yeah.

19 MR. BROSNAHAN: Brian Brosnahan. I am special counsel  
20 for tort and insurance issues for Franciscan Friars of  
21 California, Inc., the debtor.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thanks.

23 MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else for the debtor?

25 Anybody else for parties related to the debtor?

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

6

1                   MR. ST. JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael St.  
2 James appearing on behalf of the associated ministries.

3                   THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Okay.

4                   MR. KEINER: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris Keiner  
5 for Franciscan ministries. We're a designated mediation  
6 party.

7                   THE COURT: Okay. How about for the committee?

8                   MR. WEISENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Brent  
9 Weisenberg of Lowenstein Sandler on behalf of the committee.  
10 With me is my partner Jeff Prol.

11                  THE COURT: Okay. Morning.

12                  MR. PROL: Morning, Your Honor.

13                  MS. STIPPEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Atty. Morgan  
14 Stippel from Burns Bair, appearing on behalf of the UCC, and  
15 alongside with me is Atty. Tim Burns.

16                  THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.

17                  Anybody else in the courtroom appearing on Franciscan  
18 Friars? Oh, you go ahead. Yes. We'll do the -- we're doing  
19 the courtroom first. Go ahead.

20                  MR. SCHIAVONI: Tancred Schiavoni, Your Honor, from  
21 O'Melveny for Pacific.

22                  Your Honor, however you want to proceed, but I think I  
23 might be able to just tell you sort of where we are. I really  
24 appreciate the break you gave us. And then --

25                  THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. I've got some other

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

7

1       appearances on screen.

2           MR. SCHIAVONI: Oh, okay. Fine.

3           THE COURT: Can we do those?

4           MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah.

5           THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the courtroom?

6           Come on up.

7           MS. WALSH: Good morning, Your Honor. Kaitlin Walsh  
8 from Mintz, Levin on behalf of General Insurance Company of  
9 America.

10          THE COURT: Okay. Great.

11          MS. FARRELL: And Ellen Farrell, also of Mintz, Levin  
12 on behalf of General Insurance Company of America.

13          THE COURT: Great. Nice to see you.

14          MS. FARRELL: Yeah.

15          Mr. WYATT: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew Wyatt  
16 from Dentons on behalf of Travelers.

17          THE COURT: Okay.

18          MR. EVANSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Timothy  
19 Evanston of Skarzynski Merick & Black, appearing on behalf of  
20 the London Market insurers.

21          THE COURT: Okay. That takes care of the courtroom  
22 appearances for Franciscan Friars.

23          Okay. How about on the screen? There's a couple of  
24 other folks, I think.

25          MS. ALBERT: Good morning, Your Honor.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

8

1                   MR. PERCH: Good morning, Your Honor. Frank Perch For  
2 Zurich.

3                   THE COURT: Okay.

4                   MS. ALBERT: Good morning, Your Honor. Gabrielle L.  
5 Albert, Keller Benvenutti Kim, on behalf of the committee.

6                   THE COURT: Okay.

7                   MS. TURNER: Your Honor, Good morning. Miranda  
8 Turner, Plevin & Turner, on behalf of Trans Continental  
9 Insurance Company.

10                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

11                  MR. MAXCY: Good morning, Patrick Maxcy, Dentons US  
12 LLP, for travelers.

13                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

14                  I think that's all the appearances.

15                  So we're sort of running up against each other here,  
16 and we had scheduled a hearing in Oakland diocese for 10:30.  
17 So unless Mr. Weisenberg is going to be substantively  
18 consolidate Oakland and Franciscan Friars, which I guess we  
19 should think about, right, we'll go forward separately.

20                  MR. WEISENBERG: Your Honor, we're open to any  
21 creative ideas to get to yes.

22                  THE COURT: Okay. Fine. All right. Come on up.  
23 It's your motion. But I'm looking forward to hearing Mr.  
24 Schiavoni's description of great progress being made.

25                  MR. HARRIS: Absolutely, Your Honor. Thank you.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

9

1 Well, you may have noticed we have a rather unique seating  
2 chart for today.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. HARRIS: You have the debtor and the committee on  
5 the same side of the table, at the same table, in fact.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. HARRIS: And that is reflective of our alignment  
8 in the relief we seek.

9 THE COURT: Right.

10 MR. HARRIS: I will let you know that just in terms of  
11 responsibility today, Mr. Brosnahan will be discussing all  
12 matters pertaining to mediation and insurance. I'll be  
13 handling matters pertaining to relief from stay and otherwise  
14 general bankruptcy.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. HARRIS: The break in the hall may have pushed us  
17 towards a potential resolution on the mediation question and --

18 THE COURT: But not on the relief from stay question?

19 MR. HARRIS: No, not on the relief from stay, Your  
20 Honor. We believe that the insurers -- well, well, don't want  
21 to say too much for that on their behalf, but we think the  
22 insurers are willing to go forward with the mediation on the  
23 scheduled date, with the concept that it is effectively a  
24 phonathon.

25 THE COURT: Yeah, that was my reaction, too, that it

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

10

1 sounded as if it was, let's see who's here and some structure.  
2 Right.

3 MR. HARRIS: Right. And it's --

4 THE COURT: Yeah.

5 MR. HARRIS: So we have been working on a mediation  
6 order.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. HARRIS: I think you may have picked up from the  
9 papers that one of the reasons we didn't get before you on  
10 mediation earlier is because there was a disagreement between  
11 the committee and the insurers on the form of order.

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. HARRIS: So perhaps Your Honor would like to poll  
14 the courtroom and authorize parties to speak on the mediation  
15 motion first, and then we can get to relief from stay?

16 THE COURT: I suppose. I mean, I think, if I read  
17 maybe it was Mr. Brosnahan's input or maybe it was the  
18 committee's, that there were a couple of issues that were  
19 really nonissues. There were a couple of matters that could be  
20 fixed in the order that would be responsive to some of the  
21 insurers' concerns. So unless there's been a further  
22 development there, it sounds like maybe there's really just one  
23 or two matters that were still contentious.

24 MR. BROSNAHAN: There are just a couple, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

11

1           MR. HARRIS: And perhaps I'll defer to Mr. Brosnahan  
2 here.

3           THE COURT: Okay. Come on up.

4           MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. As Mr. Harris  
5 noted, our principal objective is to get the mediation  
6 started --

7           THE COURT: Um-hum.

8           MR. BROSNAHAN: -- on May 14, and I hope the insurers  
9 are now prepared to do that. The first two issues that were  
10 addressed in our brief, I think, have been resolved. One had  
11 to do with --

12          THE COURT: The payment.

13          MR. BROSNAHAN: The payment, yes.

14          THE COURT: Yeah.

15          MR. BROSNAHAN: That's been resolved.

16          THE COURT: Um-hum.

17          MR. BROSNAHAN: The second had to do with adding a  
18 reservation to footnote 4.

19          THE COURT: Um-hum.

20          MR. BROSNAHAN: That's been resolved.

21          THE COURT: Okay.

22          MR. BROSNAHAN: The only other issue relates to the  
23 privilege log --

24          THE COURT: Yeah.

25          MR. BROSNAHAN: -- that they've asked for with respect

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

12

1 to what documents and information we've provided to the  
2 committee.

3 THE COURT: Um-hum.

4 MR. BROSNAHAN: It's our position that anything that  
5 we have provided to the committee that we don't want to share  
6 with them, the reason is that it's subject to a joint interest  
7 privilege with the committee.

8 THE COURT: But isn't this just categorical versus  
9 more specific?

10 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes, Your Honor. And we --

11 THE COURT: Okay. So go ahead and tell me why more  
12 specific isn't appropriate.

13 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, we have a very small estate, and  
14 we don't want to come before Your Honor with a lot of legal  
15 bills for doing what I would consider to be busy work. The  
16 main question is, if we provide material to the committee that  
17 we assert is subject to a joint interest privilege, why do we  
18 have to specify every date and every person who received such  
19 material?

20 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

21 MR. BROSNAHAN: That's the argument on that.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. BROSNAHAN: The only other issue, Your Honor,  
24 relates to the objection that was made by ACE or Aetna -- I  
25 guess ACE is the current -- is the successor -- where they seek

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

13

1       a substantive ruling that it is never appropriate, never  
2       proper, and cannot be allowed for the insurer to share  
3       privileged information with the plaintiff, in other words, to  
4       waive a privilege, which happens all the time. If my client  
5       provides me with exculpatory information, I may want to share  
6       that with the committee. With plaintiffs' lawyers.

7                  The ACE has sought an order that categorically  
8       prohibits any such disclosure without consent from all of the  
9       insurers, whereas all the other insurance companies have agreed  
10      that all parties will simply reserve rights on that issue. We  
11      reserve the right that we may be able to do it. They reserve  
12      the right to be able to argue that if we do it, it's a  
13      violation of the policy language. And we believe the Court  
14      should not be making a substantive ruling that precludes such a  
15      waiver of privilege --

16                  THE COURT: Um-hum.

17                  MR. BROSNAHAN: -- in the abstract at this point.

18                  THE COURT: Um-hum.

19                  MR. BROSNAHAN: That's all I have on the mediation  
20      order.

21                  THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me ask you this. I mean,  
22      if -- I mean, does either one of the approaches contemplate  
23      that if this somehow really gets teed up and is problematic, it  
24      should come back here for me to decide it, or is it just you  
25      just want to leave it at we're just taking -- we think we

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

14

1 understand our legal obligations. We think we understand the  
2 risks. And if we do this, if that gives them a card to play,  
3 so be it. Which is it?

4 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes. Yes, Your Honor, that's the  
5 approach taken by all the other insurance companies --

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- where we've signed off on language,  
8 where each party just reserves rights on the issue

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. BROSNAHAN: And we're not asking the --

11 THE COURT: Well, does it help anything for me to  
12 reserve the ability to hear you folks, if this is an actual  
13 dispute?

14 MR. BROSNAHAN: Your Honor always reserves the ability  
15 to hear us.

16 THE COURT: Yeah, but I say it more than some people.

17 MR. BROSNAHAN: So I think that the Court should just  
18 accept the language --

19 THE COURT: I got it. All right. Okay.

20 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- we've worked out with all the other  
21 insurers.

22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

23 MR. BROSNAHAN: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. That that's the mediation  
25 piece, right?

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

15

1           MR. BROSNAHAN: I believe that resolves all the issues  
2 on the mediation.

3           THE COURT: Okay. Does the committee want to be heard  
4 on this, or are you guys saying you just satisfied with the --

5           MR. WEISENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. Brent  
6 Weisenberg on behalf of the committee. We're satisfied with  
7 the agreements that have been reached.

8           THE COURT: Okay. All right. So on the less-than-  
9 satisfied side of things, come on up, Mr. Schiavoni.

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, let me just start --  
11 actually, first, Mr. Brosnahan actually corrected me about who  
12 my client is. I'm embarrassed about that so --

13          THE COURT: Okay.

14          MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes, I'm here for ACE Aetna today.

15          THE COURT: Okay.

16          MR. SCHIAVONI: Not Pacific, that's --

17          THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.

18          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- later this morning.

19          THE COURT: That's later this morning or this  
20 afternoon. Okay.

21          MR. SCHIAVONI: So let me just start with the good  
22 news.

23          THE COURT: Great Okay.

24          MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. The positives, because there's  
25 a lot here. It's we're all, all the insurers, want to mediate.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

16

1    We've made that clear right from the beginning.

2                 THE COURT: Okay.

3                 MR. SCHIAVONI: We made a lot of lot of compromises to  
4 get there --

5                 THE COURT: Okay.

6                 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- so to speak. This mediator that  
7 the debtor and the committee selected in Arizona was not our  
8 first choice. We're going to go with him.

9                 THE COURT: Okay.

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. That's fine. And we've agreed  
11 on the payment things --

12          THE COURT: Okay.

13          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and we are largely agreed on the  
14 order. There's three issues in the order, on the mediation  
15 order, we'd like to address.

16          THE COURT: Okay.

17          MR. SCHIAVONI: We were concerned, Your Honor, that  
18 because we don't have a big bulk of the documents at this  
19 moment that we just couldn't be ready to, like, mediate the  
20 thing to complete resolution in --

21          THE COURT: Um-hum.

22          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- I don't know, whatever it is, the  
23 thirty days that they had. So however this is pitched, I think  
24 it's a -- I think we're on the same page, and I appreciate if  
25 it's a compromise or accommodation. I don't know, but the

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

17

1 revised position sort of the debtor, of the Friars, that we  
2 will sit down first with the mediator, kind of talk about where  
3 we are, whether you call that a phonathon or not, whatever.

4 THE COURT: Um-hum.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: But it sounds like we'll kind of put  
6 it to the mediator on sort of where we are. And then we have  
7 sort of a neutral kind of layout of process, and --

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- we're on board with that.

10 THE COURT: Good. Great.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: We think that's a positive.

12 THE COURT: Good.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: And we're on board generally with the  
14 timing on that, et cetera.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: So all those things are good news.

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: And you're smiling, so I think you're  
19 waiting for -- you're like, this isn't really bad news.

20 THE COURT: I'm waiting for the -- here's the pivot.  
21 Okay.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: But here are the issues. If it's not  
23 clear, we're dead set that the worst thing that possibly could  
24 happen, as far as trying to reach a consensus, is to not just  
25 lift the stay, but to give to the committee the ability to sort

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

18

1 of select everybody who's, like, lifting the stay, who for whom  
2 the stay is lifted. We think, and I would like to be heard  
3 separately to argue this, but there's a very strong objection  
4 to lifting the stay that'll basically make the mediation  
5 fruitful.

6           The issues with the mediation order, these three  
7 issues that I'm going to lay out for you, they're really  
8 connected to the dispute over whether or not we're going into  
9 full-blown litigation because if we're not, I think these  
10 issues sort of narrow, and they become less important. All  
11 right. If we're going into full-fledged litigation, we've got  
12 to deal with this issues, like, who's going to defend. How  
13 that defense works. We're extremely concerned about that.

14           So the notion about a log, we didn't make a big deal  
15 about this in Oakland, if you remember, but here, and the log  
16 and then the issue of what documents are waived and given to  
17 the committee become of tremendous importance to us because in  
18 the tort system, the debtor would be aligned with us in trying  
19 to defend those cases, cooperating with us. They would have an  
20 economic incentive to cooperate with us in the investigation  
21 and defense of the claims, et cetera. They have a contractual  
22 obligation, but they'd also have an economic one.

23           Where we are now, that's not really the case. They've  
24 aligned themselves publicly with the committee. They've made a  
25 voluntary effort to allow themselves these cases to go forward,

eScribers, LLC

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

19

1 which we believe is contrary to the contractual obligations  
2 under the policies. And it gives us extreme concern about how  
3 these cases will be defended. We cannot be in a situation  
4 where information developed in the defense of the claims is  
5 leaked or provided to the committee in the course of the  
6 defense of those claims. And we can't even be in a position  
7 where we're worried about that happening, all right, because it  
8 just will sort of undermine the whole effort here.

9                   So part of the pushback on categorical log, look,  
10 there's contexts where categorical logs make sense. I mean,  
11 Mr. Brosnahan's communications with the Friars, for instance,  
12 categorically, just disclose that that's being withheld,  
13 obviously, but communications with the committee become much  
14 more of a concern if, behind that shield, they're sharing  
15 information about the claims for which we're supposed to be  
16 defending and how they're being defended and the defense  
17 counsel themselves. That becomes vitally important.

18                   We don't really worry about that in the normal tort  
19 system. We don't worry about that because the policyholder is  
20 aligned with us. He doesn't really want to sort of do damage  
21 to his own case. But here, they're sort of taking a different  
22 approach. So we have no way of policing that.

23                   Now, yes. You heard this sort of exchange about,  
24 well, would we preserve our rights to bring a breech claim, et  
25 cetera, et cetera. And the other insurers have said, yeah,

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

20

1   that's vitally important. Well, of course it is. And I don't  
2   think you'd be ruling on that right now but --

3           THE COURT: I wouldn't be denying it. Let's put it  
4   that way. Okay.

5           MR. SCHIAVONI: Right. Right. Right.

6           THE COURT: But I would preserve that. Yeah. Sure.

7           MR. SCHIAVONI: Right. One way or the other. But the  
8   problem here is, and that's why these two are linked --

9           THE COURT: Um-hum.

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- that unless there's disclosure  
11   through a log that, hey, we just, we're sharing the defense  
12   strategy, we have no way of jumping forward and saying, well,  
13   Judge, there's an issue --

14          THE COURT: Can I ask you --

15          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- on this or that document.

16          THE COURT: Let me ask you --

17          MR. SCHIAVONI: This goes away if we're not dealing at  
18   this moment with defending cases, I would tell you. All right.

19          THE COURT: Okay. Let me make sure I understand  
20   something. Okay. What is it about -- other than the fact that  
21   the debtor has agreed with the committee to the principle that  
22   some actions can go forward, and from their perspective, that  
23   has a salutary effect on the gestalt of this thing, for lack of  
24   a better word. It's not like they're hoping to get twenty-  
25   million-dollar judgments in each case. It's that they think

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

21

1       that moving the process along is helpful.

2                   So but I think you look at it differently. You look  
3       at it as now there's been a real change in position, and I'm  
4       not sure I thoroughly understand why, other than you may not  
5       like the fact that they're aligned for any purpose. But what  
6       is it -- I mean, has the tort system really changed because  
7       they're pursuing a mediation and because in connection with  
8       that, they want to let some actions just go forward to see what  
9       some numbers are?

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah, I think so, Your Honor. And I  
11       think there's --

12          THE COURT: And that's why I'm asking is --

13          MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah, I think --

14          THE COURT: -- you clearly have a different view of  
15       it.

16          MR. SCHIAVONI: I think the guide is really in their  
17       papers. In their papers, the debtors say that, like, well,  
18       whatever happens, the carriers are going to pay it, not us, not  
19       the debtor. As long as they're of that mentality, and it's  
20       really honestly not the case, since there's substantive  
21       coverage disputes, and there's many claims for which there's no  
22       coverage.

23          THE COURT: Yeah. Sure.

24          MR. SCHIAVONI: That it's like, if that's their  
25       attitude, then they're not economically aligned with us to put

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

22

1 up the strongest defense.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: The fact that they didn't sort of come  
4 to us and say, hey, in consultation with us, which cases should  
5 the stay be lifted for it? There was no such consultation.  
6 They let the committee basically pick the cases that they  
7 wanted. That's not what would happen normally. In the JCCP,  
8 the debtors or the policyholders and the carriers sort of work  
9 together on what cases to select and what aren't. There's no  
10 cooperation going on at all. The economics are different here.  
11 They think that the more money that they can sort of kind of  
12 pressure somehow --

13 THE COURT: Well --

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- to be released --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- leads to their getting a discharge  
17 so --

18 THE COURT: Well, what if -- let me give you a  
19 hypothetical.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah.

21 THE COURT: What if, rather than what -- and I'm not  
22 going down this road necessarily. But if I were to say, look,  
23 relief from stay may generally help the process here, but let's  
24 really make a relief from stay, so let's just default down to  
25 the JCCP and do it the way you do it there, how much better is

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

23

1       that for you?

2            MR. SCHIAVONI: It still doesn't -- that changes how  
3       the cases are selected.

4            THE COURT: I know, but that seems to be a big deal to  
5       you.

6            MR. SCHIAVONI: But it doesn't change the fact of how  
7       we got to where we are because --

8            THE COURT: Yeah. No, I hear -- I get it.

9            MR. SCHIAVONI: -- the debtor perceives itself as not  
10      having an economic interest in the outcome --

11         THE COURT: I got it. Okay.

12         MR. SCHIAVONI: -- which is really dangerous. I mean,  
13      that's really a dangerous situation because the entire sort of  
14      insurance contract --

15         THE COURT: Um-hum.

16         MR. SCHIAVONI: -- is -- of course, it's a contract.

17         THE COURT: Um-hum.

18         MR. SCHIAVONI: But contracts that work are built  
19      around ensuring that the parties are economically aligned.  
20      There's a lot of countries where you can't enforce a contract.

21         THE COURT: Um-hum.

22         MR. SCHIAVONI: In those places, the deals are  
23      structured --

24         THE COURT: Um-hum.

25         MR. SCHIAVONI: -- so that people have an interest in

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

24

1 abiding by it, by even a handshake.

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: That's how this contract is  
4 arranged. It's like, we defend on cases where you still have  
5 an interest. It's like, so the parties are working together.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes, there's contractual obligations  
8 to cooperate, but there's also -- like, the whole contract is  
9 premised upon the policyholder having an interest in working  
10 with us.

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: So it's voluntary. It's not that  
13 they're doing it --

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: like, just with a knife to their back  
16 so --

17 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, we also don't really punish  
18 breaches. We just make people pay for them. Right.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: But Your Honor --

20 THE COURT: I think. Yeah.

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- I started with sort of suggesting I  
22 would just lay out what the issues are.

23 THE COURT: Yeah.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: Right. So the issue with the  
25 categorical log and then this other clause we suggested is --

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

25

1           THE COURT: Um-hum.

2           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- one could cure the other. If it's  
3 disclosed to us what they've shared, then we can come to Your  
4 Honor --

5           THE COURT: Um-hum.

6           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- based on that --

7           THE COURT: Um-hum.

8           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and seek relief.

9           THE COURT: Um-hum.

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. If it's not disclosed to us  
11 because it's all buried in one line, categorical log --

12          THE COURT: Um-hum.

13          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- we have no ability to police the  
14 turning over of information that goes to the strategy of the  
15 underlying defense.

16          THE COURT: Okay. I gotcha.

17          MR. SCHIAVONI: So one or the other, we have to have.  
18 We took a different approach from the other insurers. It's not  
19 that we didn't disagree. We just said, well, look, if they're  
20 not going to agree to give us some sort of log on this --

21          THE COURT: Um-hum.

22          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- like, we should just have a  
23 prohibition and let them come forward and do a carve-out and  
24 explain what it is that they want to turn over. So those are  
25 two issues --

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

26

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- on the order. The third, Your  
3 Honor, is just, there's somewhere in -- in paragraph 18,  
4 there's discussion that they're not going to search any emails.  
5 I think just a little more meet-and-confer, we might be able to  
6 sort of resolve that. I'm not even sure what that's about --

7           THE COURT: Okay.

8           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- to be honest. And then there's a  
9 nit about identifying my client, which is sort of funny since I  
10 got it wrong. We would just like to cure.

11          THE COURT: That's fine. Okay.

12          MR. SCHIAVONI: So Your Honor, with those three  
13 points --

14          THE COURT: Okay.

15          MR. SCHIAVONI: -- we think they go away if the lift  
16 stay goes away.

17          THE COURT: Okay.

18          MR. SCHIAVONI: And just to frame just one issue on  
19 the lift stay, the parties have had, really, I think we'd all  
20 agree, like, no substantive engagement on settlement at all  
21 because we were trying to sort of get basic information. And  
22 look, it may seem that, like, some time has gone by here, but I  
23 think there was some discussion back and forth about maybe some  
24 of these -- some of the other California cases, which were  
25 bigger, might set a path to resolution. And maybe this one

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

27

1 sort of awaits that.

2 THE COURT: Oh, maybe. Okay.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And I'm not suggesting that as  
4 a strategy.

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: It's obvious we're not going there.  
7 But I think some time that passed between --

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- the beginning and end of the --  
10 beginning today.

11 THE COURT: Is attributable to that.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: Was a little devoted to that.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. The hyperbole about people  
15 acting in bad faith and this and that.

16 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, that's not really --  
18 that's not what -- that's not how we play ball.

19 But what I would just suggest to you, Your Honor, is  
20 an independent -- like, the parties haven't talked. An  
21 independent hasn't looked at this case at all. I always think,  
22 and I always tell clients that, although we have conceptual  
23 issues --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- that may be impossible to close, if

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

28

1       the dollars are small, smaller, the gap sometimes is easier to  
2       jump.

3                  THE COURT: Um-hum.

4                  MR. SCHIAVONI: And this is not a huge case by number  
5       of claimants. The parties have not spoken. I just, Your  
6       Honor, after you let us argue the lift stay, if you will, I  
7       would just ask you to consider whether the wisest course here  
8       isn't to deny or adjourn the motion for now. Let us get before  
9       this mediator. Let us even get you get some sense from him two  
10      months from now about whether we're on a course to sort of  
11      resolve the case or not and retake the motion to lift the stay  
12      up at that point.

13                 THE COURT: Okay.

14                 MR. SCHIAVONI: Because I just think if you do it now,  
15      for many of the reasons we laid out, it's immediately going to  
16      send the case. We're going to ask -- we'll be before you very  
17      quickly with a motion to lift stay to let the coverage action  
18      go forward. To let a coverage action go forward here. And  
19      there'll be a series of other disputes that will immediately  
20      come to pass about who the defense counsel should be. How the  
21      cases should be defended. What documents get produced.

22                 And then we've got the whole discriminatory nature of  
23      injecting here -- appointing for claimants and for plaintiffs  
24      lawyers in a preferential position, even before we had one  
25      discussion with a mediator. And it's like, I think the case

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

29

1 will become immediately unsolvable. When you get Jeff Herman  
2 from Miami, who is a man of --

3 THE COURT: Famous in song and story, I'm sure.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- enthusiastic view of --

5 THE COURT: Right. Okay.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- his own skills, and I would say  
7 he's a skilled man.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: It's like, once he's given a  
10 preferential position, I just don't think we're going to knock  
11 that down. And to lose the opportunity of trying to resolve  
12 the case --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- in an equitable way before that --

15 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- is an issue, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Okay.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: All right. I don't want to cut anybody  
20 off. Anybody else on the insurance side want to have a perhaps  
21 slightly quicker word?

22 MS. FARRELL: Again, Ellen Farrell at Mintz, Levin --

23 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24 MS. FARRELL: General Insurance Company of America.

25 THE COURT: Um-hum.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

30

1 MS. FARRELL: I just want to follow up on the issue of  
2 the categorical versus document --

3 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

4 MS. FARRELL: -- by-document log

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MS. FARRELL: And I will just say your question was  
7 why not specific. I will submit they did not provide a good  
8 reason why not specific. I think that in cases, you have to  
9 have enough information. It is a bedrock. You have to have  
10 enough information when you're withholding a document for the  
11 opposing party to determine whether that document was  
12 sufficiently withheld.

13 The language, and we can obviously talk with them  
14 about the specific language later, but the language in their  
15 order says it will be sufficient. If it describes the  
16 categories of documents withheld, it need not log each  
17 document. That is not sufficient. That does not provide  
18 dates. Who it was to. Really, what the general category is.  
19 I mean, I think that that just leaves a lot of room for  
20 mischief. And I think what it will result in necessarily is  
21 the carriers coming back to you and asking for in-camera review  
22 of documents, which I think is the typical course --

23 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24 MS. FARRELL: -- if these disputes cannot be resolved.

25 THE COURT: That's kind of what I was heading toward.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

31

1 MS. FARRELL: Exactly.

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 MS. FARRELL: I think it's in-camera review, which,  
4 honestly, I just don't know if that's even a result that  
5 anybody thinks would make sense here. I think the wiser  
6 course, I would submit, for them is to agree to a document-by-  
7 document log. And it is interesting that what they have said  
8 is this is only for documents that are being withheld with  
9 respect to the committee. And the question I have is, why is  
10 that? Why are you carving out that category of documents? And  
11 to me, that just heightens the concerns, along with the reasons  
12 that Mr. Schiavoni said.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

14 MS. FARRELL: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Appreciate it.

16 Anybody else on the insurance side on that?

17 Okay. Mr. Brosnahan or whomever?

18 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Schiavoni made a  
19 lot of a lot of points. I want to emphasize one thing. Our  
20 incentives are not any different than they would be in the  
21 normal tort system.

22 THE COURT: That's what I was trying to ask.

23 MR. BROSNAHAN: Except for one thing --

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- and that is that we don't have the

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

32

1 resources to let this drag on --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- and on and on.

4 THE COURT: Um-hum.

5 MR. BROSNAHAN: And that's why we have sought to lift  
6 the stay in four cases so that we can accelerate the process.  
7 Other than that, we have no incentive for them to get any more  
8 money than they would in the tort system. And we don't have  
9 any incentive for the insurers to pay any more money than they  
10 would --

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- in the tort system. But we really  
13 need to move this process along, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me ask you this. Let me  
15 put this the right way. Respond, if you will, to any other  
16 reasons why something more than what might be a fairly basic  
17 categorical disclosure re the privilege log is really a  
18 problem. I'm a little sympathetic on that one. So tell me why  
19 I'm wrong about that.

20 MR. BROSNAHAN: It's purely a question of the work  
21 involved, Your Honor. I mean, we would be happy to share with  
22 the --

23 THE COURT: Well, do you have --

24 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- we would be --

25 THE COURT: -- do you have any sense now how big a

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

33

1 deal this is?

2 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well --

3 THE COURT: You may have already given this -- I  
4 suspect you've given this a lot of thought already. So what's  
5 the universe here, in terms of --

6 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, most of the things that we  
7 might -- in fact, all the things that we might exchange with  
8 the committee --

9 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

10 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- that we wouldn't want to share with  
11 the insurers would be in the category of coverage analysis --

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- because if it doesn't relate to  
14 that, then we're happy to --

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- provide it with the --

17 THE COURT: Well, that's a smaller universe than --

18 MR. BROSNAHAN: It may not be that big a universe,  
19 Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. I'm inclined to be sympathetic to  
21 their position about that.

22 MR. BROSNAHAN: All right. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: That's something a little bit more than  
24 a --

25 MR. BROSNAHAN: We'll do that. We'll do that.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

34

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. BROSNAHAN: We'll do that, Your Honor.

3           THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe you want to meet  
4 and confer about the exact language, but you see what I'm  
5 saying.

6           MR. BROSNAHAN: All right. That would be fine, Your  
7 Honor.

8           THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate it. Okay. Anything  
9 else on --

10          MR. BROSNAHAN: I just don't want to have to log every  
11 single email that we exchange between us, even if it doesn't --  
12 now, the order talks about documents and information.

13          THE COURT: Yeah.

14          MR. BROSNAHAN: If they're talking about coverage  
15 analysis, if they're talking about a draft of something, okay,  
16 that would be documents and information.

17          THE COURT: Yeah.

18          MR. BROSNAHAN: But I don't want to have to -- as long  
19 as that's what we're talking about, we can handle that.

20          THE COURT: Well, I'm okay with that. Let's see if  
21 you can come up with language between the two of you that gets  
22 you where you need to be. But I'm on their side on that one.  
23 Okay.

24          MR. BROSNAHAN: Okay.

25          THE COURT: I appreciate it.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

35

1           MR. BROSNAHAN: Anything else, Your Honor?

2           THE COURT: Anything else on the mediation side before  
3 we get to the --

4           MR. BROSNAHAN: I guess the one other thing I would  
5 bring up, we mentioned this in a footnote --

6           THE COURT: Yeah.

7           MR. BROSNAHAN: -- the mediator has a rule that if  
8 he's dealing with a bunch of insurance carriers, one carrier  
9 needs to take the lead --

10          THE COURT: Oh, okay.

11          MR. BROSNAHAN: -- and fund the one third and then  
12 collect from the other insurers.

13          THE COURT: Is that logistically an issue?

14          MR. BROSNAHAN: We have requested that Travelers do  
15 that because they are on the great majority.

16          THE COURT: Okay.

17          MR. BROSNAHAN: They're by far the largest carrier  
18 here. They have so far not been willing to do that. And they  
19 want to work it out with the insurers. But there's been no  
20 traction on this at all. I would just request that --

21          THE COURT: Well, what do you think I could do about  
22 that?

23          MR. BROSNAHAN: Urge them to come to some agreement.  
24 That's all you --

25          THE COURT: Okay. All right.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

36

1           MR. BROSNAHAN: That's all I ask, Your Honor.

2           THE COURT: So I'm going to get all hortatory here on  
3 them. Okay.

4           MR. BROSNAHAN: And the mediator will be -- if I can  
5 tell the tell the mediator that I pitched it, then he'll be  
6 happy with me.

7           THE COURT: Mission accomplished. Okay.

8           MR. BROSNAHAN: So thank you.

9           THE COURT: All right. And that judge just would not  
10 listen. Okay.

11          Travelers want to be heard? Come on up.

12          MR. WYATT: Thank you, Your Honor.

13          THE COURT: This is what I'm supposed to look at you  
14 sternly. Okay.

15          MR. WYATT: Well, yeah, I can understand the concerns  
16 on this issue. I mean, we're still discussing with our client,  
17 and obviously, we don't want to be the one having to herd all  
18 the cats here. But I understand that it is the mediator's  
19 request --

20          THE COURT: Okay.

21          MR. WYATT: -- and I guess his rule. It's something  
22 that, I think, while we're meeting and conferring on some of  
23 these other issues, we can continue to meet and confer on this  
24 issue --

25          THE COURT: Okay.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

37

1           MR. WYATT: -- discuss internally with the insurers,  
2 as we have been doing, and I think we can likely come to some  
3 sort of compromise here.

4           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

5           MR. WYATT: Thank you.

6           THE COURT: All right. Should we shift gears a little  
7 bit to the relief from stay aspects of this thing? Is that  
8 appropriate now?

9           MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.

10          THE COURT: Okay.

11          MR. HARRIS: Speaking for the debtor, Your Honor, it's  
12 unique situation.

13          THE COURT: Um-hum.

14          MR. HARRIS: I've looked at the efforts at stay relief  
15 in the Oakland case. I've read all the briefs and listened to  
16 Judge Montali's hearing from last week. Obviously, there are a  
17 lot of cases around the country, where courts are coming to the  
18 conclusion that it's time to consider stay relief. We're  
19 thirteen months -- excuse me, fifteen months in --

20          THE COURT: Um-hum.

21          MR. HARRIS: -- at this point. And I'm sad to say, we  
22 haven't even started mediation yet. But this isn't about  
23 starting mediation. This is about making it work.

24          I'm going to start from the proposition, the obvious  
25 one, that stay doesn't protect the insurers. Stay protects the

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

38

1 debtor. Debtor and the committee are together on the idea  
2 that, for a limited purpose, the stay should be lifted. I  
3 would note, Your Honor, that the four cases that were selected  
4 are all JCCP 501 cases before Judge Cunningham.

5 THE COURT: And that's --

6 MR. HARRIS: In the SoCal coordinated proceedings.

7 THE COURT: SoCal. Yeah. Okay.

8 MR. HARRIS: So I don't believe that Judge Cunningham  
9 has divided the cases into buckets, nor do I think he has  
10 pressed forward, as perhaps Judge Chatterjee has. This is all  
11 to say --

12 THE COURT: And Judge Wise before him, probably.  
13 Yeah.

14 MR. HARRIS: Right. Exactly.

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. HARRIS: This is to say that nothing's happening  
17 tomorrow. This is not like the two trial-ready cases in San  
18 Francisco, where they were two days from the beginning of trial  
19 when the case was filed.

20 THE COURT: Um-hum.

21 MR. HARRIS: So whatever is happening, it's not going  
22 to happen fast. But our point, our continuing theme, Your  
23 Honor, is this is a small case.

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. HARRIS: It has limited resources. And

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

39

1 unfortunately, the playbook of insurers and sometimes  
2 committees has been to just go slow. And that chews through a  
3 lot of fees.

4 THE COURT: Yeah. Can I ask you a question?

5 MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Other than it's going to take a lot more  
7 time, and I will hear that loud and clear, is there another  
8 reason why, if I were to grant relief from stay, I wouldn't do  
9 it to let this go forward in just the way it would in the JCCP  
10 protocols, which would include maybe a different way of looking  
11 at which cases go forward and an amalgam of cases and a  
12 bellwether concept that, to the extent it includes the  
13 insurers, fine. I'm not sure that gives them a veto or means  
14 that they get their every wish. But other than it's going to  
15 take a lot longer to do that, I assume, is there another reason  
16 why we should just pick cases in this process, as opposed to  
17 that process?

18 MR. HARRIS: Well, yes, Your Honor. As a matter of  
19 fact, there's a resource question. There are cases where there  
20 is no coverage across the ninety-four cases. There are cases  
21 where there is partial coverage.

22 THE COURT: And those would be in danger of being  
23 preferred ones at the state court level?

24 MR. HARRIS: Well, yeah, they could be selected, and  
25 then that would create a burden on the estate.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

40

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. HARRIS: The purpose of our jointly agreeing to  
3 select cases with the committee was to find cases where, A,  
4 there's coverage.

5           THE COURT: Um-hum.

6           MR. HARRIS: And we believe there's full coverage, at  
7 least for the first four. And B, where there are willing  
8 plaintiffs who want to go forward. This is an issue.

9           THE COURT: Well, is there any -- now, I'm going to  
10 talk about this as if I understand it, and I don't as deeply as  
11 I should. To the extent that when you're in the MDL world or  
12 the state court world, one of the concepts is that you're  
13 picking -- the input is let's have a variety of cases, so we  
14 get a spectrum. Not just the four greatest cases in the  
15 history of the world, but something that gives you an idea of  
16 where the spectrum will fall. Was that replicated here, or I  
17 mean, if it wasn't, you could just say it wasn't. And I don't  
18 want to get into settlement discussions.

19           MR. HARRIS: Well, we've had this discussion with the  
20 insurance companies.

21           THE COURT: I'm not surprised.

22           MR. HARRIS: And they have asked for a voice in the  
23 process as to which cases get taken. My view as to how that is  
24 handled is there are cases that we expect will settle, and we  
25 will move on to the next case. And maybe it won't be in the

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

41

1 exact same order as the insurance companies want, but to be  
2 kind of colloquial about it, I think the insurance companies  
3 would be perfectly happy to have some dogs in the pick.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. HARRIS: Some bad cases.

6 THE COURT: But I mean, doesn't that sort of occur in  
7 the other fora that there are some great cases. There are  
8 maybe -- I mean, if there's input there's a variety of cases,  
9 right. So is there is there a variety of cases here? If there  
10 is, I'm not saying I'm not going to critique you if the answer  
11 is no, but I just, I'm curious.

12 MR. HARRIS: I would have to let Mr. Brosnahan --

13 MR. BROSNAHAN: I'd be happy to address that, Your  
14 Honor.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. HARRIS: -- and Mr. Weisenberg speak to that.

17 MR. BROSNAHAN: If you want to hear from Mr. Weisberg.

18 THE COURT: Oh, whoever can tell me.

19 MR. BROSNAHAN: I can tell you from our perspective.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. HARRIS: Go first.

22 MR. BROSNAHAN: Okay.

23 THE COURT: Come on up.

24 MR. BROSNAHAN: As we've pointed out in our papers,  
25 Your Honor we think that the most important factor here is not

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

42

1 picking bellwethers to sort of get a representative sample of  
2 cases. The most important concern was to create economic  
3 pressure and risk of loss for all parties, including the  
4 insurers. And therefore, two of the cases implicate excess  
5 policies. We think that's important because I've been doing  
6 insurance litigation for over forty years, and I know the  
7 attitude of excess insurers is don't bother me today. I have  
8 underlying coverage. Bother me some other time. So we think  
9 it's important that the excess carriers understand that they're  
10 important to this process as well and that they begin to focus  
11 immediately on what their exposure is.

12 Another case implicates the Chubb coverage, which  
13 would be ACE. From our papers, it should be obvious why we  
14 believe that that's important.

15 The fourth case, I actually think could really be the  
16 linchpin to a resolution here because the fourth case is a case  
17 where, from what we can tell, from the claim, from other  
18 information, there's only one 500,000-dollar policy limit  
19 that's implicated. And this is not somebody who responded to  
20 an ad that he saw on TV about filing a claim against clergy.  
21 This is someone who reported the abuse and sought treatment  
22 from the Friars before the statute was reopened. We think that  
23 it would not be rational for the carrier to want to fight this  
24 case and spend a lot of money on defense expenses. We think  
25 this case should be resolved, settled very quickly, and can be

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

43

1       the -- get the ball rolling to settle cases.

2                  Those are the insurance elements of the selection.  
3       With regard to other elements, I can tell you that none of  
4       these cases, with all due respect to the claimants, would fall  
5       into the extreme category in terms of the nature of the abuse  
6       alleged. They all concern alleged perpetrators, who are on the  
7       credibly accused list that the Friars have put out. And we  
8       believe that that these are -- there was negotiation with the  
9       committee. We didn't just take all the cases that they  
10      submitted, that they requested and --

11                 THE COURT: Well, why did you push back?

12                 MR. BROSNAHAN: What?

13                 THE COURT: Why did you push back, if you didn't take  
14      them? What was the principle that said, I'm not taking that  
15      one?

16                 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, some of the cases --

17                 THE COURT: If you can tell me. If you can tell me.

18                 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, I can tell you, Your Honor, some  
19      of the cases, we didn't like the potential liability issues.  
20      The same kinds of things that the insurers would say --

21                 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22                 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- yeah, you shouldn't take that case.  
23      Other cases involve claims for injunctive relief that we don't  
24      think --

25                 THE COURT: Okay.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

44

1                   MR. BROSNAHAN: -- really should be going forward and  
2 being --

3                   THE COURT: Okay.

4                   MR. BROSNAHAN: -- litigated right now.

5                   THE COURT: So let me play -- let me play this back  
6 and see if I have it right. One of the justifications -- one  
7 justification, not the only one, for doing this would be it  
8 will assist in a claims valuation concept. One way to do that  
9 would be to replicate what is allegedly, at least, the  
10 principle at MDL or JCCP, that you get a broad spectrum of  
11 cases. And that's not the only justification, but that's one.  
12 And what you're telling me is that's not the justification for  
13 this.

14                  MR. BROSNAHAN: That's just an ancillary benefit. We  
15 want to resolve this case --

16                  THE COURT: Well, maybe you want the bankruptcy lawyer  
17 to talk about that because that's -- ancillary benefit --

18                  MR. BROSNAHAN: Okay. Your Honor, I --

19                  THE COURT: -- that's a little derogatory for  
20 something fairly important.

21                  MR. BROSNAHAN: I apologize if I'm using a term of  
22 art. What I mean is that is not the dominant purpose.

23                  THE COURT: That's what I'm hearing, and that --

24                  MR. BROSNAHAN: Judgments will not come in for a long  
25 time, and we hope to have this resolved way before then. So

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

45

1 the bellwether --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- concept is not the primary reason  
4 we're doing this.

5 THE COURT: Yeah.

6 MR. BROSNAHAN: It's to create risk of loss and loss  
7 and economic pressure on the parties.

8 THE COURT: So the important thing is to begin this?

9 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes. And if not now, when? We feel  
10 it's important to begin --

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- focusing the mind, as Samuel L.  
13 Johnson --

14 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I thought that was the  
15 case --

16 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- might have said.

17 THE COURT: -- but that helps me focus a bit on what's  
18 really at stake here. Okay. I appreciate it. Okay. Anything  
19 else from your guys, then?

20 Does anybody want to respond to the surreply that I  
21 allowed? By the way, I allowed it because this hearing was  
22 done on shortened time, and I want to hear everybody. Okay.  
23 So if anybody wants to respond orally to the surreply, you can  
24 do it.

25 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, I certainly am confused by the

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

46

1 surreply, which says --

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- that we didn't tell anyone other  
4 than ACE what the four cases were, when that's clearly not  
5 true, since it was attached to the stipulation that was filed  
6 with the committee's papers. I happen to have told Mr.  
7 Williams that I would tell him personally, in addition, and I  
8 sent him an email and told him that here are the four cases.  
9 But everyone else had already received the stipulation, which  
10 was attached to the committee's papers.

11 I was confused by the assertion in the paper that two  
12 of the accused priests are not, in fact, Franciscan  
13 friars. That is just not true. I don't know where that came  
14 from. The assertion that one of the codefendants is the  
15 Archdiocese of San Francisco, that is not true. I don't know  
16 where that came from.

17 So if there's anything substantive in the surreply  
18 that the Court would like me to address, I'd be happy to do  
19 that.

20 THE COURT: Well, what about the concern that having  
21 committee members go forward first is somehow inappropriate?

22 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, that would be a bankruptcy  
23 issue. And --

24 THE COURT: You can let Mr. --

25 MR. BROSNAHAN: -- I would yield --

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

47

1           THE COURT: Okay.

2           MR. BROSNAHAN: -- the floor on that one.

3           THE COURT: All right. Very good. Okay.

4           MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

5           THE COURT: Thanks.

6           MR. WEISENBERG: Your Honor, Brent Weisenberg on  
7 behalf of the committee. I'm surprised we've gotten this far  
8 into the hearing without acknowledging what day it is. It is  
9 April 1st, which means it's Sidd Finch day.

10          THE COURT: It's Sidd Finch Day.

11          MR. WEISENBERG: And so I was reading my son Sports  
12 Illustrated.

13          THE COURT: So let's see your 168-mile-an-hour  
14 fastball. Okay.

15          MR. WEISENBERG: And so I thought of you, Your Honor.

16          THE COURT: Does everybody know what that means? Are  
17 we being obscure? The Curious Case of Sidd Finch is a story  
18 written by George Plimpton. It appeared in the April 1st, 1985  
19 issue of Sports Illustrated. It is the greatest sports  
20 literary hoax of all time. It describes a prospect for the New  
21 York Mets. Very secret. A young man who went to Harvard,  
22 dropped out, plays the French horn, toured the Himalayas, and  
23 learned the art of the pitch and was able, in a very convoluted  
24 way, to throw a fastball with astonishing accuracy at 168 miles  
25 an hour.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

48

1           It was written incredibly tongue in cheek. Plimpton  
2 was a genius of that kind of thing, those of you who remember  
3 him, and it was believed for at least a few days by a  
4 significant portion of the population. It was a wonderful  
5 literary hoax. So thank you for bringing it up.

6           MR. WEISENBERG: And I learned a new fact, Your  
7 Honor --

8           THE COURT: Yeah.

9           MR. WEISENBERG: -- which is that the subhead, if you  
10 spell that out --

11          THE COURT: Yes.

12          MR. WEISENBERG: -- yeah, it's spelled, happy April  
13 Fool's day.

14          THE COURT: Exactly.

15          MR. WEISENBERG: So at some point, Your Honor, I will  
16 bring this up to you have a look. --

17          THE COURT: Very good. Appreciate it. And somebody  
18 else wants to remark in Sidd Finch fashion.

19          MR. BURNS: Similarly. Your Honor, I should point  
20 out, you probably remember who the expert who was quoted  
21 heavily in the article was. It was a certain Tim Burns --

22          THE COURT: Oh.

23          MR. BURNS: -- who was quoted heavily and repeatedly  
24 in the article. So I'm very happy that you brought this up at  
25 the last hearing.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

49

1           THE COURT: And was that --

2           MR. BURNS: I've, of course, had a change of career  
3 since, but --

4           THE COURT: Um-hum.

5           MR. BURNS: -- I was happy to contribute to George's  
6 article.

7           THE COURT: I appreciate it.

8           MR. WEISENBERG: Brent --

9           THE COURT: Years ago -- sorry -- after PG&E was done,  
10 there was a gentleman named Kermit Kubitz, who was in-house at  
11 PG&E. He was a wonderful guy and a great lawyer. And he  
12 turned out to be a hero. He intervened in a situation where a  
13 woman was being attacked by a man with a knife, and he got  
14 stabbed. And I wrote to him after the story came out in the  
15 Chronicle, when he was given some medal by the mayor. And I  
16 couldn't resist writing to him saying, okay, are you that  
17 Kermit Kubitz? And he said he was. So there you go.

18           MR. WEISENBERG: For the record, Brent Weisenberg on  
19 behalf of the committee. Your Honor, we have two committee  
20 members with us today. We have Ms. Katherine Halberg and --

21           THE COURT: Okay.

22           MR. WEISENBERG: -- Mr. Michael Carey. And while we  
23 started off lighthearted, I think they know --

24           THE COURT: Um-hum.

25           MR. WEISENBERG: -- how seriously we take this issue,

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

50

1 meaning everyone in this courtroom.

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. WEISENBERG: I had hoped to start today's  
4 presentation giving you the committee's question. In the past,  
5 I've heard Your Honor say that to get to the right answer, you  
6 need to be asking the right question.

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MR. WEISENBERG: And it appears to us that if we go  
9 down the road that the insurers would like us to, we're asking  
10 the wrong question. This case, as you acknowledge and as you  
11 understand, is very different than any lift stay that has been  
12 filed across the country because the debtor and the committee  
13 agree. And so --

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. WEISENBERG: -- the question we believe, Your  
16 Honor, is has the debtor fulfilled its business judgment in  
17 making this determination? That's the question, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

19 MR. WEISENBERG: There are no facts whatsoever  
20 indicating anything other than the debtor is acting within the  
21 scope of its -- or using its business judgment and fulfilling  
22 its fiduciary duty.

23 I want to just rattle through a few issues, Your  
24 Honor, which we do not think are relevant today. If you  
25 disagree, you'll tell me, and I'll do my best to explain why I

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

51

1 don't think they're relevant. Or if you want to go down that  
2 road, we can talk about it. But let's start here.

3 Any prejudicial impact on lifting the stay, we don't  
4 believe, Your Honor, that is a question for today. Why?

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. WEISENBERG: Number one, the two fiduciaries to  
7 this estate, the debtor and the committee, have agreed to this  
8 requested relief. Collectively, we owe a duty to all survivors  
9 to make sure no one is preferred. And in fact, Your Honor, the  
10 order specifically says no one is permitted to collect on a  
11 judgment. Period. Hard stop. You may obtain a judgment. So  
12 there is absolutely no possibility that anyone receives any  
13 funds before another.

14 THE COURT: Can I stop you for a second and pause on  
15 that? If you took that point a little further, would you argue  
16 that the fact that somebody gets to a judgment number, unless  
17 this case implodes and you end up back out in this tort system,  
18 I guess that's a leg-up there, but I'm assuming you would argue  
19 that, given the collectivist nature of what we do here and  
20 given the fact that getting to numbers at some point has the  
21 effect of giving you a better sense of what the total number  
22 ought to be, that any particular advantage to an individual  
23 plaintiff is neither here nor there. The point is that's an  
24 aid of something that gets everybody to a resolution. Would  
25 that be the way you look at it?

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

52

1 MR. WEISENBERG: That's exactly correct, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Would you also agree that the -- I  
3 mean, we all get excited about the automatic stay. It's a  
4 lovely thing. It's a tool. Right. It is not the point of --  
5 it's never the point of bankruptcy. It is a tool, and it's a  
6 tool to be deployed -- I mean, Congress used four verbs to tell  
7 me what I can do with it. So I mean, the whole point is  
8 flexibility and using it in the best way or ignoring it or  
9 cabining it in the best way to get to a bankruptcy result.

10 MR. WEISENBERG: So what's fascinating about this  
11 case, Your Honor, is the debtor is seeking to utilize that  
12 tool. Typically, the debtor utilizes it to protect itself.

13 THE COURT: Yeah.

14 MR. WEISENBERG: Here, it's actually asking this  
15 Court, I want to use this tool --

16 THE COURT: Yeah.

17 MR. WEISENBERG: -- to help drive resolution.

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

19 MR. WEISENBERG: I'll probably say that ten more  
20 times, and I apologize. But I think it makes this case so  
21 different from any other that is currently going on.

22 THE COURT: Well, it comes from the same premise that  
23 this is a tool. It's not an end in itself.

24 MR. WEISENBERG: Your Honor, based on the prior point,  
25 any allegation that a committee member is somehow being

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

53

1 preferred, again, that falls flat. We're tilting at windmills  
2 with that argument. Number one, as I just said, they do not  
3 have the right to collect. Number two, the committee has a  
4 fiduciary duty to all survivors. I would be

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. WEISENBERG: -- standing here before you, Your  
7 Honor, having breached my fiduciary duty, if I decided or we  
8 decided to put a committee member up in with the notion that  
9 they would be preferred over others. That is not the case.  
10 Period. Hard stop. And so going down that road, Your Honor,  
11 it's a parade of horribles, and we don't need to go there.

12 Your Honor, you also may have seen in some of the  
13 papers an allegation that our request was not in accordance  
14 with the Bankruptcy Rules. I don't think we need to spend that  
15 much time on it. Insurers' counsel has since conceded that  
16 they misquoted the Bankruptcy Rules, having cited Bankruptcy  
17 Rule 4001(c), which has no relevance to this case, and in one  
18 case, citing language that just does not exist.

19 Whether the debtor informed the insurers about the  
20 requested relief, we cited a case, almost on all fours, Your  
21 Honor, I think it was the Grace case that indicates --

22 THE COURT: Um-hum.

23 MR. WEISENBERG: -- that the insurers have no say  
24 whatsoever in how the stay is deployed. It is not for their  
25 benefit. It is for the debtor's benefit. And the insurer

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

54

1 doesn't have the opportunity to decide when and where it may  
2 have to defend and ultimately have liability.

3 You're also going to hear a lot, Your Honor, and  
4 you've already started hearing a bit about the parade of  
5 horribles. If Your Honor does this, things will implode. Let  
6 me list all the things that will go badly. That's all  
7 hyperbole. It's all guesswork. We don't know what's going to  
8 happen in the future. And we don't stand here today telling  
9 you this is the silver bullet. This is going to work.

10 But here's what we do know. Based upon fact and  
11 history, these mediations in cases like this have not resolved  
12 themselves in a consensual resolution. They've dragged on and  
13 on. And so the great irony of the argument, Your Honor, is  
14 they're telling you, they being the insurers, are telling you  
15 if you do this, mediation will stall. But we know mediation  
16 has stalled because the stay hasn't been lifted.

17 And so to its credit, the debtor agreed with the  
18 committee to try a new path, a path new in this world, not  
19 necessarily in the litigation world, which is to put all  
20 parties at risk of loss to drive settlement. And I want to  
21 repeat that again. This is not one-sided. A survivor could go  
22 to trial and lose. We recognize that. Okay. It is the threat  
23 and the risk that all parties lose that drive people to  
24 settlement. So again, we are --

25 THE COURT: Um-hum.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

55

1           MR. WEISENBERG: -- not coming before Your Honor  
2 saying this is the panacea of all of our problems. What we are  
3 saying is let's try something new in this sphere in order to  
4 drive settlement because of the unique facts of this case.  
5 This case is unlike the Oakland diocese in the scope of its  
6 assets. We can't afford to be here for years on end. And so  
7 collectively working with the debtor, we've decided to forge  
8 this new path.

9           So I think, Your Honor, in conclusion, there's a very  
10 narrow issue before Your Honor, and we'd urge Your Court not to  
11 go down all the avenues that the insurers would like to take  
12 you because it's all based on conjecture and hyperbole. The  
13 only facts we know are what's transpired in the past.

14           THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

15           Anybody else on this side want to talk before Mr.  
16 Schiavoni grabs the lectern?

17           MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor.

18           THE COURT: Yeah.

19           MS. STIPPEL: Yeah.

20           THE COURT: Um-hum. Come on up.

21           MS. STIPPEL: Yeah, sorry I blindsided you.

22           MR. HARRIS: Didn't see you.

23           MS. STIPPEL: But once again, for the record, Your  
24 Honor, Atty. Morgan Stippel, appearing on behalf of the  
25 committee as special insurance counsel. I am with Burns Bair.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

56

1           THE COURT: Um-hum.

2           MS. STIPPEL: There's been a suggestion today that we  
3 should just hold off, and we should wait. And I believe the  
4 question has already been posed, if not now, when? We have  
5 seen where waiting gets us. And stay relief has recently been  
6 granted in the Buffalo case, where seventeen cases were  
7 released after that case had been pending for five years.

8           THE COURT: Yeah. No, that case is, let's say, a  
9 point of discussion among the bankruptcy judges. Yeah, I know.

10          MS. STIPPEL: Yeah. And similarly, Albany. I'm sure  
11 that's another point of discussion.

12          THE COURT: Yep. Absolutely.

13          MS. STIPPEL: Seven cases. Two years. The notion  
14 that we should continue down the same path and follow this idea  
15 that we enter mediation, where parties are not at risk, we've  
16 already seen where that leads. Everybody waiting and seeing  
17 does not work.

18          THE COURT: Um-hum.

19          MS. STIPPEL: What will work is all parties, including  
20 survivors and the committee, being at risk. And even though  
21 these cases may not go to trial immediately upon the release of  
22 them, if we don't start now, it's only going to take longer,  
23 and we're going to be at this same point two years from now,  
24 like in Albany.

25          THE COURT: Um-hum.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

57

1 MS. STIPPEL: Five years from now, like in Syracuse --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MS. STIPPEL: -- or excuse me, in Buffalo.

4 The other issue I wanted to address that was raised as  
5 more of a peripheral point in the insurers' briefing, but it is  
6 of great importance, not just in this case, but nationwide to  
7 all survivors, is serving demand letters and whether that  
8 constitutes a violation of the automatic stay. And I wanted to  
9 bring this to your attention now because it is part and parcel  
10 of what we're talking about here.

11 But what has been done recently, and the insurers are  
12 correct, is that the committee -- or excuse me, not the  
13 committee, but survivors through their state court counsel --

14 THE COURT: Yeah.

15 MS. STIPPEL: -- have sent letters inquiring as to  
16 policy limits and which policies apply to their claims. We're  
17 on firm ground there. That is allowed under boy court. The  
18 insurers in some of their responses have raised the issue that  
19 following that request up with a policy limits demand would  
20 violate the automatic stay. We, as a committee, believe that  
21 it does not. But the reason why this is so important is  
22 because there are conflicting opinions.

23 In the Syracuse case, for example, the judge there,  
24 Judge Kinsella, said that it would be a violation of the stay  
25 to serve demand letters. Subsequently, in the Rockville Center

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

58

1 case, when the committee did file a motion requesting stay  
2 relief, the judge essentially told us that such a motion was  
3 not even required because it defied logic that serving a demand  
4 on an insurer would violate the stay.

5 THE COURT: That's Judge Glenn.

6 MS. STIPPEL: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MS. STIPPEL: And actually denied our fees for  
9 drafting the drafting the motion. And the request in that case  
10 was to come before the court and to say, what would you like us  
11 to do? And he said, if you would have come forward and asked  
12 that question, I would have said, serve your demands.

13 And we are seeking guidance from you at this time on  
14 the very same issue because it is absolutely vital to  
15 preserving survivors' rights and how this case will move  
16 forward.

17 THE COURT: Would it be fair to say that part of what  
18 Judge Kinsella ruled and sort of between the lines and kind of  
19 the takeaway was not just she was concerned about the stay, but  
20 in the same way that we talk about the stay as a tool, she was  
21 concerned that this was just going to start a whole distracting  
22 level of inquiry that was not helpful to the process where she  
23 thought it was then. I think that was also part and parcel of  
24 what I wrote and at least the spirit behind her decision.

25 MS. STIPPEL: Yes. And as many people have mentioned,

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

59

1 our case is not in the same posture. We're early on in the  
2 process.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MS. STIPPEL: And we are trying to catalyze mediation  
5 to preserve the resources --

6 THE COURT: Yeah.

7 MS. STIPPEL: -- and move things along. And we feel  
8 very strongly that this demand process is part and parcel of  
9 that.

10 THE COURT: Well, I won't surprise you by saying I'm  
11 unlikely to rule on this today. If somebody wants to tee this  
12 up in a way that everybody can have their say about it, I will.  
13 Okay. But I certainly understand there are different ways of  
14 looking at it. And we can cross that bridge when we come to  
15 it. I don't think we're there yet. Okay.

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The law is different in  
17 California. We'd ultimately like to be heard on it before  
18 you --

19 THE COURT: I think you should be. Okay. We'll see.

20 MS. STIPPEL: Yeah --

21 THE COURT: We'll see on that one. Okay.

22 MS. STIPPEL: -- and if the insurers are going to  
23 maintain that objection, we're happy to brief the issue. But I  
24 did want to raise one more point.

25 THE COURT: Well, you wouldn't be briefing it, right?

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

60

1 I mean, if this demand's going to come from individual  
2 attorneys --

3 MS. STIPPEL: Correct.

4 THE COURT: -- are you going to brief it? I'm not so  
5 sure you are. But well, do you think about that? Okay.

6 MS. STIPPEL: But the other point that I wanted to  
7 raise as well in Syracuse. None of the major insurers in that  
8 case have settled yet, and that could very well be because  
9 these demands were not served. And in Rockville Center, where  
10 those demands were allowed to be served, as I'm sure you know,  
11 that case has settled.

12 THE COURT: Um-hum. Okay.

13 MS. STIPPEL: So this is certainly a factor.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MS. STIPPEL: That will come into play.

16 THE COURT: Look, I appreciate you highlighting it.  
17 It's an not important issue. It's not something I'm -- I think  
18 we've talked about it as much as we can today. If an  
19 appropriate person wants to bring it to my attention and get a  
20 response from the insurance companies, I promise you I'll hear  
21 it. Okay.

22 MS. STIPPEL: Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

24 MR. WEISENBERG: Your Honor, I apologize. The very  
25 reason for me standing was to answer a question you posed, and

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

61

1 yet I never answered the question.

2 THE COURT: That's okay. Go ahead.

3 MR. WEISENBERG: Your Honor rightly is concerned with  
4 whether the lift stay stipulation interferes in any way with  
5 the state court proceedings. And the answer is no, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. WEISENBERG: The debtor has agreed to work with  
8 survivors' counsel to expedite any case or any of the four  
9 cases. But ultimately, the state court retains complete  
10 jurisdiction over all of the cases and the way they move  
11 forward. You'll see, very specifically, the stipulation  
12 provides for a request --

13 THE COURT: Um-hum.

14 MR. WEISENBERG: -- by the debtor and the survivor.  
15 Nowhere does it say we're overriding the court's  
16 jurisdiction --

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MR. WEISENBERG: -- or its ability to maintain the  
19 pace of cases.

20 THE COURT: My understanding is that this has been a  
21 point of tension in other circumstances, where the state courts  
22 may think they're being somewhat taken for granted in this  
23 process, and that is not what I want to do at all. You know,  
24 it sounds like.

25 MR. WEISENBERG: We were highly cognizant, especially

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

62

1 coming out of Oakland, Your Honor --

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 MR. WEISENBERG: -- where we spent a lot of time  
4 talking about how cases, if they stay were lifted, would work  
5 within the estate court system.

6 THE COURT: And just remembering what the dialog there  
7 was, I mean, I was the debtor and the committee were not on the  
8 same page there. And I was concerned that there was nothing  
9 that was ready enough in that context that, absent a different  
10 viewpoint from other constituents, was going to be helpful.  
11 That's why I denied the motion. No other reason.

12 MR. WEISENBERG: Right.

13 THE COURT: I mean, I was intrigued by it, honestly.

14 MR. WEISENBERG: Right. And Your Honor, again, that's  
15 why when we negotiated this stipulation, it was so important to  
16 both sides --

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. WEISENBERG: -- to get the agreement of one  
19 another --

20 THE COURT: Yeah.

21 MR. WEISENBERG: that they would work  
22 collaboratively --

23 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24 MR. WEISENBERG: -- to expedite the case because we  
25 understood Your Honor has concerns about where they may be in

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

63

1       the queue and the willingness of the state court to expedite  
2       them. All we can do --

3                 THE COURT: Right.

4                 MR. WEISENBERG: -- is request, Your Honor, but it's  
5       better to get started now --

6                 THE COURT: Right.

7                 MR. WEISENBERG: -- than in three years from now..

8                 THE COURT: Right. And just to state the obvious,  
9       again, the relief from stay issue is just should I be in the  
10      way of something, not me dictating to the state court how  
11      they're going to do their business. That is not my job.

12                MR. WEISENBERG: Exactly.

13                THE COURT: It's that's their job. Okay. Thank you.

14                MR. WEISENBERG: Thank you.

15                THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else on the debtors' side  
16      before I hear from the insurers?

17                Okay. Who's going to go forward for the insurers?

18                MS. FARRELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Again, it's  
19      Ellen Farrell --

20                THE COURT: Um-hum.

21                MS. FARRELL: -- for General Insurance Company. And  
22      my bankruptcy colleagues are going to be addressing this for  
23      the most part.

24                THE COURT: Okay. All right.

25                MS. FARRELL: I wanted to address one thing very

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

64

1 briefly, which is the slight of hand, which I would  
2 respectfully submit has been presented to you to this morning  
3 when you hear the debtor's counsel say, we're fifteen months  
4 in, and we haven't begun mediation. When you hear the  
5 committee counsel say, we're looking at facts and history and  
6 we're looking at what has happened in cases like these.

7 So Your Honor, I just wanted to remind you, as before,  
8 other counsel addressed this issue, in this case, in this case,  
9 the carriers have been cooperative at every step of the way.  
10 We have supported mediation at every step of the way. We  
11 proposed a mediator in July 2024. We submitted a mediation  
12 order in July 2024. That was not -- neither of those were  
13 responded to for many months. But when they were responded to,  
14 as Mr. Schiavoni said, we did agree with the committee's chosen  
15 mediator. We did that. We cooperated.

16 When on December 31st, 2024, five months plus, after  
17 we submitted a mediation order, when the debtor provided a new  
18 form of mediation order that basically essentially ignored what  
19 we had sent, we worked with them. We worked with them for two  
20 months, and we worked with them with little involvement of the  
21 committee, frankly, which did not provide input on that order,  
22 at least not visibly to us. And we were asking about it  
23 because we wanted to move ahead with the mediation.

24 And this all culminated in a discussion on March 7th  
25 in which we were very clear with the debtor. We want to move

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

65

1 forward with the mediation. We want to proceed. We want to  
2 get this case going. And we were met with this motion. So  
3 again, I understand that my colleagues will address this issue,  
4 but I just wanted you

5 THE COURT: Um-hum. Sure. Okay.

6 MS. FARRELL: -- respectfully not to lose the forest  
7 for the trees of this particular case.

8 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

9 MS. FARRELL: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: Tanc Schiavoni for ACE Aetna.

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, every once in a while,  
14 this happens. But here, I sort of feel that like my adversary  
15 or friend policyholder has kind of made half my case for me  
16 here. On this issue, when asked about how the cases were  
17 selected and what was driving the debtor in doing that, the way  
18 cases are selected to go forward as bellwethers or whatnot in  
19 an MDL or in a JCCP proceeding is the policyholder, the  
20 defendant, is looking to pick cases that they think they could  
21 win. Trying to pick a mix of them. I think Your Honor called  
22 them dogs.

23 THE COURT: I didn't use that word. That was --

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: I will use that. I take it --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

66

1           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- it'll be my word dogs. But I don't  
2 mean that negatively but like --

3           THE COURT: I'm not going to describe any bad  
4 feelings.

5           MR. SCHIAVONI: But you pick a mix here.

6           THE COURT: Um-hum.

7           MR. SCHIAVONI: What we heard back was no, we picked  
8 these cases based on their insurance elements.

9           THE COURT: Um-hum.

10          MR. SCHIAVONI: And that sort of gets to the crux of a  
11 lot of what's like the disengagement, how this process, going  
12 forward, the debtor is not approaching it with the same  
13 economic interest it would otherwise have outside of the tort  
14 system.

15          And this whole notion that they're sort of exercising  
16 their business judgment here, look closely at the declaration  
17 that the debtor put in it. It said it was against lifting the  
18 stay right up, right up to up to February 28th. And what  
19 happened here was the committee, and it's laid out in the  
20 papers, told them they wouldn't mediate, period. They wouldn't  
21 mediate a plan unless they did this. So we have a debtor with  
22 a gun to its head, saying, okay, fine, and then acquiescing to  
23 a selection of cases.

24          I thought very hard about putting in a surreply. Your  
25 Honor, I sort of, quite rightly, was chastised previously. But

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

67

1 here --

2 THE COURT: Well, let's remember that this was an  
3 accommodation that everybody granted to the committee and the  
4 debtor. This was on short time, if I remember correctly. And  
5 under those circumstances, I wanted to let everybody be heard,  
6 just so you know, okay.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah.

8 THE COURT: That's why I granted it.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: So the reason we put it in though,  
10 was, I think, an extraordinary situation. It was that in the  
11 moving papers and in the replies, the cases that were the  
12 subject of the lift stay weren't disclosed. They're just not  
13 there.

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. We only got it because we had  
16 written and sort of pressed this. We got it through a private  
17 email on Saturday after the replies went in, and we put it into  
18 to develop the -- because that's a completely new development,  
19 and also because it was only in the replies that they sort of  
20 attempted to even address the cause issues. But the main thing  
21 was that's where they made the disclosure. And how strange is  
22 that? I mean, I can't say in my career I've ever actually been  
23 to a lift stay motion where they hadn't disclosed who they're  
24 lifting the stay for.

25 Well, just think why. It's like it's the exact reason

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

68

1 I'm going to sort of -- is at the core of our objection to  
2 lifting the stay. It's going to inject intense discrimination  
3 into the case. Not only were they keeping it from us so we  
4 could have made that argument in our moving papers, but I think  
5 the real reason here is none of the claimants had notice. All  
6 the claimants didn't have -- to this day, they don't have  
7 notice because it's not disclosed either in their moving  
8 papers, that who was selected, that one of their committee  
9 members was selected to go forward on a preferential basis, and  
10 that three out-of-state plaintiffs' lawyers, Mr. Herman in  
11 Miami, Mr. Anderson in Wisconsin, and Mr. Fau (phonetic) in --  
12 I think he's in Oregon or Washington, that they were selected  
13 to bring forward those cases.

14 If you had all those -- all those committee -- if you  
15 had all the counsel, all these plaintiffs' lawyers here, and  
16 they were all told, oh, who should go forward, I think it'd be  
17 a long discussion because they know exactly what's at stake.  
18 They know exactly -- tomorrow, if we end early today, and it's  
19 not a pitch for that. But I'm flying to the Imerys pre-trial  
20 hearing. And we have two objections there by plaintiffs'  
21 lawyers, prominent plaintiffs' lawyers from Alameda County, who  
22 were asbestos lawyers. I think you probably know. They're  
23 very famous guys here. They're showing up to say that they got  
24 a judgment and somehow they got this judgment after the case  
25 went forward, and they don't want to be paid by the matrix.

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

69

1 They don't want to be paid 400,000 dollars.

2           Mr. Kazin (phonetic) wants thirty-five-million dollars  
3 apiece for his two cases. Okay. And he will not be. His  
4 views will not change on that. That's exactly what's at stake  
5 here. And that's exactly what's been injected into the case.  
6 And we largely heard this really from the debtor that he sort  
7 of stood back and he let the cases be selected as they would.

8           Here, we're not -- I mean, I got this notion that, oh,  
9 this sort of, well, maybe on the courthouse steps, people  
10 settle faster. But this is bankruptcy court, and in  
11 bankruptcy, where we have multiple claimants all claiming  
12 against a limited pool of assets, if a court allows a preferred  
13 group, a small group after it, you will by definition  
14 introduced discrimination into the case. So that preferred  
15 group will have a preferred outcome with regard to those  
16 assets.

17           Now, I hear -- and that's what the automatic stay is  
18 about. And arguably the whole Bankruptcy Code is about  
19 stopping that rush to the courthouse where in advance of a  
20 bankruptcy, a few banks or a few trade creditors rush in and  
21 grab everything. I mean, respectfully, I think, in this  
22 context, it's not really a tool. It's the core. It's  
23 fundamental to bankruptcy not to allow that process to start.

24           There are cases. I'll be the first to admit it, where  
25 the stay is lifted to allow pursuit of insurance. You see that

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

70

1 oftentimes, I think, in your commercial cases involving D&O  
2 carriers. But in all of those cases, if you think about it and  
3 you look back at them, the plaintiff agrees to limit his  
4 recovery to the insurance. That's explicitly not what's  
5 happening here.

6 And I think they're not really -- hearing from the  
7 committee on this, they're not really being fulsome in their  
8 explanation to you by not pointing that out. It's like, sure,  
9 they're saying they will defer collection, but they're not  
10 giving up that right. It's like the cases where the state is  
11 lifted, outside of these few sui generis diocese cases, are  
12 cases where an agreement has been made not to pursue outside of  
13 insurance.

14 And that's really critical here because once somebody,  
15 like Mr. Kazin, who's not here and he's not an abuse lawyer,  
16 once he puts himself in a preferential position and his client  
17 does, all their economic incentives -- we're not speculating.  
18 We're not guessing what's going to happen. Right now, we know  
19 that if you let Mr. Herman, for instance, go forward, his  
20 economic interest is going to be to press that to the advantage  
21 of his client. There's no reason for him to give that up. If  
22 he gets a judgment, he will, I guarantee it, or maybe I can't  
23 guarantee it. That's maybe speculation, but I can tell you  
24 this.

25 THE COURT: You can issue a highly confident letter.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

71

1                   MR. SCHIAVONI: His economic interest is in pursuing  
2 the full outcome.

3                   THE COURT: Um-hum.

4                   MR. SCHIAVONI: And even if he comes short of that, to  
5 the extent he advances his case, he immediately has a lesser  
6 interest from all the other claimant lawyers in letting a  
7 plan be formulated. And I would offer, honestly, a counter  
8 sort of explanation for why some of these cases haven't  
9 settled, and that's exactly it. Some of these folks think that  
10 they can get to a judgment before anybody else, and that's tied  
11 a bunch of the cases up in knots because they think they can  
12 engineer it that way or whatnot for various reasons.

13                  It's really it's absolutely toxic to getting a deal  
14 done here because if you lift it for these three prominent  
15 fellows, they will dominate any discussion, and they will ask  
16 for more than even the limits on the policies. And we'll never  
17 get anywhere. It's like, equitable distribution here will  
18 ultimately mean, whoever your lawyer is, you get paid what your  
19 claims are worth, not who your lawyer is or whether or not he  
20 was able to use his influence in how committee counsel is  
21 selected to get himself on the list to be one of the ones to go  
22 forward.

23                  And you can't look at this list and say, it's just a  
24 coincidence that there's a committee member turned out to be on  
25 it. It's not just a coincidence that they didn't disclose this

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

72

1       in their moving papers who it would be because this isn't the  
2       only situation. You have in Oakland, if you recall, the same  
3       thing happened. They didn't disclose who it was that lift stay  
4       was going to be granted for. But then we heard after in a  
5       presentation by Mr. Simons --

6                  THE COURT: Um-hum.

7                  MR. SCHIAVONI: -- that the guy who was teed up was  
8       Mr. Woodhull (phonetic), who is a claimant for lift stay. Who  
9       was Mr. Woodhull? The chairman of the committee.

10                 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11                 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And that has -- well, I don't  
12       want to talk about Oakland, but having a committee member think  
13       that his case is going to go to trial first and he's going to  
14       get first shot in any assets, like what we will hear on those  
15       arguments tomorrow with Mr. Kazin in Imerys, how does that  
16       position him, then, to sit in the exercise of his fiduciary  
17       duty and decide about how to negotiate a plan on behalf of all  
18       claimants, including some claimants for which there's no  
19       insurance and some claimants are represented pro se or some  
20       claimants who are represented by lawyers who are not licensed  
21       in the state. Who are unlikely to be in a position to sort of  
22       bring their case forward.

23                 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24                 MR. SCHIAVONI: It's like, that claimant is  
25       economically incentivized. I guess I can't speculate on what

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

73

1 he's going to do, but I am not speculating on what his economic  
2 interests are. His economic interest is to say, look, do we  
3 really need a plan? Why don't we just go forward and let me  
4 get a verdict, and then you guys can talk about whatever plan  
5 you have. And I'd like to be, frankly, in the position of Mr.  
6 Kazin. It's a toxic introduction into these cases.

7 Personally, if it was appropriate, I would tell you  
8 war stories about other cases I've been in, where I've seen  
9 this dynamic occur. I had one case -- this is the only minor  
10 war story I'll tell you. I had one case where the plaintiffs  
11 came back, and they had a matrix where people were paid in  
12 accordance with the level of prominence of their lawyer. It  
13 took a year for us to walk that back in this sort of gasoline  
14 explosion case. It's just toxic to the exchange.

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: So this is a long pitch, Your Honor,  
17 to sort of explain to you why, really, how important it is that  
18 we'd suggest to let the mediation go forward somewhat. We have  
19 relatively modest limits at stake in these cases. We're going  
20 to find out relatively quickly, or not, whether they're willing  
21 to engage in that range, so to speak. If they're not, well,  
22 then, all bets are off and we can -- and tear through  
23 litigation. But it's not true, this notion here that you  
24 heard, that there's no prejudice by going forward.

25 There are differences in California law that really

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

74

1 introduce other prejudices right away. In California, insurers  
2 have something called bus rights, that if you start spending  
3 money under reservation of rights and it's later determined  
4 that there's no coverage, the carriers have a right of  
5 recoupment to get that money back. It's to sort of encourage  
6 our engagement and whatnot. There are coverage issues here  
7 going forward. How they turn out, who knows? It's like,  
8 they're not moving -- they're not asking to lift the stay for  
9 the coverage issues to be determined.

10 But should those -- like, they create those bus rights  
11 immediately going forward. They give us an economic interest  
12 that arguably is an administrative claim against the estate,  
13 directly, immediately in the estate as they go forward. And if  
14 it's proven for individual claims not to have coverage, then,  
15 like, strangely, like, we'd be given arguably a priority on the  
16 payout of those claims. So it's immediately creating some very  
17 complicated issues here.

18 Your Honor, so I'd ask you --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- please, in connection with -- just  
21 one last notion --

22 THE COURT: Sure.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- on this issue of introducing  
24 discrimination. I made this point to you before, but it's  
25 like, when you look at these cases, there have been 150

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

75

1 asbestos mass tort bankruptcies --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and another fifty other types of  
4 mass tort cases. I can't think of any. I have been unable to  
5 find anywhere the stay was lifted. And some of those cases  
6 went on for quite some time. And it was precisely for this  
7 reason, this problem that would occur. And that problem will  
8 occur here. Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

10 Okay. Anybody else from the insurance side want to  
11 chat?

12 Come on up.

13 MS. WALSH: Good morning again, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Good morning.

15 MS. WALSH: For the record, Kaitlin Walsh of Mintz,  
16 Levin on behalf of General Insurance Company of America.

17 THE COURT: Go ahead.

18 MS. WALSH: Thank you for the opportunity to be heard  
19 here today.

20 THE COURT: Sure. Yeah.

21 MS. WALSH: I will echo a lot of Mr. Schiavoni's  
22 comments. I will try to be brief --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. WALSH: -- in that echoing.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

76

1           MS. WALSH: Your Honor, the debtor and the committee  
2 are asking the Court to allow for cases to go forward in the  
3 tort system before we have even had one moment spent on  
4 mediation, despite the insurers' best efforts to move that  
5 process forward, as detailed by my partner Ms. Farrell. To  
6 proceed this way undermines principal tenets of bankruptcy law,  
7 which is reaching global settlement and that like creditors be  
8 treated likewise.

9           The movants argue that the path will speed up  
10 resolution of this case, and they say that this is because  
11 litigation drives settlement. But the movants offer nothing  
12 but conjecture as to how that will work in this case, that the  
13 litigation of four cases will somehow result in the settlement  
14 of some ninety-plus other cases. And again, it is the movant's  
15 burden to show cause here.

16           The debtor admits that none of these cases are ready  
17 for trial. So we've talked about this a bunch this morning --

18           THE COURT: Yeah.

19           MS. WALSH: -- and it cuts both ways, though. Right.

20           THE COURT: Um-hum.

21           MS. WALSH: Because they're saying that it drives  
22 settlement, but none of these cases are anywhere near being  
23 close to courthouse steps. So even if you accepted this  
24 premise that somehow by pursuing these limited number of cases,  
25 you would get closer to global settlement, which I don't

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

77

1 actually believe is true, even if you took that that notion,  
2 we're nowhere near that point. We're nowhere near the  
3 courthouse steps. Movant stresses that claimants will not be  
4 able to enforce any judgments against the estate, and yet they  
5 also state that the movants will be able to enforce these  
6 judgments against the insurers.

7 So here, you have a situation where one claimant could  
8 not only get a judgment, but could also get payment  
9 theoretically on their claim outside the bankruptcy process  
10 prior to this being resolved, prior to other claimants, not to  
11 mention that these claimants will get their day in court to the  
12 exclusion of other claimants. This is disparate treatment and  
13 calls into question the debtor's ability to confirm a plan  
14 under 1123. It violates the equal treatment standard.

15 And while we're speaking about plans, we should note  
16 that July 1st marks eighteen months since the case's filing,  
17 the statutory limit on which the debtor can extend its  
18 exclusivity period under 1121(d)(2)(A). We submit that the  
19 parties would be better served focusing on mediation and plan  
20 negotiation, rather than litigating these cases, which the  
21 debtor has recognized is not really for valuation purposes or  
22 for plan formulation purposes.

23 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24 MS. WALSH: Now, the movants aren't asking for only  
25 four cases, of course. They're asking this Court for the

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

78

1       extraordinary relief that if one of these four cases settles or  
2 reaches judgment or somehow resolves, they want this Court's  
3 authority to choose another case from a queue and simply  
4 replace that case. This scenario is unprecedented, and for  
5 good reason because why would a debtor remain in bankruptcy  
6 while it continues to cycle through its tort litigation in  
7 state court?

8               And it sets up a perverse dynamic that Mr. Schiavoni  
9 was speaking about a little while ago, perhaps looking at from  
10 a slightly different perspective, in that claimants' lawyers  
11 will be motivated to get to be the next in line to have their  
12 case be added to the queue, to have their case be able to be  
13 tried, rather than working towards a resolution in the  
14 bankruptcy.

15               THE COURT: Okay.

16               MS. WALSH: Not to mention serious procedural issues  
17 with notice and other substantive issues in terms of cause --

18               THE COURT: Um-hum.

19               MS. WALSH: -- for lifting of the stay.

20               THE COURT: Um-hum.

21               MS. WALSH: So accordingly, we submit that the parties  
22 should focus on mediation --

23               THE COURT: Okay.

24               MS. WALSH: -- rather than litigation, and at a  
25 minimum, that the evergreen nature of the relief sought should

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

79

1       be denied.

2                 THE COURT: Absent some other process. If somebody  
3       wants to come in and say, look, X happened. We didn't expect  
4       it. We'd like to be able to name someone else. We could have  
5       a hearing about that, presumably, right?

6                 MS. WALSH: Of course, the debtor would reserve rights  
7       to --

8                 THE COURT: Yeah.

9                 MS. WALSH: -- come to the Court again and ask for  
10      relief.

11                THE COURT: Um-hum.

12                MS. WALSH: But the way that the relief is structured,  
13      in current motion --

14                THE COURT: No, I hear you.

15                MS. WALSH: Yeah.

16                THE COURT: Got it. Thank you.

17                MS. WALSH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

18                THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the insurance  
19      side want to chat before I give the debtor and the committee  
20      the last word here?

21                Okay.

22                MS. TURNER: Your Honor.

23                THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry.

24                MS. TURNER: Your Honor, sorry. Miranda Turner on  
25      behalf of Transcontinental Insurance, one of the CNA companies,

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

80

1 just briefly, Your Honor.

2 We joined Ms. Farrell and Ms. Walsh's briefs. We're  
3 in agreement with Mr. Schiavoni's points. I don't want to  
4 repeat any of that.

5 I do want to comment, though, on the cases identified  
6 in the committee's brief and some of the cases that Your Honor  
7 invoked as the subject of some discussion among the bankruptcy  
8 courts. Some of those are not examples that would support what  
9 the committee is asking for here. They're being brought out as  
10 examples of cases where individual suits proceed, and it drives  
11 progress in the mediation.

12 Your Honor, in Rochester, the description of the  
13 relief that the court granted there and then the agreement that  
14 the committee described as being reached, that happened in  
15 2022. And the agreement cut the insurers out and presented a  
16 restructuring support agreement, followed by a plan that had  
17 prejudicial provisions that the insurers, including CNA,  
18 objected to. And three years later, there is no confirmed plan  
19 in that case.

20 The Diocese of Rockville Center, in that case, there  
21 were cases moving forward. There was also a threat by Judge  
22 Glenn to dismiss the bankruptcy case. And I think Mr. Jacobs  
23 and some of the other carriers who were involved there have  
24 made mention in Oakland before Your Honor of some of the other  
25 issues that were at stake in that case.

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

81

1           And Your Honor, the Buffalo case, you mentioned it  
2 expressly. I want to provide some additional context to that  
3 case. It has been pending for five years. Your Honor, in that  
4 case, the bar date was not set until the CVA window had closed.  
5 It was contemporaneous with the CVA window closing, and the CVA  
6 window was extended in New York because of the COVID-19  
7 pandemic.

8           So the case sat, essentially, while the claims were  
9 coming in. There were far more claims in that case than the  
10 diocese had initially anticipated, and there is a very uneven  
11 insurance picture in that case. About half of the claims are  
12 uninsured. Seventeen lift stay motions were brought. Judge  
13 Bucki did grant that relief.

14           He also ordered the debtor to file a plan, by this  
15 September 2025, which is the deadline for the Diocese of  
16 Buffalo to put a plan on file that is going to happen before  
17 any of the lift stay cases actually conclude discovery, much  
18 less before they receive trial dates. So I think the notion  
19 that the lifting of the stay is driving any sort of progress in  
20 the cases and is going to have them result in a resolution is  
21 not supported by these other cases.

22           And Your Honor has confronted this situation in  
23 Oakland. You did deny the relief there, I think, under the  
24 circumstances of that case. And I guess my point would be the  
25 circumstances of all of these cases are quite different. And

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

82

1       in some of them, mediation has gone on for a period of time  
2       without success. In Oakland, the proposal was to put everyone  
3       back in the court system.

4                  In this case, no mediation has even taken place. And  
5       I do want to -- I do want to echo Ms. Farrell's point briefly  
6       on the insurers' cooperation with respect to trying to get  
7       mediation started. I looked back at my emails in preparation  
8       for today, and our mediators name was provided by Mr. Brosnahan  
9       to the carriers in November of 2024.

10                 The mediator presented a potential conflict with CNA.  
11       I immediately called him. I spoke with him. We talked it  
12       through. I got approval and said that CNA would agree with Mr.  
13       Warshak (phonetic) on December 2nd, 2024. We're here on Sidd  
14       Finch Day, and the mediation hasn't gone forward because we  
15       were advised the committee was the one refusing to go to  
16       mediation.

17                 So I think, Your Honor, all of these cases are  
18       different is the point that I'd like to make. And in this  
19       case, there's a lot of focus on the insurers delaying. There's  
20       a lot of focus on whether two things can proceed at once and  
21       the insurers' opposition and the insurers' playbook, which is,  
22       I think, a point Mr. Weisenberg made. This is a playbook.  
23       These lift stay motions are a playbook that are being brought  
24       out in Oakland. In San Francisco. In this case. In Albany.  
25       In Buffalo. And I think that bears asking what the delay is

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

83

1 going to look like.

2                   And once, if Your Honor grants the stipulation and  
3 these cases move forward, the committee may be incentivized to  
4 engage less with the mediation and to focus on these lift stay  
5 cases moving forward because this is an opportunity, a pursuit  
6 that they are making in multiple cases. And I question whether  
7 the mediation can go forward productively with these two things  
8 on track, not because the insurers are not prepared, but  
9 because this is something that we're seeing in multiple cases  
10 that does create all of the incentives Mr. Schiavoni was  
11 talking about.

12                   And we think it's a risk to the process here as well.  
13 We remain committed to mediating in good faith. But the lift  
14 stay -- the lift stay cases moving forward at the same time is  
15 going to present real problems for the mediation.

16                   THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

17                   Anybody else on the insurance side want to have a  
18 word?

19                   MR. PERCH: Very, very briefly, Your Honor. Good  
20 afternoon, now. Frank Perch of White and Williams representing  
21 Zurich in this case, Your Honor. Zurich joins in the arguments  
22 that have been presented by Mr. Schiavoni, Ms. Farrell, and Ms.  
23 Turner. Mr. Schiavoni pointed out that there have been dozens  
24 of mass tort cases that have been successfully resolved without  
25 these kind of lift stay motions. That, by the way, includes a

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

84

1 large number of diocesan cases that have been filed over the  
2 last roughly twenty years.

3 So if something has changed, if something has changed  
4 in the willingness of parties to settle, is it the insurers'  
5 willingness to settle? I don't think so. The evidence today  
6 has been that the insurers have been trying to mediate, have  
7 been working in good faith, and have been accommodating in  
8 terms of identifying who the mediator is.

9 Now, let's look at something else. The debtors'  
10 response to the motion filed over the weekend, at page 2, says,  
11 the debtor is offering a plan in which it pays the liquidation  
12 value of its assets, there is a contribution from the  
13 associated ministries, and the debtor assigns rights against  
14 insurers to a creditor trust ..."

15 As any insurer seen that plan? My client has not seen  
16 that plan. I haven't seen that plan. So far as I know, no one  
17 has seen that plan. If we want to move this case towards  
18 resolution, let's actually negotiate. Let's take a look at the  
19 structure of a plan. Let's talk about the structure of a plan.  
20 Let's focus on the structure of a plan, instead of focusing on  
21 which cases can we use to browbeat the insurers into offering  
22 policy limits? Let's actually focus on resolving the case.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. PERCH: That would be our recommendation, Your  
25 Honor.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

85

1           THE COURT: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

2           Okay. One more.

3           MR. WYATT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Afternoon  
4 now. Andrew Wyatt, again, for the record.

5           A couple of things that I don't think were touched on  
6 is the debtor and the committee selecting these cases based on  
7 insurance issues can have, I think, a pretty detrimental effect  
8 on the availability of insurance as a whole for the remaining  
9 committee that is not part of these four cases going forward.  
10 For example, there could be detrimental facts that are  
11 discovered during the progress of these four cases that can  
12 create coverage issues that would preclude coverage as to many  
13 other members of the committee.

14           And right now, those issues are hypothetical and are  
15 not being asserted in the same way they would be if there is a  
16 concrete discovery response or there is a jury verdict finding  
17 as to knowledge or something of that nature. And especially  
18 with these cases being selected from a strictly insurance  
19 standpoint, I think it's more likely than not that these issues  
20 will start to come up pretty quickly.

21           And I think that brings us to our second point, which  
22 is so far, the insurers have not filed any sort of coverage  
23 action. And this goes to this whole idea of trying to  
24 cooperate in good faith in mediation and trying to resolve  
25 these issues before having to initiate additional litigation,

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

86

1 additional litigation that will involve not just the debtor,  
2 but also the individual claimants who have to be named as part  
3 of these coverage actions to be bound to any sort of judgment  
4 as to coverage. And we're in a situation where as those  
5 progress forward, the debtor is not agreeing to allow these  
6 insurance cases to go forward in the same way that he's  
7 allowing. It seems like an unlimited number of the claimants  
8 cases to go forward.

9           And I think that the last thing that we'd want to  
10 bring up is the insurance is not unlimited. I know that the  
11 committee might have you think differently, but this is a  
12 situation where policies can become exhausted. And this goes,  
13 I think, back to the discrimination point, as demands start to  
14 come in on any sort of these cases that are lifted from stay or  
15 as judgments are received and insurers have duties to pay on  
16 these final judgments, the policies will become exhausted and  
17 that will leave less money available, less policies available  
18 to then pay out to the rest of the claimants who have had to,  
19 unfortunately, sit on the sidelines, have not had a chance to  
20 have their stay in court because of these limited selections.

21           Whereas, if we were to pursue mediation without having  
22 the stay lifted, the full amount of insurance is available from  
23 all insurers to potentially contribute. And as soon as that  
24 starts to go away, whether it's a coverage offense or a policy  
25 exhaustion, the total amount of money available to the rest of

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

87

1 the survivors becomes extremely limited.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

3 MR. WYATT: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. BROSNAHAN: Okay. Let me just -- should I just  
6 address the aggregate limit issue, Your Honor --

7 THE COURT: Um-hum. Yeah. Sure.

8 MR. BROSNAHAN: The exhaustion issue. Um-hum.  
9 Counsel for travelers hasn't pointed to any policy or any  
10 language that imposes an aggregate limit. And certainly none  
11 of the cases that have been proposed for the list are subject  
12 to any aggregate limits. Your Honor might recall, at the very  
13 outset of this case, we had a case called the Mers (phonetic)  
14 case that we had settled for 400,000 dollars on the eve of the  
15 filing.

16 THE COURT: Um-hum.

17 MR. BROSNAHAN: We filed a motion to have Your Honor  
18 approve that. One of the points we made was that there are no  
19 applicable aggregate limits, and therefore, paying Mr. Mers  
20 400,000 dollars did not impair any of the other claimants'  
21 ability to recover insurance. Travelers did not object to that  
22 because the fact is there are no aggregate limits applicable.  
23 These are occurrence based limits only.

24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

25 MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

88

1           THE COURT: Let's hear from the debtor and committee.

2           MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I'll be brief. Kind of a one  
3 off to start.

4           Ms. Walsh for General Insurance mentioned that if stay  
5 relief is granted to allow one or a few creditors to get very  
6 large claim amounts, that somehow that would enable them to be  
7 paid outside of bankruptcy, I don't see how in a single  
8 defendant in a single defendant stay relief in a trial unless  
9 the case is dismissed. So I contest that proposal.

10          Mr. Schiavoni introduced the concept of discrimination  
11 that, effectively, one large holder might somehow take control  
12 of the process. And there are large claims in bankruptcy all  
13 the time, and not every case is going to get tried here. I  
14 have faith in the committee that they will have a claims  
15 resolution process. There is a concept that they have pledged  
16 to stand by, which is equal distribution among similarly  
17 situated creditors. I don't see this as a risk, but to the  
18 extent that there is a large claim, Your Honor, we leave it to  
19 the committee to police its own side and to whip whatever votes  
20 are necessary when we get to the plan stage. We're not there  
21 yet.

22          Your Honor, the debtor absolutely believes that stay  
23 relief will aid the mediation process. This has got -- this  
24 one motion has gotten more interest from the insurers than  
25 anything else we've done in the case. And we have been talking

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

89

1 to them. I've come to this Court numerous times to report to  
2 the Court that we've had a lot of conversations, but we haven't  
3 gotten anywhere. And so at this point, the committee and the  
4 debtor have to ask us, if not now, when will we get to this  
5 process?

6           The final thing I want to say, and this is  
7 perspective, Your Honor, is that side of the room is filled  
8 with parties and counsel who represent parties who aren't  
9 protected by the stay. It's the debtor's stay. And it's  
10 obvious that the Court has the power to lift the stay or not at  
11 this point. But to the extent that mediation doesn't work the  
12 committee and the debtor are willing to take that risk. If the  
13 insurers don't want to come to mediation, that's fine. But our  
14 concept is that we will get insurers to come to mediation. And  
15 they will participate, and if they choose not to, well then  
16 their claims will be handled later in a reorganization plan.

17           The insurance risk here, final point, Your Honor. I  
18 would say this about it. Insurance in these cases is for the  
19 benefit of the survivors. It funds the reorganization. There  
20 are expert counsel on the committee's side and on the debtor  
21 side who believe that the risk to coverage is minimal to  
22 nonexistent. We're happy to listen to the insurers if they  
23 believe otherwise, and we've heard potential claims about that,  
24 but we think there will be coverage in whatever amount there  
25 is, and that there is a consensual reorganization plan here

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

90

1 that can be proposed between the debtor, the committee, and as  
2 many insurers as want to participate.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

4 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 MR. WEISENBERG: Brent Wisenberg on behalf of the  
6 committee. So not surprisingly, Your Honor, we've been  
7 punching at straw men the whole day. The narrow issue before,  
8 Your Honor, as I began, is whether the debtor has acted within  
9 its business judgment to join the committee in lifting the  
10 stay.

11 The parade of horribles that the insurers have begged  
12 you to go down, you don't need to go down. The amount of  
13 supposed sympathy and concern for survivors on this side of the  
14 courtroom is something I've never seen. This same group, as  
15 you know, who across the country have opposed every motion to  
16 allow survivors to speak, all of a sudden have grave concerns  
17 about how survivors are being treated? Well, the two  
18 fiduciaries, fiduciaries, lawfully, to the estate have  
19 considered that and have determined that is not an issue.

20 You've also heard, please, Your Honor, allow us to  
21 mediate first. Only then, if it fails, can we go to this  
22 route. Your Honor, this is not an either/or proposition. We  
23 are prepared to go to mediation immediately at the same time  
24 that this is taking place.

25 And so Your Honor, based upon those grounds, we ask

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

91

1 that you grant the motion.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

3 I would like to give you guys some thoughts and then  
4 talk about how we go forward. I'm assuming that -- I mean,  
5 obviously we have Oakland to talk about. I'm assuming people  
6 would want to take a break between my giving you my thoughts  
7 about this motion and commencing Oakland; is that correct? I  
8 don't know if people are getting on planes at 3 o'clock if you  
9 are. I think it's --

10 MR. BROSNAHAN: Your Honor, if we get five minutes  
11 just for a break, that would be appreciated.

12 THE COURT: Well, no, we can take a little longer. I  
13 mean, I'm going to see what the room wants to do. Okay. I  
14 appreciate it.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, the debtors are not  
16 getting on a plane at 3 o'clock (indiscernible) --

17 THE COURT: All right. So would it be convenient to  
18 take a --

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A hundred percent to take a  
20 break.

21 THE COURT: -- noon kind of break, noon-ish break?

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We will be here for the day as,  
23 long as it takes.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just wanted to make that

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

92

1 clear.

2 THE CLERK: For the committee in Oakland as well, Your  
3 Honor.

4 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Very good.

5 MR. BROSNAHAN: How about we take a break and start  
6 (indiscernible).

7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

8 Thank you all for your wonderful arguments. Let me  
9 begin by saying that I'm aware, and this comes up frequently in  
10 these cases because it's possible to read the past events as  
11 indicative of somebody's good faith, bad faith. I have not had  
12 occasion in this case, and I may never have occasion in this  
13 case, to find anybody in bad faith or being dilatory for any  
14 reason that is outside the norm. Sometimes, things take  
15 longer. Whatever.

16 As important as I think it is to all of you to cast  
17 yourself in the best light and where you think appropriate,  
18 cast the other side in a different light. I have never had to  
19 get to that level to make any decision. I'm not going to do it  
20 today. I assume that all of you realize that this is a  
21 iterative, cooperative, constructive process. I have no basis  
22 to think anybody is dragging their heels or surprising the  
23 other guy or any -- that's all neither here nor there to me.

24 So clearing the field of that issue, I do think that  
25 this is a unique situation in which the debtor and the

**eScribers, LLC**

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

93

1 committee have ultimately jointly agreed that it's in the best  
2 interest of the process and moving the process along to grant  
3 relief from stay as to a limited number of cases to add that as  
4 an incentive to realistically, productively, aggressively  
5 engaging in the mediation process on the theory that everybody  
6 could have something to lose in the context of litigation and  
7 lifting the stay.

8 I think, in that context, I will basically agree with  
9 the debtor, I could split some hairs here and there, that this  
10 is not a case where they are obligated to make the kind of  
11 showing of cause that we ordinarily expect from the creditor  
12 who's coming and asking the debtor to do something they don't  
13 want to do, which is lift the stay. Ignore the bankruptcy  
14 protections. Go off and litigate something somewhere else.

15 I firmly believe that the automatic stay is  
16 predominantly a tool. It's a big one, but it is a tool. And  
17 it is to be deployed, used, conditioned, terminated, annulled,  
18 whatever other words Congress chose to use, after, among other  
19 things, the debtor and other parties have assessed what the  
20 risks are, what the benefits are, what the detriments are, and  
21 presented that to a court. And the court then makes a decision  
22 as to whether -- if it's a hostile situation, whether the  
23 Curtis factors or others are satisfied or whether in other  
24 circumstances, it is more akin to the debtor with the  
25 committee's sign on taking the position that all in all, it

eScribers, LLC

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 2094-8 Filed: 06/25/25 Entered: 06/25/25 15:09:50 Page 94  
of 122

## Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.

94

1 would advance the cause of the most important thing in the  
2 case, the mediation to have a parallel track.

3 So I think that the debtor's showing here was perhaps  
4 not as heroic as maybe the insurers would suggest it needed to  
5 be. I think it's a relatively minimal standard in the first  
6 instance as to how they choose to use the stay and limit the  
7 stay and put the stay aside for a few minutes. I think that's  
8 all the right frame in which to look at this.

9 Having said that, I think that the showing here is  
10 minimal in the sense, as well, that I don't think I necessarily  
11 have to conclude that because five other cases have proceeded  
12 this way, there's a showing based on that, that this one will  
13 too. I think there is enough there to suggest that the  
14 mediation process can otherwise take a little bit longer, be  
15 little bit more elongated, and then when there are other risks  
16 by all parties, that that is actually a help in the process.

17 There are other cases that have not been mass tort  
18 cases where my colleagues have lifted the stay. It happened in  
19 PG&E, where I think Judge Montali was of the opinion that  
20 things were simply not moving terribly quickly. Lifting the  
21 stay or proposing to do that, with respect to some of the  
22 claims, in that case, I think, was quite beneficial. I think  
23 this is analogous to analogous to that.

24 Nobody's going to get a judgment tomorrow. That's not  
25 the point. The point is that we're going to begin a process

eScribers, LLC

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

95

1       that possibly would benefit and possibly could -- whatever the  
2       opposite word of the benefit would be -- harm the interests of  
3       all the parties. And I think that's an appropriate incentive  
4       in this case.

5               I also agree that this is a case in which I don't know  
6       of any diocese is necessarily rich, but this one is of a  
7       smaller sort with smaller resources. And I think it is a  
8       responsible use of the debtor's overall view of the case and  
9       business judgment or the appropriate way to move the case  
10      forward to act somewhat aggressively in this fashion in this  
11      case.

12               So I think all of those are factors why I would grant  
13      this motion.

14               I don't believe -- look, the parade of horribles, I'm  
15      not trying to make fun of it. I don't know. I can't believe  
16      that many of many of those things can't be managed along the  
17      way, because what's happening here is there is relief from stay  
18      to go begin a process somewhere else. As I said, that's going  
19      to take some time. There's an awful lot of thought that can go  
20      into how that gets managed and how that is affecting various  
21      risks and the way people are approaching the mediation.

22               So I don't think that's necessarily putting anybody in  
23      a box. I think it's creating some other incentives that people  
24      can simply react to, and they will. So I don't take the parade  
25      of horribles at face value. I think people can still talk

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

96

1 about those things, and those things can be managed through to  
2 the extent there are risks, they can be managed. And if they  
3 can't, maybe the fact they can't be managed is an incentive in  
4 and of itself.

5 So I think those are all points in favor of allowing  
6 the relief from stay to go forward with the mediation.

7 I am not terribly concerned at the moment by the fact  
8 that at least one of the people among the four claimants  
9 happens to be a committee member because as I said, I think  
10 that if this plays out the way the committee and the debtor are  
11 intending it to play out, the result of this is going to be  
12 quicker progress toward a plan that's going to encompass  
13 everybody's determinations. And I think that -- I mean, look,  
14 if we're waiting for a judgment to get to a plan here, we are  
15 in a bad place. I don't think that's the dynamic at all. The  
16 dynamic is let's start this process and let's have the start of  
17 this process create some incentives.

18 So I think that's -- I mean, I agree that getting a  
19 judgment, certainly outside of bankruptcy, would advantage  
20 somebody. I don't think that progress along those lines has  
21 the same effect here under the sense of where we are in this  
22 case. So I'm not terribly worried about that, and I'm not  
23 terribly worried about the fact that one of the individuals  
24 happens to be on the committee. I don't think there's anything  
25 necessarily inappropriate about that because the ultimate

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

97

1 result to be obtained here is a fair disposition of everybody's  
2 claim. So I think that the identity of the claimant's not of  
3 any great importance to me.

4 I will say that I do want to acknowledge the  
5 Acknowledge the point that the insurers made about adding  
6 parties. I believe that if we start off with four, I think  
7 that if there are some resolutions, I don't think that other  
8 folks should be named and teed up, absent some process for  
9 everybody to come back here and tell me what they think the  
10 progress has been at this point, if there's anything, any  
11 unexpected results or consequences, I think we should take that  
12 into account. And I think that the naming of other individuals  
13 should occur only after we have a chance, at least on a status  
14 conference basis, if nothing else, to assess where we are and  
15 what we might be doing next.

16 So with all that, I'm prepared to grant the motion for  
17 relief from stay with that proviso. I think you heard me  
18 earlier said that with respect to the confidentiality -- I'm  
19 sorry, the privilege log, I think the insurers are right that  
20 some more detail is going to be very helpful to them. And I  
21 think you should work with them about the language of how  
22 particularly you want to want to describe that.

23 So with that I would grant the motion, and I would ask  
24 the debtor and the committee to prepare the order and to run  
25 your suggestion. If you want to be more particular about what

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

98

1 I'm saying about coming back to the Court, if there are matters  
2 for these cases, any of them are resolved and you want to add  
3 one, talk to the insurers about what kind of process that would  
4 be. All right.

5 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. HARRIS: Just for clarity, you're granting the  
8 entire motion, including the motion to mediate with the change  
9 you just mentioned?

10 THE COURT: I am. Yes.

11 MR. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. Can I  
14 just raise one thirty-second issue on the protective order?

15 THE COURT: Come on up. Come on up.

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, there has been a  
17 stipulated protective order submitted --

18 MR. HARRIS: You got to talk at the mic.

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A stipulated protective  
20 order --

21 THE COURT: On the 25th?

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- submitted. It's document  
23 842, I believe.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Submitted on the 25th.

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

99

1           THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Schiavoni filed an objection --

2           THE COURT: Um-hum.

3           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- having to do with the  
4 confidentiality designation of documents that had been subject  
5 to a prior state court order. I proposed language responding  
6 to his concern --

7           THE COURT: Um-hum.

8           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- where we would designate  
9 anything that fell within that category. Okay. We urge the  
10 Court -- we'll submit a revised protective order. I'll run it  
11 by him. And I would urge the Court to enter that protective  
12 order as soon as we do that

13           THE COURT: Well, I'll let him respond.

14           MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, I think he's -- I think he's  
15 going to agree.

16           THE COURT: Okay.

17           MR. BROSNAHAN: My point is that the Court shouldn't  
18 just enter the protective order that's sitting there now.

19           THE COURT: Right.

20           MR. BROSNAHAN: We're going to come back with a  
21 slightly revised --

22           THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Okay.

23           MR. BROSNAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

24           THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a point about that?

25           MR. SCHIAVONI: Oh, I thought you were going to hear

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

100

1 me. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead. Uh-huh.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: I'll limit it to that.

4 THE COURT: Sure.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: I respect the rulings you've made,  
6 Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate it.

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: So on this protective order, at the  
9 last minute when it was filed, a clause was added that said,  
10 basically, you could sort of, like, designate anything  
11 confidential. So there was an extremely extensive ruling and  
12 process that the California Court of -- Intermediate Appellate  
13 Court entered --

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- making confidential the vast bulk  
16 of these documents. I don't know why, like, this protective  
17 order was held up from July until now, with insistence that,  
18 like, it was, all the documents would be attorney's eyes only.  
19 It was all these restrictions. When we could have gotten these  
20 documents in July that the state had gone through this  
21 process.

22 So I would just ask you, like, we have language that  
23 just says simply that those documents shall not be deemed  
24 privileged, like confidential. I mean, a court order has  
25 already been entered on it. They can work through and cure,

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

101

1 and I'm fine with all that. But the order shouldn't permit  
2 them to -- like, shouldn't create confusion that this order  
3 allows those documents that have already been the subject of  
4 subject of a ruling to be deemed confidential. These are the  
5 very documents, by the way, that are at stake. All four of the  
6 cases that they've moved to lift the stay --

7 THE COURT: Um-hum. Um-hum.

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- are the subject of this order.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: So the plaintiffs have these  
11 documents.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: All right. So that's --

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: Like, the language we have, I think,  
16 addresses this. I'm happy to add a clause that says that they  
17 will cure -- they'll make whatever efforts to cure over the  
18 next couple of weeks.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And we can set a time limit  
20 with them.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: And that would do it, I think.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay.

25 THE COURT: Just, I look forward to you guys chatting

**eScribers, LLC**

**Franciscan Friars of California, Inc.**

102

1 about that, and we'll --

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor. If we need to  
3 have a hearing about it, we can. Okay.

4 THE COURT: I don't think we would. All right.

5 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:28 PM)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**eScribers, LLC**

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 2094-8 Filed: 06/25/25 Entered: 06/25/25 15:09:50 Page  
103 of 122

1

## I N D E X

## 2 RULINGS:

PAGE LINE

3 Joint motion for relief from stay is granted 97 16

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**eScribers, LLC**

1                   C E R T I F I C A T I O N  
23     I, River Wolfe, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true  
4     and accurate record of the proceedings.  
5  
67                     
89     /s/ RIVER WOLFE, CDLT-265  
1011    eScribers  
12    7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207  
13    Phoenix, AZ 85020  
1415    Date: April 3, 2025  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25**eScribers, LLC**

<b>A</b>	28:17,18;85:23 <b>actions (3)</b> 20:22;21:8;86:3 <b>actual (1)</b> 14:12 <b>actually (11)</b> 15:11,11;42:15; 52:14;58:8;67:22; 77:1;81:17;84:18,22; 94:16 <b>ad (1)</b> 42:20 <b>add (3)</b> 93:3;98:2;101:16 <b>added (2)</b> 78:12;100:9 <b>adding (2)</b> 11:17;97:5 <b>addition (1)</b> 46:7 <b>additional (3)</b> 81:2;85:25;86:1 <b>address (8)</b> 16:15;41:13;46:18; 57:4;63:25;65:3; 67:20;87:6 <b>addressed (2)</b> 11:10;64:8 <b>addresses (1)</b> 101:16 <b>addressing (1)</b> 63:22 <b>adjourn (1)</b> 28:8 <b>administrative (1)</b> 74:12 <b>admit (1)</b> 69:24 <b>admits (1)</b> 76:16 <b>advance (2)</b> 69:19;94:1 <b>advances (1)</b> 71:5 <b>advantage (3)</b> 51:22;70:20;96:19 <b>adversary (1)</b> 65:14 <b>advised (1)</b> 82:15 <b>Aetna (3)</b> 12:24;15:14;65:11 <b>affecting (1)</b> 95:20 <b>afford (1)</b> 55:6 <b>afternoon (4)</b> 15:20;83:20;85:3,3 <b>Again (13)</b> 29:22;53:1;54:21, 24:55;23:62;14;63:9, 18:65:3;75:13;76:14; 79:9;85:4	<b>against (8)</b> 8:15;42:20;66:17; 69:12;74:12;77:4,6; 84:13 <b>aggregate (5)</b> 87:6,10,12,19,22 <b>aggressively (2)</b> 93:4;95:10 <b>ago (2)</b> 49:9;78:9 <b>agree (11)</b> 25:20;26:20;31:6; 50:13;52:2;64:14; 82:12;93:8;95:5; 96:18;99:15 <b>agreed (8)</b> 13:9;16:10,13; 20:21;51:7;54:17; 61:7;93:1 <b>agreeing (2)</b> 40:2;86:5 <b>agreement (7)</b> 35:23;62:18;70:12; 80:3,13,15,16 <b>agreements (1)</b> 15:7 <b>agrees (1)</b> 70:3 <b>ahead (8)</b> 5:15;6:18,19;12:11; 61:2;64:23;75:17; 100:2 <b>aid (2)</b> 51:24;88:23 <b>akin (1)</b> 93:24 <b>Alameda (1)</b> 68:21 <b>Albany (3)</b> 56:10,24;82:24 <b>ALBERT (3)</b> 7:25;8:4,5 <b>aligned (6)</b> 18:18,24;19:20; 21:5,25;23:19 <b>alignment (1)</b> 9:7 <b>allegation (2)</b> 52:25;53:13 <b>alleged (2)</b> 43:6,6 <b>allegedly (1)</b> 44:9 <b>allow (8)</b> 18:25;69:23,25; 76:2;86:5;88:5;90:16, 20 <b>allowed (5)</b> 13:2;45:21,21; 57:17;60:10 <b>allowing (2)</b> 86:7;96:5 <b>allows (2)</b>	69:12;101:3 <b>almost (1)</b> 53:20 <b>along (7)</b> 21:1;31:11;32:13; 59:7;93:2;95:16; 96:20 <b>alongside (1)</b> 6:15 <b>although (1)</b> 27:22 <b>always (3)</b> 14:14;27:21,22 <b>amalgam (1)</b> 39:11 <b>America (4)</b> 7:9,12;29:24;75:16 <b>among (5)</b> 56:9;80:7;88:16; 93:18;96:8 <b>amount (4)</b> 86:22,25;89:24; 90:12 <b>amounts (1)</b> 88:6 <b>analogous (2)</b> 94:23,23 <b>analysis (2)</b> 33:11;34:15 <b>ancillary (2)</b> 44:14,17 <b>Anderson (1)</b> 68:11 <b>Andrew (2)</b> 7:15;85:4 <b>annulled (1)</b> 93:17 <b>answered (1)</b> 61:1 <b>anticipated (1)</b> 81:10 <b>apiece (1)</b> 69:3 <b>apologize (3)</b> 44:21;52:20;60:24 <b>appearances (4)</b> 5:7;7:1;22;8:14 <b>appeared (1)</b> 47:18 <b>appearing (5)</b> 6:2,14,17;7:19; 55:24 <b>appears (1)</b> 50:8 <b>Appellate (1)</b> 100:12 <b>applicable (2)</b> 87:19,22 <b>apply (1)</b> 57:16 <b>appointing (1)</b> 28:23 <b>appreciate (13)</b>	6:24;16:24;31:15; 34:8,25;45:18;48:17; 49:7;60:16;85:1; 91:14;99:22;100:7 <b>appreciated (1)</b> 91:11 <b>approach (3)</b> 14:5;19:22;25:18 <b>approaches (1)</b> 13:22 <b>approaching (2)</b> 66:12;95:21 <b>appropriate (8)</b> 12:12;13:1;37:8; 60:19;73:7;92:17; 95:3,9 <b>approval (1)</b> 82:12 <b>approve (1)</b> 87:18 <b>APRIL (4)</b> 5:1;47:9,18;48:12 <b>Archdiocese (1)</b> 46:15 <b>arguably (3)</b> 69:18;74:12,15 <b>argue (6)</b> 13:12;18:3;28:6; 51:15,18;76:9 <b>argument (4)</b> 12:21;53:2;54:13; 68:4 <b>arguments (3)</b> 72:15;83:21;92:8 <b>Arizona (1)</b> 16:7 <b>around (2)</b> 23:19;37:17 <b>arranged (1)</b> 24:4 <b>art (2)</b> 44:22;47:23 <b>article (3)</b> 48:21,24;49:6 <b>asbestos (2)</b> 68:22;75:1 <b>aside (1)</b> 94:7 <b>aspects (1)</b> 37:7 <b>assert (1)</b> 12:17 <b>asserted (1)</b> 85:15 <b>assertion (2)</b> 46:11,14 <b>assess (1)</b> 97:14 <b>assessed (1)</b> 93:19 <b>assets (5)</b> 55:6;69:12,16; 72:14;84:12
----------	--	---	---	---

<b>assigns (1)</b>	17:19;27:15;41:5; 84:13	39:12;45:1	59:14	<b>burden (2)</b>
<b>assist (1)</b>	44:8	<b>bellwethers (2)</b>	<b>brief (6)</b>	39:25;76:15
<b>associated (2)</b>	6:2;84:13	42:1;65:18	11:10;59:23;60:4;	<b>buried (1)</b>
<b>assume (2)</b>	39:15;92:20	<b>beneficial (1)</b>	75:22;80:6;88:2	25:11
<b>assuming (3)</b>	51:18;91:4,5	94:22	<b>briefing (2)</b>	<b>Burns (8)</b>
<b>astonishing (1)</b>	47:24	<b>benefit (7)</b>	57:5;59:25	6:14,15;48:19,21, 23;49:2,5;55:25
<b>attached (2)</b>	46:5,10	44:14,17;53:25,25; 89:19;95:1,2	<b>briefly (4)</b>	<b>bus (2)</b>
<b>attacked (1)</b>	49:13	<b>benefits (1)</b>	64:1;80:1;82:5; 83:19	74:2,10
<b>attempted (1)</b>	67:20	<b>Benvenutti (1)</b>	<b>briefs (2)</b>	<b>business (6)</b>
<b>attention (2)</b>	57:9;60:19	8:5	37:15;80:2	50:16,21;63:11; 66:16;90:9;95:9
<b>attitude (2)</b>	21:25;42:7	<b>best (6)</b>	<b>bring (8)</b>	<b>busy (1)</b>
<b>attorneys (1)</b>	60:2	50:25;52:8,9;76:4; 92:17;93:1	19:24;35:5;48:16; 57:9;60:19;68:13; 72:22;86:10	12:15
<b>attorney's (1)</b>	100:18	<b>bets (1)</b>	<b>bringing (1)</b>	<b>by-document (1)</b>
<b>attributable (1)</b>	27:11	73:22	48:5	30:4
<b>Atty (3)</b>	6:13,15;55:24	<b>better (5)</b>	<b>brings (1)</b>	<b>C</b>
<b>authority (1)</b>	78:3	20:24;22:25;51:21; 63:5;77:19	85:21	
<b>authorize (1)</b>	10:14	<b>big (6)</b>	<b>broad (1)</b>	<b>cabining (1)</b>
<b>automatic (5)</b>	52:3;57:8,20;69:17; 93:15	16:18;18:14;23:4; 32:25;33:18;93:16	44:10	52:9
<b>availability (1)</b>	85:8	<b>bigger (1)</b>	<b>BROSNAHAN (104)</b>	<b>CALIFORNIA (9)</b>
<b>available (4)</b>	86:17,17,22,25	26:25	5:14,14,17,19,19, 23:9;11;10:24;11:1,4, 8,13,15,17,20,22,25;	5:1,5,11,21;26:24; 59:17;73:25;74:1; 100:12
<b>avenues (1)</b>	55:11	<b>bills (1)</b>	12:4,10,13,21,23; 13:17,19;14:4,7,10, 14,17,20,23;15:1,11;	
<b>awaits (1)</b>	27:1	<b>Binder (1)</b>	31:17,18,23,25;32:3, 5,12,20,24;33:2,6,10,	<b>Call (2)</b>
<b>aware (1)</b>	92:9	5:10	13,16,18,22,25;34:2, 6,10,14,18,24;35:1,4,	5:3;17:3
<b>away (5)</b>	20:17;26:15,16; 74:1;86:24	<b>bit (6)</b>	7,11,14,17,23;36:1,4, 8;41:12,13,17,19,22,	<b>called (4)</b>
<b>awful (1)</b>	95:19	33:23;37:7;45:17; 54:4;94:14,15	24:43;12,16,18,22; 44:1,4,14,18,21,24;	65:21;74:2;82:11; 87:13
<hr/>		<b>Black (1)</b>	45:3,6,9,12,16,25; 46:3,22,25;47:2,4;	
<b>B</b>		7:19	82:8;87:5,8,17,25; 91:10;92:5;99:14,17,	<b>Calling (1)</b>
<b>back (20)</b>	13:24;24:15;26:23; 30:21;43:11,13;44:5; 51:17;66:7;69:7;70:3; 73:11,13;74:5;82:3,7; 86:13;97:9;98:1; 99:20	<b>blindsided (1)</b>	20,23	5:4
<b>bad (7)</b>		55:21	<b>Brosnahan's (2)</b>	<b>calls (1)</b>
		<b>board (2)</b>	10:17;19:11	77:13
		17:9,13	<b>brought (4)</b>	<b>came (4)</b>
		<b>both (2)</b>	48:24;80:9;81:12;	46:13,16;49:14; 73:11
		62:16;76:19	82:23	<b>Can (58)</b>
		<b>bother (2)</b>	<b>browbeat (1)</b>	7:3;10:15;20:14,22;
		42:7,8	84:21	22:11;25:3;30:13;
		<b>bound (1)</b>	<b>buckets (1)</b>	32:6;34:19,21;36:4;
		86:3	38:9	15,23;37:2;39:4;
		<b>box (1)</b>	<b>Bucki (1)</b>	41:18,19;42:17,25;
		95:23	81:13	43:3,17,17,18;45:23;
		<b>boy (1)</b>	<b>Buffalo (5)</b>	46:24;51:2,14;52:7;
		57:17	56:6;57:3;81:1,16;	59:12,14;60:18;63:2;
		<b>breached (1)</b>	82:25	70:23,25;71:10,11;
		53:7	<b>built (1)</b>	73:4,22;77:17;82:20;
		<b>breaches (1)</b>	23:18	83:7;84:21;85:7,11;
		24:18	<b>bulk (2)</b>	86:12;90:1,21;91:12;
		<b>break (8)</b>	16:18;100:15	94:14;95:19,24,25;
		6:24;9:16;91:6,11, 20,21,21;92:5	<b>bullet (1)</b>	96:1,2;98:13;100:25;
		<b>breach (1)</b>	54:9	101:19;102:3
		19:24	<b>bunch (3)</b>	<b>card (1)</b>
		<b>Brent (6)</b>	35:8;71:11;76:17	14:2
		6:8;15:5;47:6;49:8, 18;90:5	<b>care (1)</b>	
		<b>Brian (2)</b>	23:18	7:21
		5:14,19	<b>bulk (2)</b>	<b>career (2)</b>
		<b>bridge (1)</b>	16:18;100:15	49:2;67:22

<b>carriers (10)</b> 21:18;22:8;30:21; 35:8;42:9;64:9;70:2; 74:4;80:23;82:9	<b>categorical (7)</b> 12:8;19:9,10;24:25; 25:11;30:2;32:17	<b>42:12</b> <b>circumstances (5)</b> 61:21;67:5;81:24, 25;93:24	<b>12</b> <b>Code (1)</b> 69:18	<b>19:11,13</b> <b>companies (7)</b> 13:9;14:5;40:20; 41:1,2;60:20;79:25
<b>carve-out (1)</b> 25:23	<b>categorically (2)</b> 13:7;19:12	<b>cited (2)</b> 53:16,20	<b>codefendants (1)</b> 46:14	<b>Company (6)</b> 7:8,12;8:9;29:24; 63:21;75:16
<b>carving (1)</b> 31:10	<b>categories (1)</b> 30:16	<b>citing (1)</b> 53:18	<b>cognizant (1)</b> 61:25	<b>complete (2)</b> 16:20;61:9
<b>case (104)</b> 5:5;18:23;19:21; 20:25;21:20;27:21; 28:4,11,16,25;29:12; 37:15;38:19,23; 40:25;42:12,15,16,16, 24,25;43:22;44:15; 45:15;47:17;50:10; 51:17;52:11,20;53:9, 17,18,20,21;55:4,5; 56:6,7,8;57:6,23;58:1, 9,15;59:1;60:8,11; 61:8;62:24;64:8,8; 65:2,7,15;68:3,24; 69:5,14;71:5;72:13, 22;73:9,10,14;76:10, 12;78:3,4,12,12; 80:19,20,22,25;81:1, 3,4,8,9,11,24;82:4,19, 24;83:21;84:17,22; 87:13,13,14;88:9,13, 25;92:12,13;93:10; 94:2,22;95:4,5,8,9,11; 96:22	<b>category (5)</b> 30:18;31:10;33:11; 43:5;99:9	<b>claim (8)</b> 19:24;42:17,20; 74:12;77:9;88:6,18; 97:2	<b>coincidence (2)</b> 71:24,25	<b>completely (1)</b> 67:18
<b>cause (5)</b> 67:20;76:15;78:17; 93:11;94:1	<b>Center (3)</b> 57:25;60:9;80:20	<b>claimant (4)</b> 71:6;72:8,24;77:7	<b>collaboratively (1)</b> 62:22	<b>complicated (1)</b> 74:17
<b>certain (1)</b> 48:21	<b>certainly (5)</b> 45:25;59:13;60:13; 87:10;96:19	<b>claimants (18)</b> 28:5,23;43:4;68:5, 6;69:11;72:18,18,19, 20;77:3,10,11,12; 86:2,7,18;96:8	<b>collection (1)</b> 70:9	<b>compromise (2)</b> 16:25;37:3
<b>cetera (4)</b> 17:14;18:21;19:25, 25	<b>changed (3)</b> 21:6;84:3,3	<b>claimants' (2)</b> 78:10;87:20	<b>Collectively (2)</b> 51:8;55:7	<b>compromises (1)</b> 16:3
<b>chairman (1)</b> 72:9	<b>changes (1)</b> 23:2	<b>claimant's (1)</b> 97:2	<b>collectivist (1)</b> 51:19	<b>conceded (1)</b> 53:15
<b>chance (2)</b> 86:19;97:13	<b>chart (1)</b> 9:2	<b>claiming (1)</b> 69:11	<b>colloquial (1)</b> 41:2	<b>concept (7)</b> 9:23;39:12;44:8; 45:3;88:10,15;89:14
<b>change (5)</b> 21:3;23:6;49:2; 69:4;98:8	<b>chastised (1)</b> 66:25	<b>claims (19)</b> 18:21;19:4,6,15; 21:21;43:23;44:8; 57:16;71:19;74:14, 16;81:8,9,11;88:12, 14;89:16;23;94:22	<b>coming (7)</b> 30:21;37:17;55:1; 62:1;81:9;93:12;98:1	<b>concepts (1)</b> 40:12
<b>cases (119)</b> 18:19,25;19:3; 20:18;22:4,6,9;23:3; 24:4;26:24;28:21; 30:8;32:6;37:17;38:3, 4,9,17;39:11,11,16, 19,20,20;40:3,3,13, 14,23,24;41:5,7,8,9; 42:2,4;43:1,4,9,16,19, 23;44:11;46:4,8; 54:11;56:6,13,21; 61:9,10,19;62:4;64:6; 65:16,18,20;66:8,23; 67:11;68:13;69:3,7, 24;70:1,2,10,11,12; 71:8,11;73:6,8,19; 74:25;75:4,5;76:2,13, 14,16,22,24;77:20,25; 78:1;80:5,6,10,21; 81:17,20,21,25;82:17; 83:3,5,6,9,14,24;84:1, 21;85:6,9,11,18;86:6, 8,14;87:11;89:18; 92:10;93:3;94:11,17, 18;98:2;101:6	<b>charting (1)</b> 101:25	<b>clarity (1)</b> 98:7	<b>commercial (1)</b> 70:1	<b>concern (7)</b> 19:2,14;42:2;43:6; 46:20;90:13;99:6
<b>case's (1)</b> 77:16	<b>cheek (1)</b> 48:1	<b>clause (3)</b> 24:25;100:9;101:16	<b>committed (1)</b> 83:13	<b>concerned (7)</b> 16:17;18:13;58:19, 21;61:3;62:8;96:7
<b>cast (2)</b> 92:16,18	<b>chews (1)</b> 39:2	<b>clear (5)</b> 16:1;17:23;39:7; 64:25;92:1	<b>committee (77)</b> 6:7,9;8:5;9:4;	<b>concerns (5)</b> 10:21;31:11;36:15; 62:25;90:16
<b>catalyze (1)</b> 59:4	<b>choice (1)</b> 16:8	<b>clearing (1)</b> 92:24	<b>committee (7)</b> 10:11;12:2,5,7,16; 13:6;15:3,6;16:7;	<b>conclude (2)</b> 81:17;94:11
	<b>choose (3)</b> 78:3;89:15;94:6	<b>clearly (2)</b> 21:14;46:4	<b>client (2)</b> 17:25;18:17;24;19:5, 13;20:21;22:6;31:9;	<b>concluded (1)</b> 102:5
	<b>chose (1)</b> 93:18	<b>clergy (1)</b> 42:20	<b>clients (1)</b> 33:8;38:1;40:3;43:9; 46:21;47:7;49:19,19;	<b>conclusion (2)</b> 37:18;55:9
	<b>chosen (1)</b> 64:14	<b>CLERK (2)</b> 5:4;92:2	<b>closed (1)</b> 50:12;51:7;52:25;	<b>concrete (1)</b> 85:16
	<b>Chris (1)</b> 6:4	<b>client (7)</b> 13:4;15:12;26:9;	<b>client (7)</b> 53:3,8;54:18;55:25;	<b>conditioned (1)</b> 93:17
	<b>Chronicle (1)</b> 49:15	<b>close (2)</b> 36:16;70:16,21;84:15	<b>closed (1)</b> 56:20;57:12,13,20;	<b>confer (2)</b> 34:4;36:23
	<b>Chubb (1)</b>	<b>closed (1)</b> 27:25;76:23	<b>closed (1)</b> 58:1;62:7;64:5,21;	<b>conference (1)</b> 97:14
		<b>closedly (1)</b> 66:16	<b>closedly (1)</b> 66:19;67:3;68:8,14;	<b>conferring (1)</b> 36:22
		<b>closer (1)</b> 66:16	<b>clients (1)</b> 70:7;71:20,24;72:9,	<b>confident (1)</b> 70:25
		<b>closer (1)</b> 76:25	<b>clients (1)</b> 12:76:1;79:19;80:9,	<b>confidential (4)</b> 100:11,15,24;101:4
		<b>closing (1)</b> 81:5	<b>clients (1)</b> 14:82:15;83:3;85:6,9,	<b>confidentiality (2)</b> 97:18;99:4
		<b>CNA (4)</b> 79:25;80:17;82:10,	<b>clients (1)</b> 13:86:11;88:1,14,19;	<b>confirm (1)</b> 77:13
			<b>clients (1)</b> 89:3,12;90:1,6,9;	<b>confirmed (1)</b> 80:18
			<b>clients (1)</b> 92:2;93:1;96:9,10,24;	<b>conflict (1)</b> 82:10
			<b>clients (1)</b> 97:24	
			<b>communications (2)</b>	

<b>conflicting (1)</b> 57:22	<b>contractual (3)</b> 18:21;19:1;24:7	14:6,9,11,16,17,19,22, 24:15:3,8,13,15,17, <b>contrary (1)</b> 19:1	<b>courtroom (8)</b> 5:8;6:17,19;7:5,21; 10:14;50:1;90:14
<b>confronted (1)</b> 81:22	<b>contribute (2)</b> 49:5;86:23	21:17:4,8,10,12,15, 17,20;20:3,6,9,14,16, <b>contribution (1)</b> 84:12	<b>courts (3)</b> 37:17;61:21;80:8
<b>confused (2)</b> 45:25;46:11		19:21:12,14,23;22:2, 13,15,18,21;23:4,8, 11,15,17,21,24;24:2, 6,11,14,17,20,23;	<b>court's (2)</b> 61:15;78:2
<b>confusion (1)</b> 101:2		25:1,5,7,9,12,16,21; 26:1,7,11,14,17;27:2, 5,8,11,13,16,24;28:3, 13:29:3,5,8,13,15,17, 19,23,25;30:3,5,23,	<b>coverage (24)</b> 21:21,22;28:17,18; 33:11;34:14;39:20, 21:40;4,6;42:8,12; 74:4,6,9,14;85:12,12, 22:86:3,4,24;89:21,24
<b>Congress (2)</b> 52:6;93:18		25:31:2,13,15,22,24; 32:2,4,11,14,23,25;	<b>COVID-19 (1)</b> 81:6
<b>conjecture (2)</b> 55:12;76:12		33:3,9,12,15,17,20, 23:34:1,3,8,13,17,20, 25:35:2,6,10,13,16, 21,25;36:2,7,9,13,20,	<b>create (8)</b> 39:25;42:2;45:6;
<b>connected (1)</b> 18:8		25:37:4,6,10,13,20; 38:5,7,12,15,20,24; 39:4,6,22,23;40:1,5,9, 12,21;41:4,6,15,18, 20,23;43:11,13,17,21,	74:10;83:10;85:12;
<b>connection (2)</b> 21:7;74:20		25:44:3,5,16,19,23; 45:2,5,8,11,14,17; 46:2,18,20,24;47:1,3, 5,10,13,16;48:8,11, 14,17,22;49:1,4,7,9, 21,24;50:2,7,14,18;	96:17;101:2
<b>consensual (2)</b> 54:12;89:25		51:5,14;52:2,13,15, 16,18,22;53:5,22; 54:25;55:10,14,18,20;	<b>creating (2)</b> 74:16;95:23
<b>consensus (1)</b> 17:24		56:1,8,12,18,25;57:2, 13,14,17;58:5,7,10, 17:59:3,6,10,19,21, 25:60:4,12,14,16,23;	<b>creative (1)</b> 8:21
<b>consent (1)</b> 13:8		61:2,5,6,9,13,17,20; 62:2,5,6,13,17,20,23;	<b>credibly (1)</b> 43:7
<b>consequences (1)</b> 97:11		63:1,3,6,8,10,13,15, 20,24;65:5,8,10,12, 23,25;66:3,6,9;67:2,8,	<b>credit (1)</b> 54:17
<b>consider (3)</b> 12:15;28:7;37:18		14:69:10,12;70:25; 71:3;72:6,10,23;	<b>creditor (2)</b> 84:14;93:11
<b>considered (1)</b> 90:19		73:15;74:19,22;75:2, 9,14,17,20,23,25;	<b>creditors (4)</b> 69:20;76:7;88:5,17
<b>consolidate (1)</b> 8:18		76:2,18,20;77:11,23, 25;78:7,15,18,20,23;	<b>critical (1)</b> 70:14
<b>constituents (1)</b> 62:10		79:2,8,9,11,14,16,18, 23:80:13;82:3;83:16;	<b>critique (1)</b> 41:10
<b>constitutes (1)</b> 57:8		84:23;85:1;86:20; 87:2,4,7,16,24;88:1;	<b>cross (1)</b> 59:14
<b>constructive (1)</b> 92:21		89:1,2,10;90:3;91:2, 12,17,21,24;92:4,7;	<b>crux (1)</b> 66:10
<b>consultation (2)</b> 22:4,5		93:21;21;98:1,6,10, 12,15,21,24;99:1,2,5,	<b>culminated (1)</b> 64:24
<b>contemplate (1)</b> 13:22		7,10,11,13,16,17,19, 22,24;100:2,4,7,12,	<b>Cunningham (2)</b> 38:4,8
<b>contemporaneous (1)</b> 81:5		13,14,24;101:7,9,12, 14,21,23,25;102:4	<b>cure (5)</b> 25:2;26:10;100:25;
<b>contentious (1)</b> 10:23		<b>court (415)</b> 5:3,7,12,15,18,22, 24:6;3,7,11,16,25;7:3, 5,10,13,17,21;8:3,6, 10,13,22;9:3,6,9,15,	101:17,17
<b>contest (1)</b> 88:9		18,25;10:4,7,12,16, 25:11;3,7,12,14,16, 19,21,24;12:3,8,11,	<b>curious (2)</b> 41:11;47:17
<b>context (5)</b> 62:9;69:22;81:2; 93:6,8		20,22;13:13,16,18,21;	<b>current (2)</b> 12:25;79:13
<b>contexts (1)</b> 19:10			<b>currently (1)</b> 52:21
<b>Continental (1)</b> 8:8			<b>Curtis (1)</b> 93:23
<b>continue (2)</b> 36:23;56:14			<b>cut (2)</b> 29:19;80:15
<b>continues (1)</b> 78:6			<b>cuts (1)</b> 76:19
<b>continuing (1)</b> 38:22			<b>CVA (3)</b> 81:4,5,5
<b>contract (5)</b> 23:14,16,20;24:3,8			<b>cycle (1)</b> 78:6
<b>contracts (1)</b> 23:18			

<b>decision (3)</b>	6:5	<b>disclosure (4)</b>	45:22;49:9;57:11; 71:14;88:25	96:21
58:24;92:19;93:21	<b>designation (1)</b>	13:8;20:10;32:17; 67:21	<b>down (11)</b>	<b>effectively (2)</b>
<b>declaration (1)</b>	99:4	<b>discovered (1)</b>	17:2;22:22,24; 29:11;50:9;51:1;	9:23;88:11
66:16	<b>despite (1)</b>	85:11	<b>discovery (2)</b>	<b>effort (2)</b>
<b>deemed (2)</b>	76:4	81:17;85:16	18:25;19:8	
100:23;101:4	<b>detail (1)</b>	<b>discrimination (5)</b>	<b>efforts (3)</b>	
<b>deeply (1)</b>	97:20	68:2;69:14;74:24; 86:13;88:10	37:14;76:4;101:17	
40:10	<b>detailed (1)</b>	<b>discriminatory (1)</b>	<b>eighteen (1)</b>	
<b>default (1)</b>	76:5	28:22	77:16	
22:24	<b>determination (1)</b>	<b>discuss (1)</b>	<b>either (2)</b>	
<b>defend (4)</b>	50:17	37:1	13:22;68:7	
18:12,19;24:4;54:2	<b>determinations (1)</b>	<b>discussing (2)</b>	<b>either/or (1)</b>	
<b>defendant (3)</b>	96:13	9:11;36:16	90:22	
65:20;88:8,8	<b>determine (1)</b>	<b>discussion (10)</b>	<b>elements (3)</b>	
<b>defended (3)</b>	30:11	26:4,23;28:25; 40:19;56:9,11;64:24; 68:17;71:15;80:7	43:2,3;66:8	
19:3,16;28:21	<b>determined (3)</b>	<b>discussions (1)</b>	<b>Ellen (3)</b>	
<b>defending (2)</b>	74:3,9;90:19	40:18	7:11;29:22;63:19	
19:16;20:18	<b>detrimental (2)</b>	<b>disengagement (1)</b>	<b>elongated (1)</b>	
<b>defense (10)</b>	85:7,10	66:11	94:15	
18:13,21;19:4,6,16; 20:11;22:1;25:15; 28:20;42:24	<b>detriments (1)</b>	<b>dismiss (1)</b>	<b>else (26)</b>	
<b>defer (2)</b>	93:20	80:22	5:12,24,25;6:17; 7:5;12:20;29:20;	
11:1;70:9	<b>develop (1)</b>	<b>dismissed (1)</b>	31:16;34:9;35:1,2;	
<b>defied (1)</b>	67:18	88:9	45:19;46:9;48:18;	
58:3	<b>developed (1)</b>	<b>disparate (1)</b>	55:15;63:15;71:10;	
<b>definition (1)</b>	19:4	77:12	75:10;79:4,18;83:17;	
69:13	<b>development (2)</b>	<b>disposition (1)</b>	84:9;88:25;93:14;	
<b>delay (1)</b>	10:22;67:18	97:1	95:18;97:14	
82:25	<b>devoted (1)</b>	<b>dispute (2)</b>	<b>email (3)</b>	
<b>delaying (1)</b>	27:12	14:13;18:8	34:11;46:8;67:17	
82:19	<b>dialog (1)</b>	<b>disputes (3)</b>	<b>emails (2)</b>	
<b>demand (5)</b>	62:6	21:21;28:19;30:24	26:4;82:7	
57:7,19,25;58:3; 59:8	<b>dictating (1)</b>	<b>distracting (1)</b>	<b>embarrassed (1)</b>	
<b>demands (4)</b>	63:10	58:21	15:12	
58:12;60:9,10; 86:13	<b>differences (1)</b>	<b>distribution (2)</b>	<b>emphasize (1)</b>	
<b>demand's (1)</b>	73:25	71:17;88:16	31:19	
60:1	<b>different (15)</b>	<b>divided (1)</b>	<b>enable (1)</b>	
<b>denied (3)</b>	19:21;21:14;22:10; 25:18;31:20;39:10; 50:11;52:21;59:13, 16;62:9;78:10;81:25;	38:9	88:6	
58:8;62:11;79:1	<b>differently (2)</b>	<b>document (7)</b>	<b>encompass (1)</b>	
<b>Dentons (2)</b>	82:18;92:18	20:15;30:2,10,11, 17;31:7;98:22	96:12	
7:16;8:11	<b>dilatory (1)</b>	<b>document-by- (1)</b>	<b>encourage (1)</b>	
<b>deny (2)</b>	92:13	31:6	74:5	
28:8;81:23	<b>diocesan (1)</b>	<b>documents (18)</b>	<b>end (5)</b>	
<b>denying (1)</b>	84:1	12:1;16:18;18:16; 28:21;30:16,22;31:8, 10:34;12,16;99:4; 100:16,18,20,23; 101:3,5,11	27:9;51:17;52:23; 55:6;68:18	
20:3	<b>diocese (7)</b>	<b>dogs (3)</b>	<b>enforce (3)</b>	
<b>deployed (3)</b>	8:16;55:5;70:11; 80:20;81:10,15;95:6	41:3;65:22;66:1	23:20;77:4,5	
52:6;53:24;93:17	<b>directly (1)</b>	<b>dollars (5)</b>	<b>engage (2)</b>	
<b>derogatory (1)</b>	74:13	28:1;69:1,2;87:14, 20	73:21;83:4	
44:19	<b>disagree (2)</b>	<b>dominant (1)</b>	<b>engagement (2)</b>	
<b>describe (2)</b>	25:19;50:25	44:22	26:20;74:6	
66:3;97:22	<b>disagreement (1)</b>	<b>dominate (1)</b>	<b>engaging (1)</b>	
<b>described (1)</b>	10:10	71:15	93:5	
80:14	<b>discharge (1)</b>	<b>done (5)</b>	<b>engineer (1)</b>	
<b>describes (2)</b>	22:16		71:12	
30:15;47:20	<b>disclose (3)</b>		<b>enough (4)</b>	
<b>description (2)</b>	19:12;71:25;72:3		30:9,10;62:9;94:13	
8:24;80:12	<b>disclosed (5)</b>		<b>ensuring (1)</b>	
<b>designate (2)</b>	25:3,10;67:12,23;		23:19	
99:8;100:10	68:7		<b>enter (3)</b>	
<b>designated (1)</b>			56:15;99:11,18	
			<b>entered (2)</b>	
			100:13,25	

<b>enthusiastic (1)</b>	77:12	20;54:10;87:22;96:3,	<b>few (8)</b>	42:10;45:17;78:22;
29:4	<b>exclusivity (1)</b>	7,23	5:7;48:3;50:23;	82:19,20;83:4;84:20,
<b>entire (2)</b>	77:18	<b>factor (2)</b>	69:20,20;70:11;88:5;	22
23:13;98:8	<b>exculpatory (1)</b>	41:25;60:13	94:7	<b>focusing (3)</b>
<b>equal (2)</b>	13:5	<b>factors (2)</b>	51:6;90:18,18	45:12;77:19;84:20
77:14;88:16	<b>excuse (3)</b>	93:23;95:12	<b>fiduciaries (3)</b>	<b>folks (4)</b>
<b>equitable (2)</b>	37:19;57:3,12	<b>facts (5)</b>	50:22;53:4,7;72:16	7:24;14:12;71:9;
29:14;71:17	<b>exercise (1)</b>	50:19;55:4,13;64:5;	<b>field (1)</b>	97:8
<b>especially (2)</b>	72:16	85:10	92:24	<b>follow (2)</b>
61:25;85:17	<b>exercising (1)</b>	<b>fails (1)</b>	37:19;64:3	30:1;56:14
<b>essentially (3)</b>	66:15	90:21	<b>fifteen (2)</b>	<b>followed (1)</b>
58:2;64:18;81:8	<b>exhausted (2)</b>	<b>fair (2)</b>	37:19;64:3	80:16
<b>estate (8)</b>	86:12,16	58:17;97:1	<b>fifty (1)</b>	<b>following (1)</b>
12:13;39:25;51:7;	<b>exhaustion (2)</b>	<b>fairly (2)</b>	75:3	57:19
62:5;74:12,13;77:4;	86:25;87:8	32:16;44:20	<b>fight (1)</b>	<b>Fool's (1)</b>
90:18	<b>exist (1)</b>	<b>faith (8)</b>	42:23	48:13
<b>et (4)</b>	53:18	27:15;83:13;84:7;	<b>file (3)</b>	<b>footnote (2)</b>
17:14;18:21;19:24,	<b>expect (3)</b>	85:24;88:14;92:11,	58:1;81:14,16	11:18;35:5
25	40:24;79:3;93:11	11,13	<b>filed (9)</b>	<b>fora (1)</b>
<b>EVANSTON (2)</b>	<b>expedite (3)</b>	<b>fall (2)</b>	38:19;46:5;50:12;	41:7
7:18,19	61:8;62:24;63:1	40:16;43:4	84:1,10;85:22;87:17;	<b>forest (1)</b>
<b>eve (1)</b>	<b>expenses (1)</b>	<b>falls (1)</b>	99:1;100:9	65:6
87:14	42:24	53:1	<b>filing (3)</b>	<b>forge (1)</b>
<b>even (17)</b>	<b>expert (2)</b>	<b>Famous (2)</b>	42:20;77:16;87:15	55:7
19:6;24:1;26:6;	48:20;89:20	29:3;68:23	<b>filled (1)</b>	<b>form (2)</b>
28:9,24;31:4;34:11;	<b>explain (3)</b>	<b>far (7)</b>	89:7	10:11;64:18
37:22;56:20;58:3;	25:24;50:25;73:17	17:24;35:17,18;	<b>final (3)</b>	<b>formulated (1)</b>
67:20;71:4,16;76:3;	<b>explanation (2)</b>	47:7;81:9;84:16;	86:16;89:6,17	71:7
23;77:1;82:4	70:8;71:8	85:22	<b>Finch (5)</b>	<b>formulation (1)</b>
<b>events (1)</b>	<b>explicitly (1)</b>	<b>Farrell (22)</b>	47:9,10,17;48:18;	77:22
92:10	70:4	7:11,11,14;29:22,	82:14	<b>forth (1)</b>
<b>evergreen (1)</b>	<b>explosion (1)</b>	22,24;30:1,4,6,24;	<b>find (4)</b>	26:23
78:25	73:14	31:1,3,14;63:18,19,	40:3;73:20;75:5;	<b>forty (1)</b>
<b>everybody (10)</b>	<b>exposure (1)</b>	21,25;65:6,9;76:5;	92:13	42:6
18:1;45:22;47:16;	42:11	80:2;83:22	<b>finding (1)</b>	<b>forward (52)</b>
51:24;56:16;59:12;	<b>expressly (1)</b>	<b>Farrell's (1)</b>	85:16	8:19,23;9:22;18:25;
67:3,5;93:5;97:9	81:2	82:5	<b>Fine (9)</b>	20:12,22;21:8;25:23;
<b>everybody's (2)</b>	<b>extend (1)</b>	<b>fascinating (1)</b>	28:18,18;38:10;39:9,	28:18,18;38:10;39:9,
96:13;97:1	77:17	52:10	11:40;8:44:1;46:21;	11:40;8:44:1;46:21;
<b>everyone (3)</b>	<b>extended (1)</b>	<b>fashion (2)</b>	58:11,16;61:11;	58:11,16;61:11;
46:9;50:1;82:2	81:6	48:18;95:10	63:17;65:1,18;66:12;	63:17;65:1,18;66:12;
<b>evidence (1)</b>	100:11	<b>fast (1)</b>	68:9,13,16,25;70:19;	68:9,13,16,25;70:19;
84:5	<b>extent (6)</b>	38:22	71:22;72:22;73:3,18,	71:22;72:22;73:3,18,
<b>exact (3)</b>	39:12;40:11;71:5;	<b>fastball (2)</b>	24:74:7,11,13;76:2,5;	24:74:7,11,13;76:2,5;
34:4;41:1;67:25	88:18;89:11;96:2	47:14,24	80:21;82:14;83:3,5,7,	80:21;82:14;83:3,5,7,
<b>Exactly (10)</b>	<b>extraordinary (2)</b>	<b>faster (1)</b>	14:85:9;86:5,6,8;	14:85:9;86:5,6,8;
31:1;38:14;48:14;	67:10;78:1	69:10	91:4;95:10;96:6;	91:4;95:10;96:6;
52:1;63:12;68:17,18;	<b>extreme (2)</b>	<b>Fau (1)</b>	101:25	101:25
69:4,5;71:9	19:2;43:5	68:11	<b>first (14)</b>	<b>four (16)</b>
<b>example (2)</b>	<b>extremely (3)</b>	<b>favor (1)</b>	6:19;10:15;11:9;	32:6;38:3;40:7,14;
57:23;85:10	18:13;87:1;100:11	96:5	15:11;16:8;17:2;40:7;	46:4,8;52:6;61:8;
<b>examples (2)</b>	<b>eyes (1)</b>	<b>February (1)</b>	41:21;46:21;69:24;	76:13;77:25;78:1;
80:8,10	100:18	66:18	72:13,14;90:21;94:5	85:9,11;96:8;97:6;
<b>Except (1)</b>		<b>feel (3)</b>	10:20	101:5
31:23		45:9;59:7;65:14	<b>flat (1)</b>	<b>fours (1)</b>
<b>excess (3)</b>	<b>F</b>	66:4	53:1	53:20
42:4,7,9	<b>face (1)</b>	<b>fees (2)</b>	<b>flexibility (1)</b>	<b>fourth (2)</b>
<b>exchange (4)</b>	95:25	39:3;58:8	52:8	42:15,16
19:23;33:7;34:11;	<b>fact (17)</b>	<b>fell (1)</b>	<b>floor (1)</b>	<b>frame (2)</b>
73:14	9:5;20:20;21:5;	99:9	47:2	26:18;94:8
<b>excited (1)</b>	22:3;23:6;33:7;39:19;	<b>fellows (1)</b>	<b>flying (1)</b>	<b>Franciscan (8)</b>
52:3	46:12;48:6;51:9,16,	71:15	68:19	5:4,11,20;6:5,17;
<b>exclusion (1)</b>			<b>focus (8)</b>	7:22;8:18;46:12

<b>Francisco (3)</b> 38:18;46:15;82:24	<b>generis (1)</b> 70:11	35:15;41:7;49:11; 54:13;57:6;97:3	13:4;21:18;65:14; 96:9,24	<b>Herman (3)</b> 29:1;68:10;70:19
<b>Frank (2)</b> 8:1;83:20	<b>genius (1)</b> 48:2	<b>greatest (2)</b> 40:14;47:19	<b>happy (12)</b> 32:21;33:14;36:6; 41:3,13;46:18;48:12, 24;49:5;59:23;89:22; 101:16	<b>hero (1)</b> 49:12
<b>frankly (2)</b> 64:21;73:5	<b>gentleman (1)</b> 49:10	<b>ground (1)</b> 57:17	<b>ground (1)</b> 51:11;53:10;66:24	<b>heroic (1)</b> 94:4
<b>French (1)</b> 47:22	<b>George (1)</b> 47:18	<b>grounds (1)</b> 90:25	<b>harm (1)</b> 95:2	<b>hey (2)</b> 20:11;22:4
<b>frequently (1)</b> 92:9	<b>George's (1)</b> 49:5	<b>group (4)</b> 69:13,13,15;90:14	<b>highlighting (1)</b> 60:16	<b>highly (2)</b> 61:25;70:25
<b>Friars (11)</b> 5:5,11,20;6:18; 7:22;8:18;17:1;19:11; 42:22;43:7;46:13	<b>gestalt (1)</b> 20:23	<b>guarantee (2)</b> 70:22,23	<b>Harris (44)</b> 5:9,9,10;8:25;9:4,7, 10,16,19;10:3,5,8,13; 11:1,4;37:9,11,14,21; 38:6,8,14,16,21,25; 39:5,18,24;40:2,6,19, 22;41:5,12,16,21; 55:17,22;88:2;90:4; 98:5,7,11,18	<b>Himalayas (1)</b> 47:22
<b>friend (1)</b> 65:15	<b>gets (8)</b> 13:23;34:21;51:16, 24;56:5;66:10;70:22; 95:20	<b>guess (7)</b> 8:18;12:25;35:4; 36:21;51:18;72:25; 81:24	<b>Harvard (1)</b> 47:21	<b>himself (2)</b> 70:16;71:21
<b>fruitful (1)</b> 18:5	<b>given (8)</b> 18:16;29:9;33:3,4; 49:15;51:19,20;74:15	<b>guessing (1)</b> 70:18	<b>head (1)</b> 66:22	<b>history (3)</b> 40:15;54:11;64:5
<b>fulfilled (1)</b> 50:16	<b>gives (4)</b> 14:2;19:2;39:13; 40:15	<b>guesswork (1)</b> 54:7	<b>heading (1)</b> 30:25	<b>hoax (2)</b> 47:20;48:5
<b>fulfilling (1)</b> 50:21	<b>giving (4)</b> 50:4;51:21;70:10; 91:6	<b>guidance (1)</b> 58:13	<b>hear (16)</b> 14:12,15:23;8:39:7; 41:17;45:22;54:3; 60:20;63:16;64:3,4; 69:17;72:14;79:14; 88:1;99:25	<b>hold (1)</b> 56:3
<b>full (3)</b> 40:6;71:2;86:22	<b>Glenn (2)</b> 58:5;80:22	<b>guide (1)</b> 21:16	<b>holder (1)</b> 88:11	<b>honest (1)</b> 26:8
<b>full-blown (1)</b> 18:9	<b>global (2)</b> 76:7,25	<b>gun (1)</b> 66:22	<b>honestly (4)</b> 21:20;31:4;62:13; 71:7	
<b>full-fledged (1)</b> 18:11	<b>goes (5)</b> 20:17;25:14;26:16; 85:23;86:12	<b>guy (3)</b> 49:11;72:7;92:23		<b>Honor (148)</b> 5:6,9,14,17,23;6:1, 4,8,12,13,20,22;7:7, 15,18,25;8:1,4,7,20, 25:9;20;10:13,24;
<b>fulsome (1)</b> 70:7	<b>Good (31)</b> 5:9;6:1,4,8,13;7:7, 15,18,25;8:1,4,7,11; 15:21;17:10,12,16;	<b>H</b>		11:4;12:10,14,23; 14:4,14,23;15:5,10; 16:17;21:10;24:19; 25:4;26:3,12;27:17, 19:28;6:29;16:31;18;
<b>fun (1)</b> 95:15	30:7;47:3;48:17; 63:18;75:13,14;78:5; 83:13,19;84:7;85:3, 24;92:4,11	<b>guys (6)</b> 15:4;45:19;68:23; 73:4;91:3;101:25		32:13,21;33:19;34:2, 7:35:1;36:1;12;37:9, 11:38;3:23;39:5,18; 41:14,25;43:18;
<b>fund (1)</b> 35:11				44:18;47:4,6,15;48:7, 15,19;49:19;50:5,16,
<b>fundamental (1)</b> 69:23				17,24;51:4,9;52:1,11, 24:53:7,10,12,21;
<b>funds (2)</b> 51:13;89:19				54:3,5,13;55:1,9,10, 17,24;60:24;61:3,5;
<b>funny (1)</b> 26:9				62:1,14,25;63:4,18; 64:7;65:13,21;66:25;
<b>further (2)</b> 10:21;51:15				73:16;74:18;75:8,13;
<b>future (1)</b> 54:8				76:1;79:17,22,24;
<b>G</b>				
<b>Gabrielle (1)</b> 8:4	<b>Grace (1)</b> 53:21	<b>hairs (1)</b> 93:9		80:1,6,12,24;81:1,3,
<b>gap (1)</b> 28:1	<b>grant (7)</b> 39:8;81:13;91:1; 93:2;95:12;97:16,23	<b>Halberg (1)</b> 49:20		22:82;17:83:2,19,21;
<b>gasoline (1)</b> 73:13	<b>granted (7)</b> 56:6;61:22;67:3,8; 72:4;80:13;88:5	<b>half (2)</b> 65:15;81:11		84:25;85:3;87:6,12,
<b>gave (1)</b> 6:24	<b>granting (1)</b> 98:7	<b>hall (1)</b> 9:16		17,25;88:2,18,22;
<b>gears (1)</b> 37:6	<b>grants (1)</b> 83:2	<b>hand (1)</b> 64:1		89:7,17;90:4,6,8,20,
<b>General (8)</b> 7:8,12;9:14;29:24; 30:18;63:21;75:16; 88:4	<b>grave (1)</b> 90:16	<b>handle (1)</b> 34:19		22,25;91:10,15;92:3;
<b>generally (2)</b> 17:13;22:23	<b>Great (13)</b> 5:22;6:16;7:10,13; 8:24;15:23;17:10;	<b>handshake (1)</b> 24:1		98:5,13,16;99:23;
		<b>happened (6)</b> 64:6;66:19;72:3; 79:3;80:14;94:18		100:1,6;102:2
		<b>happening (5)</b> 19:7;38:16,21;70:5; 95:17		<b>hope (2)</b> 11:8;44:25
		<b>happens (5)</b> 17:20;54:10		

<b>hoped (1)</b> 50:3	54:5	<b>in-house (1)</b> 49:10	68:2	25:25;27:23;36:23; 43:19;50:23;67:20; 74:6,9,17;78:16,17; 80:25;85:7,12,14,19, 25
<b>hoping (1)</b> 20:24	51:17	<b>initially (1)</b> 81:10	<b>interest (14)</b> 12:6,17;23:10,25; 24:5,9,66:13;70:20; 71:1,6;73:2;74:11; 88:24;93:2	<b>item (1)</b> 5:4
<b>horn (1)</b> 47:22	<b>importance (3)</b> 18:17;57:6;97:3	<b>initiate (1)</b> 85:25	<b>interesting (1)</b> 31:7	<b>iterative (1)</b> 92:21
<b>horribles (5)</b> 53:11;54:5;90:11; 95:14,25	18:10;19:17;20:1; 41:25;42:2,5,9,10,14; 44:20;45:8,10;57:21;	<b>inject (1)</b> 68:2	<b>interests (2)</b> 73:2;95:2	
<b>hortatory (1)</b> 36:2	60:17;62:15;73:17; 92:16;94:1	<b>injected (1)</b> 69:5	<b>interferes (1)</b> 61:4	<b>J</b>
<b>hostile (1)</b> 93:22	<b>imposes (1)</b> 87:10	<b>injecting (1)</b> 28:23	<b>Intermediate (1)</b> 100:12	
<b>hour (1)</b> 47:25	<b>impossible (1)</b> 27:25	<b>injunctive (1)</b> 43:23	<b>internally (1)</b> 37:1	
<b>huge (1)</b> 28:4	<b>inappropriate (2)</b> 46:21;96:25	<b>input (4)</b> 10:17;40:13;41:8; 64:21	<b>intervened (1)</b> 49:12	
<b>hundred (1)</b> 91:19	<b>Inc (3)</b> 5:5,11,21	<b>inquiring (1)</b> 57:15	<b>into (16)</b> 18:8,11;38:9;40:18; 43:5;47:8;60:15; 67:17;68:3;69:5,14; 73:6;77:13;84:21; 95:20;97:12	
<b>hyperbole (3)</b> 27:14;54:7;55:12	<b>in-camera (2)</b> 30:21;31:3	<b>inquiry (1)</b> 58:22	<b>intrigued (1)</b> 62:13	
<b>hypothetical (2)</b> 22:19;85:14	<b>incentive (6)</b> 18:20;32:7,9;93:4; 95:3;96:3	<b>insistence (1)</b> 100:17	<b>introduce (1)</b> 74:1	
<b>I</b>				
<b>idea (4)</b> 38:1;40:15;56:14; 85:23	<b>incentives (5)</b> 31:20;70:17;83:10; 95:23;96:17	<b>instance (3)</b> 19:11;70:19;94:6	<b>introduced (2)</b> 69:14;88:10	
<b>ideas (1)</b> 8:21	<b>incentivized (2)</b> 72:25;83:3	<b>instead (1)</b> 84:20	<b>introducing (1)</b> 74:23	
<b>identified (1)</b> 80:5	<b>inclined (1)</b> 33:20	<b>insurance (41)</b> 5:20;7:8,12;8:9; 9:12;13:9;14:5;23:14; 29:20,24;31:16;35:8; 40:20;41:1,2;42:6; 43:2;55:25;60:20; 63:21;66:8;69:25; 70:4,13;72:19;75:10, 16:79;18,25;81:11; 83:17;85:7,8,18;86:6, 10,22;87:21;88:4; 89:17,18	<b>intrigued (1)</b> 62:13	
<b>identifying (2)</b> 26:9;84:8	<b>including (5)</b> 42:3;56:19;72:18; 80:17;98:8	<b>insurer (4)</b> 13:2;53:25;58:4; 84:15	<b>introduced (2)</b> 69:14;88:10	
<b>identity (1)</b> 97:2	<b>incredibly (1)</b> 48:1	<b>insurers (54)</b> 7:20;9:20,22;10:11; 11:8;13:9;14:21; 15:25;19:25;25:18; 32:9;33:11;35:12,19; 37:1,25;39:1,13;42:4, 7:43;20;50:9;53:19, 23:54;14;55:11;	<b>introducing (1)</b> 74:23	
<b>Ignore (1)</b> 93:13	<b>independent (2)</b> 27:20,21	<b>individual (5)</b> 51:22;60:1;74:14; 80:10;86:2	<b>invoked (1)</b> 80:7	
<b>ignored (1)</b> 64:18	<b>indicates (1)</b> 53:21	<b>individuals (2)</b> 96:23;97:12	<b>involve (2)</b> 43:23;86:1	
<b>ignoring (1)</b> 52:8	<b>indicating (1)</b> 50:20	<b>influence (1)</b> 71:20	<b>involved (2)</b> 32:21;80:23	
<b>Illustrated (2)</b> 47:12,19	<b>indicative (1)</b> 92:11	<b>information (12)</b> 12:1;13:3,5;19:4, 15:25;14;26;21;30:9, 10;34;12,16;42:18	<b>involvement (1)</b> 64:20	
<b>Imerys (2)</b> 68:19;72:15	<b>indiscernible (2)</b> 91:16;92:6	<b>individual (5)</b> 51:22;60:1;74:14; 80:10;86:2	<b>involving (1)</b> 70:1	
<b>immediately (11)</b> 28:15,19;29:1; 42:11;56:21;71:5; 74:11,13,16;82:11; 90:23	<b>individually (2)</b> 96:23;97:12	<b>individuals (2)</b> 96:23;97:12	<b>irony (1)</b> 54:13	
<b>impact (1)</b> 51:3	<b>indicates (1)</b> 53:21	<b>insiders (1)</b> 71:20	<b>issue (35)</b> 11:22;12:23;13:10; 14:8;18:16;20:13;	
<b>impair (1)</b> 87:20	<b>indicating (1)</b> 50:20	<b>information (12)</b> 12:1;13:3,5;19:4, 15:25;14;26;21;30:9, 10;34;12,16;42:18	<b>issues (28)</b> 5:20;10:18;11:9; 15:1;16:14;17:22;	
<b>implicate (1)</b> 42:4	<b>indicative (1)</b> 92:11	<b>informed (1)</b> 53:19	<b>intense (1)</b> 18:6,7,10,12;24:22;	<b>justification (3)</b>
<b>implicated (1)</b> 42:19				
<b>implicates (1)</b> 42:12				
<b>implode (1)</b>				

44:7,11,12 <b>justifications (1)</b> 44:6	<b>last (7)</b> 37:16;48:25;74:21; 79:20;84:2;86:9; 100:9	43:19;54:2 <b>licensed (1)</b> 72:20	44:4 <b>litigating (1)</b> 77:20	<b>Lowenstein (1)</b> 6:9
	<b>K</b>	<b>lift (25)</b> 15:18,19;30:14; 74:3;80:18;89:16	17:25;26:15,19; 28:6,11,17;32:5; 50:11;61:4;67:12,23; 71:14;72:3,8;74:8; 81:12,17;82:23;83:4, 13,14,25;89:10; 93:13;101:6	<b>M</b>
<b>Kaitlin (2)</b> 7:7;75:15	<b>law (3)</b> 59:16;73:25;76:6	<b>lifted (11)</b> 18:2;22:5;38:2; 54:16;62:4;69:25; 70:11;75:5;86:14,22; 94:18	18:9,11;42:6;54:19; 73:23;76:11,13;78:6, 24:85:25;86:1;93:6	<b>main (2)</b> 12:16;67:20
<b>Katherine (1)</b> 49:20	<b>lawfully (1)</b> 90:18	<b>lifting (11)</b> 18:1,4;51:3;66:17; 67:24;68:2;78:19; 81:19;90:9;93:7; 94:20	26:5;27:12;32:18; 33:23;37:6;44:19; 51:15;64:20;78:9; 91:12;94:14,15	<b>maintain (2)</b> 59:23;61:18
<b>Kazin (4)</b> 69:2;70:15;72:15; 73:6	<b>lawyer (6)</b> 44:16;49:11;70:15; 71:18,19;73:12	<b>LLP (1)</b> 8:12	<b>major (1)</b> 60:7	<b>majority (1)</b> 35:15
<b>keeping (1)</b> 68:3	<b>lawyers (10)</b> 13:6;28:24;68:10, 15,21,21,22;71:6;	<b>log (14)</b> 11:23;18:14,15; 19:9;20:11;24:25; 25:11,20;30:4,16; 31:7;32:17;34:10; 97:19	26:5;27:12;32:18; 33:23;37:6;44:19; 51:15;64:20;78:9; 91:12;94:14,15	<b>makes (2)</b> 52:20;93:21
<b>Keiner (2)</b> 6:4,4	<b>lay (2)</b> 18:7;24:22	<b>logic (1)</b> 58:3	<b>making (5)</b> 13:14;37:23;50:17; 83:6;100:15	
<b>Keller (1)</b> 8:5	<b>layout (1)</b> 17:7	<b>logistically (1)</b> 35:13	<b>Malter (1)</b> 5:10	
<b>Kermit (2)</b> 49:10,17	<b>lead (1)</b> 35:9	<b>logs (1)</b> 19:10	<b>man (4)</b> 29:2,7;47:21;49:13	
<b>Kim (1)</b> 8:5	<b>leads (2)</b> 22:16;56:16	<b>London (1)</b> 7:20	<b>managed (5)</b> 95:16,20;96:1,2,3	
<b>kind (14)</b> 17:2,5,7;22:11; 30:25;41:2;48:2; 58:18;65:15;83:25; 88:2;91:21;93:10; 98:3	<b>leaked (1)</b> 19:5	<b>long (6)</b> 21:19;34:18;44:24; 68:17;73:16;91:23	<b>many (8)</b> 21:21;28:15;58:25; 64:13;85:12;90:2; 95:16,16	
<b>kinds (1)</b> 43:20	<b>learned (2)</b> 47:23;48:6	<b>longer (5)</b> 39:15;56:22;91:12; 92:15;94:14	<b>March (1)</b> 64:24	
<b>Kinsella (2)</b> 57:24;58:18	<b>least (7)</b> 40:7;44:9;48:3; 58:24;64:22;96:8;	<b>look (23)</b> 19:9;21:2,2;22:22; 25:19;26:22;36:13; 48:16;51:25;60:16; 66:16;70:3;71:23; 73:2;74:25;79:3;83:1; 84:9,18;94:8;95:14; 96:13;101:25	<b>Market (1)</b> 7:20	
<b>knife (2)</b> 24:15;49:13	<b>leave (3)</b> 13:25;86:17;88:18	<b>looked (3)</b> 27:21;37:14;82:7	<b>marks (1)</b> 77:16	
<b>knock (1)</b> 29:10	<b>leaves (1)</b> 30:19	<b>looking (7)</b> 8:23;39:10;59:14; 64:5,6;65:20;78:9	<b>mass (4)</b> 75:1,4;83:24;94:17	
<b>knots (1)</b> 71:11	<b>lectern (1)</b> 55:16	<b>lose (5)</b> 29:11;54:22,23; 65:6;93:6	<b>material (2)</b> 12:16,19	
<b>knowledge (1)</b> 85:17	<b>legal (2)</b> 12:14;14:1	<b>loss (4)</b> 42:3;45:6,6;54:20	<b>matrix (2)</b> 68:25;73:11	
<b>knows (1)</b> 74:7	<b>leg-up (1)</b> 51:18	<b>lot (22)</b> 12:14;15:25;16:3,3; 23:20;30:19;31:19, 19:33;4:37;17;39:3,6, 15:42;24;54:3;62:3; 66:11;75:21;82:19, 20:89:2;95:19	<b>matter (1)</b> 39:18	
<b>Kubitz (2)</b> 49:10,17	<b>less (5)</b> 18:10;81:18;83:4; 86:17,17	<b>loud (1)</b> 39:7	<b>matters (5)</b> 9:12,13;10:19,23; 98:1	
	<b>lesser (1)</b> 71:5	<b>loved (1)</b> 52:4	<b>Maxcy (2)</b> 8:11,11	
<b>lack (1)</b> 20:23	<b>less-than- (1)</b> 15:8		<b>may (20)</b> 9:1,16;10:8;11:8; 13:5,11;21:4;22:23; 26:22;27:25;33:3,18; 51:11;53:12;54:1; 56:21;61:22;62:25; 83:3;92:12	
<b>laid (2)</b> 28:15;66:19	<b>letter (1)</b> 70:25		<b>maybe (16)</b> 10:17,17;22;26:23, 25:27;2:34:3;39:10; 40:25;41:8;44:16; 69:9;70:22,23;94:4; 96:3	
<b>language (14)</b> 13:13;14:7,18; 30:13,14,14;34:4,21; 53:18;87:10;97:21; 99:5;100:22;101:15	<b>letters (3)</b> 57:7,15,25		<b>mayor (1)</b> 49:15	
<b>large (5)</b> 84:1;88:6,11,12,18	<b>letting (1)</b> 71:6		<b>MDL (3)</b>	
<b>largely (2)</b> 16:13;69:6	<b>level (4)</b> 39:23;58:22;73:12; 92:19			
<b>largest (1)</b> 35:17	<b>Levin (4)</b> 7:8,11;29:22;75:16			
	<b>liability (2)</b>			

40:11;44:10;65:19	77:11;78:16;80:24	94:19	<b>name (2)</b>	89:22
<b>mean (30)</b>	<b>mentioned (5)</b>	<b>Montali's (1)</b>	<b>nonissues (1)</b>	
10:16;13:21,22; 19:10;21:6;23:12; 30:19;32:21;36:16; 40:17;41:6,8;44:22; 45:14;52:3,6,7;60:1; 62:7,13;66:2;67:22; 69:8,21;71:18;91:4, 13:96;13,18;100:24	35:5;58:25;81:1; 88:4;98:9	37:16	10:19	
<b>meaning (1)</b>	<b>Merick (1)</b>	<b>months (8)</b>	<b>noon (1)</b>	
50:1	7:19	28:10;37:19,19; 64:3,13,16,20;77:16	91:21	
<b>means (3)</b>	<b>Mers (2)</b>	<b>more (23)</b>	<b>noon-ish (1)</b>	
39:13;47:9,16	87:13,19	12:9,11;14:16; 19:14;22:11;26:5; 32:7,9,16;33:23;39:6; 52:19;57:5;59:24; 71:16;81:9;85:2,19;	91:21	
<b>medal (1)</b>	<b>met (1)</b>	88:24;93:24;94:15; 97:20,25	<b>nor (3)</b>	
49:15	65:2	<b>Morgan (2)</b>	38:9;51:23;92:23	
<b>mediate (7)</b>	<b>Mets (1)</b>	6:13;55:24	<b>norm (1)</b>	
15:25;16:19;66:20, 21:84:6;90:21;98:8	47:21	<b>morning (22)</b>	92:14	
<b>mediating (1)</b>	<b>Miami (2)</b>	5:9;6:1,4,8,11,12, 13:7,7,15,18,25;8:1,4, 7,11;15:18,19;63:18;	<b>normal (2)</b>	
83:13	<b>mic (1)</b>	64:2;75:13,14;76:17	19:18;31:21	
<b>mediation (54)</b>	98:18	<b>most (5)</b>	<b>normally (1)</b>	
6:5;9:12,17,22; 10:5,10,14;11:5; 13:19;14:24;15:2; 16:14;18:4,6;21:7; 35:2;37:22;23;54:15, 15:56;15;59:4;64:4, 10,11,17,18,23;65:1; 73:18;76:4;77:19; 78:22;80:11;82:1,4,7, 14,16;83:4,7,15; 85:24;86:21;88:23; 89:11,13,14;90:23; 93:5;94:2,14;95:21; 96:6	<b>Michael (2)</b>	33:6;41:25;42:2; 63:23;94:1	22:7	
<b>mediations (1)</b>	5:16	<b>motion (23)</b>	<b>note (2)</b>	
54:11	<b>might (11)</b>	8:23;10:15;28:8,11, 17:58;1,2,9;62:11; 65:2;67:23;79:13;	38:3;77:15	
<b>mediator (12)</b>	6:23;26:5,25;32:16; 33:7,7;45:16;86:11; 87:12;88:11;97:15	84:10;87:17;88:24; 90:15;91:1,7;95:13; 97:16,23;98:8,8	<b>noted (1)</b>	
16:6;17:2,6;28:9, 25:35:7;36:4,5;64:11, 15:82:10;84:8	<b>miles (1)</b>	<b>motions (3)</b>	11:5	
<b>mediators (1)</b>	47:24	81:12;82:23;83:25	<b>nothing's (1)</b>	
82:8	<b>million-dollar (1)</b>	<b>motivated (1)</b>	38:16	
<b>mediator's (1)</b>	20:25	78:11	<b>notice (3)</b>	
36:18	<b>mind (1)</b>	<b>Movant (1)</b>	68:5,7;78:17	
<b>meet (2)</b>	45:12	77:3	<b>noticed (1)</b>	
34:3;36:23	<b>minimal (3)</b>	<b>movants (4)</b>	9:1	
<b>meet-and-confer (1)</b>	89:21;94:5,10	76:9,11;77:5,24	<b>notion (9)</b>	
26:5	<b>minimum (1)</b>	<b>movant's (1)</b>	18:14;53:8;56:13;	
<b>meeting (1)</b>	78:25	76:14	66:15;69:8;73:23;	
36:22	<b>ministries (3)</b>	<b>move (11)</b>	74:21;77:1;81:18	
<b>member (5)</b>	6:2,5;84:13	32:13;40:25;58:15; 59:7;61:10;64:23,25; 76:4;83:3;84:17;95:9	<b>November (1)</b>	
52:25;53:8;71:24; 72:12;96:9	<b>minor (1)</b>	<b>moved (1)</b>	82:9	
<b>members (4)</b>	73:9	101:6	<b>Nowhere (3)</b>	
46:21;49:20;68:9; 85:13	<b>Mintz (4)</b>	<b>moving (11)</b>	61:15;77:2,2	
<b>men (1)</b>	7:8,11;29:22;75:15	21:1;67:11;68:4,7; 72:1;74:8;80:21;83:5, 14:93:2;94:20	<b>number (12)</b>	
90:7	<b>minutes (2)</b>	<b>much (20)</b>	5:4,5;28:4;51:6,16, 21:53:2,3;76:24;84:1;	
<b>mentality (1)</b>	91:10;94:7	9:21;14:22;19:13; 22:25;29:17;37:4; 53:15;55:14;60:18, 23:65:8;75:9;81:17;	86:7;93:3	
21:19	<b>Miranda (2)</b>	83:16;85:1;87:2;90:3; 91:2;92:7;98:12	<b>numbers (2)</b>	
<b>mention (3)</b>	8:7;79:24	<b>multiple (3)</b>	21:9;51:20	
	<b>mischief (1)</b>	69:11;83:6,9	<b>numerous (1)</b>	
	30:20		89:1	
	<b>Misquoted (1)</b>			<b>O</b>
	53:16			
	<b>Mission (1)</b>			
	36:7			
	<b>mix (2)</b>			
	65:21;66:5			
	<b>modest (1)</b>			
	73:19			
	<b>moment (4)</b>			
	16:19;20:18;76:3;			
	96:7			
	<b>money (8)</b>			
	22:11;32:8,9;42:24; 74:3,5;86:17,25			
	<b>Montali (1)</b>			

18:22	<b>only (19)</b>	<b>outset (1)</b>	<b>parties (24)</b>	<b>permitted (1)</b>
<b>obligations (3)</b>	11:22;12:23;31:8;	87:13	5:6,25;10:14;13:10;	51:10
14:1;19:1;24:7	42:18;44:7,11;55:13;	<b>outside (7)</b>	23:19;24:5;26:19;	<b>perpetrators (1)</b>
<b>obscure (1)</b>	56:22;67:15,19;68:3;	66:13;70:11,12;	27:20;28:5;42:3;45:7;	43:6
47:17	72:2;73:9;77:8,24;	77:9;88:7;92:14;	54:20,23;56:15,19;	<b>person (2)</b>
<b>obtain (1)</b>	87:23;90:21;97:13;	96:19	77:19;78:21;84:4;	12:18;60:19
51:11	100:18	<b>over (10)</b>	89:8,8;93:19;94:16;	<b>personally (2)</b>
<b>obtained (1)</b>	<b>oOo- (1)</b>	5:6;18:8;25:14,24;	95:3;97:6	46:7;73:7
97:1	5:2	42:6;53:9;61:10;84:1,	<b>partner (2)</b>	<b>perspective (4)</b>
<b>obvious (5)</b>	<b>open (1)</b>	10:101:17	6:10;76:5	20:22;41:19;78:10;
27:6;37:24;42:13;	8:20	<b>overall (1)</b>	89:7	89:7
63:8;89:10	<b>opinion (1)</b>	95:8	<b>pass (1)</b>	<b>pertaining (2)</b>
<b>obviously (5)</b>	94:19	<b>overriding (1)</b>	28:20	9:12,13
19:13;30:13;36:17;	<b>opinions (1)</b>	61:15	<b>passed (1)</b>	<b>perverse (1)</b>
37:16;91:5	57:22	63:7	27:7	78:8
<b>occasion (2)</b>	<b>opportunity (4)</b>	<b>owe (1)</b>	<b>past (3)</b>	<b>PG&amp;E (3)</b>
92:12,12	29:11;54:1;75:18;	51:8	50:4;55:13;92:10	49:9,11;94:19
<b>occur (5)</b>	83:5	<b>own (3)</b>	<b>path (6)</b>	<b>phonathon (2)</b>
41:6;73:9;75:7,8;	<b>opposed (2)</b>	19:21;29:6;88:19	26:25;54:18,18;	9:24;17:3
97:13	39:16;90:15	<b>P</b>	55:8;56:14;76:9	<b>phonetic (5)</b>
<b>occurrence (1)</b>	<b>opposing (1)</b>	<b>pace (1)</b>	68:11;69:2;72:8;	68:11;69:2;72:8;
87:23	30:11	61:19	82:13;87:13	82:13;87:13
<b>o'clock (2)</b>	<b>opposite (1)</b>	<b>Pacific (2)</b>	<b>pick (6)</b>	22:6;39:16;41:3;
91:8,16	95:2	6:21;15:16	65:20,21;66:5	65:20,21;66:5
<b>off (8)</b>	<b>opposition (1)</b>	<b>page (3)</b>	<b>picked (2)</b>	10:8;66:7
14:7;29:20;49:23;	82:21	16:24;62:8;84:10	<b>picking (2)</b>	40:13;42:1
56:3;73:22;88:3;	<b>orally (1)</b>	<b>paid (5)</b>	<b>picture (1)</b>	81:11
93:14;97:6	45:23	68:25;69:1;71:18;	<b>piece (1)</b>	14:25
<b>offense (1)</b>	<b>order (34)</b>	73:11;88:7	<b>pitch (3)</b>	47:23;68:19;73:16
86:24	5:3;10:6,11,20;	<b>panacea (1)</b>	<b>pitched (2)</b>	16:23;36:5
<b>offer (2)</b>	13:7,20;16:14,14,15;	55:2	<b>pivot (1)</b>	17:20
71:7;76:11	18:6;26:2;30:15;	<b>pandemic (1)</b>	<b>place (3)</b>	82:4;90:24;96:15
<b>offering (2)</b>	34:12;41:1;51:10;	81:7	<b>places (1)</b>	23:22
84:11,21	55:3;64:12,17,18,21;	<b>paper (1)</b>	<b>plaintiff (3)</b>	13:3;51:23;70:3
<b>oftentimes (1)</b>	97:24;98:14,17,20;	46:11	<b>plaintiffs (4)</b>	28:23;40:8;73:10;
70:1	99:5,10,12,18;100:8,	<b>papers (13)</b>	101:10	101:10
<b>O'Melveny (1)</b>	17,24;101:1,2,8	10:9;21:17,17;	<b>plaintiffs' (5)</b>	13:6;68:10,15,20,
6:21	<b>ordered (1)</b>	41:24;42:13;46:6,10;	21	21
<b>once (7)</b>	81:14	53:13;66:20;67:11;	<b>plan (25)</b>	66:21;71:7;72:17;
29:9;55:23;65:13;	<b>ordinarily (1)</b>	68:4,8;72:1	73:3,4;77:13,19,22;	73:3,4;77:13,19,22;
70:14,16;82:20;83:2	93:11	<b>parade (5)</b>	80:16,18;81:14,16;	80:16,18;81:14,16;
<b>one (64)</b>	<b>Oregon (1)</b>	53:11;54:4;90:11;	84:11,15,16,16,17,19,	84:11,15,16,16,17,19,
10:9,22;11:10;	68:12	95:14,24	19,20;88:20;89:16,	19,20;88:20;89:16,
13:22;18:22;20:7;	<b>others (2)</b>	<b>paragraph (1)</b>	25:96:12,14	25:96:12,14
25:2,11,17;26:18,25;	53:9;93:23	26:3	<b>plane (1)</b>	91:16
28:24;31:19,23;	<b>otherwise (4)</b>	<b>parallel (1)</b>	<b>planes (1)</b>	91:16
32:18;34:22;35:4,8,	9:13;66:13;89:23;	94:2	<b>plans (1)</b>	91:8
11:36:17;37:25;	94:14	<b>parcel (3)</b>	<b>plans (1)</b>	91:8
40:12;42:18;43:15;	<b>ought (1)</b>	57:9;58:23;59:8		
44:6,6,7,8,11;46:14;	51:22	<b>part (8)</b>		
47:2;51:6,9,10;53:2,	<b>out (27)</b>	19:9;57:9;58:17,23;		
17:59;21,24;62:18;	14:20;18:7;24:22;	59:8;63:23;85:9;86:2		
63:25;68:8;71:21;	28:15;31:10;35:19;	<b>partial (1)</b>		
73:9,10;74:21;76:3;	41:24;43:7;47:22;	39:21		
77:7;78:1;79:25;	48:10,20;49:12,14;	<b>participate (2)</b>		
82:15;84:16;85:2;	51:17;62:1;66:19;	89:15;90:2		
87:18;88:2,5,11,24;	70:8;71:24;73:20;	<b>particular (3)</b>		
93:16;94:12;95:6;	74:7;80:9,15;82:24;	51:22;65:7;97:25		
96:8,23;98:3,14	83:23;86:18;96:10,11	<b>particularly (1)</b>		
<b>ones (2)</b>	<b>outcome (3)</b>	97:22		
39:23;71:21	23:10;69:15;71:2			
<b>one-sided (1)</b>	<b>out-of-state (1)</b>			
54:21	68:10			

77:15	<b>position (12)</b>	19:24;20:6;59:5	59:2,8;61:23;66:11;	<b>provisions (1)</b>
<b>play (6)</b>	12:4;17:1;19:6;	<b>preserving (1)</b>	69:23;76:5;77:9;79:2;	80:17
14:2;27:18;44:5,5;	21:3;28:24;29:10;	58:15	83:12;88:12,15,23;	<b>proviso (1)</b>
60:15;96:11	33:21;70:16;72:16,	<b>press (1)</b>	89:5;92:21;93:2,2,5;	97:17
<b>playbook (4)</b>	21:73:5;93:25	70:20	94:14,16,25;95:18;	<b>publicly (1)</b>
39:1;82:21,22,23	<b>positive (1)</b>	<b>pressed (2)</b>	96:16,17;97:8;98:3;	18:24
<b>plays (2)</b>	17:11	38:10;67:16	100:12,21	<b>punching (1)</b>
47:22;96:10	<b>positives (1)</b>	<b>pressure (3)</b>	<b>produced (1)</b>	90:7
<b>please (2)</b>	15:24	22:12;42:3;45:7	28:21	<b>punish (1)</b>
74:20;90:20	<b>possibility (1)</b>	<b>presumably (1)</b>	<b>productively (2)</b>	24:17
<b>pledged (1)</b>	51:12	79:5	83:7;93:4	<b>purely (1)</b>
88:15	<b>possible (1)</b>	<b>pre-trial (1)</b>	<b>progress (8)</b>	32:20
<b>Plevin (1)</b>	92:10	68:19	8:24;80:11;81:19;	<b>purpose (4)</b>
8:8	<b>possibly (3)</b>	<b>pretty (2)</b>	85:11;86:5;96:12,20;	21:5;38:2;40:2;
<b>Plimpton (2)</b>	17:23;95:1,1	85:7,20	97:10	44:22
47:18;48:1	<b>posture (1)</b>	<b>previously (1)</b>	<b>prohibition (1)</b>	<b>purposes (2)</b>
<b>plus (1)</b>	59:1	66:25	25:23	77:21,22
64:16	<b>potential (4)</b>	<b>priests (1)</b>	<b>prohibits (1)</b>	<b>pursue (2)</b>
<b>PM (1)</b>	9:17;43:19;82:10;	46:12	13:8	70:12;86:21
102:5	89:23	<b>primary (1)</b>	<b>Prol (2)</b>	<b>pursuing (3)</b>
<b>point (37)</b>	<b>potentially (1)</b>	45:3	6:10,12	21:7;71:1;76:24
13:17;28:12;37:21;	86:23	<b>principal (2)</b>	<b>prominence (1)</b>	<b>pursuit (2)</b>
38:22;48:15,19;	<b>power (1)</b>	11:5;76:6	73:12	69:25;83:5
51:15,20,23;52:4,5,7,	89:10	<b>principle (3)</b>	<b>prominent (2)</b>	<b>push (2)</b>
24;56:9,11,23;57:5;	<b>precisely (1)</b>	20:21;43:14;44:10	68:21;71:14	43:11,13
59:24;60:6;61:21;	75:6	<b>prior (4)</b>	<b>promise (1)</b>	<b>pushback (1)</b>
74:24;77:2;81:24;	<b>preclude (1)</b>	52:24;77:10,10;	60:20	19:9
82:5,18,22;85:21;	85:12	99:5	<b>proper (1)</b>	<b>pushed (1)</b>
86:13;89:3,11,17;	<b>precludes (1)</b>	<b>priority (1)</b>	13:2	9:16
94:25,25;97:5,10;	13:14	74:15	<b>proposal (2)</b>	<b>put (13)</b>
99:17,24	<b>predominantly (1)</b>	<b>private (1)</b>	82:2;88:9	17:5;20:3;21:25;
<b>pointed (3)</b>	93:16	67:16	32:15;43:7;53:8;	32:15;43:7;53:8;
41:24;83:23;87:9	<b>preferential (4)</b>	<b>privilege (7)</b>	54:19;66:17;67:9,17;	54:19;66:17;67:9,17;
<b>pointing (1)</b>	28:24;29:10;68:9;	11:23;12:7,17;13:4,	81:16;82:2;94:7	81:16;82:2;94:7
70:8	70:16	15:32;17;97:19	<b>proposing (1)</b>	<b>puts (1)</b>
<b>points (5)</b>	<b>preferred (7)</b>	<b>privileged (2)</b>	94:21	70:16
26:13;31:19;80:3;	39:23;51:9;53:1,9;	13:3;100:24	<b>proposition (2)</b>	<b>putting (2)</b>
87:18;96:5	69:12,14,15	<b>pro (1)</b>	37:24;90:22	66:24;95:22
<b>police (2)</b>	<b>prejudice (1)</b>	72:19	<b>prospect (1)</b>	<b>Q</b>
25:13;88:19	73:24	<b>probably (4)</b>	47:20	
<b>policies (7)</b>	<b>prejudices (1)</b>	38:12;48:20;52:19;	<b>protect (2)</b>	
19:2;42:5;57:16;	74:1	68:22	37:25;52:12	
71:16;86:12,16,17	<b>prejudicial (2)</b>	<b>problem (4)</b>	<b>protected (1)</b>	
<b>policing (1)</b>	51:3;80:17	20:8;32:18;75:7,7	89:9	
19:22	<b>premise (2)</b>	<b>problematic (1)</b>	<b>protections (1)</b>	
<b>policy (7)</b>	52:22;76:24	13:23	93:14	
13:13;42:18;57:16,	<b>premised (1)</b>	<b>problems (2)</b>	<b>protective (8)</b>	
19:84;22;86:24;87:9	24:9	55:2;83:15	98:14,17,19;99:10,	
<b>policyholder (4)</b>	<b>preparation (1)</b>	<b>procedural (1)</b>	11,18;100:8,16	
19:19;24:9;65:15,	82:7	78:16	<b>protects (1)</b>	
19	<b>prepare (1)</b>	<b>proceed (5)</b>	37:25	
<b>policyholders (1)</b>	97:24	6:22;65:1;76:6;	<b>protocols (1)</b>	
22:8	<b>prepared (4)</b>	80:10;82:20	39:10	
<b>poll (1)</b>	11:9;83:8;90:23;	<b>proceeded (1)</b>	<b>proven (1)</b>	
10:13	97:16	94:11	74:14	
<b>pool (1)</b>	<b>present (1)</b>	<b>proceeding (1)</b>	<b>provide (6)</b>	
69:12	83:15	65:19	12:16;30:7,17;	
<b>population (1)</b>	<b>presentation (2)</b>	<b>proceedings (3)</b>	33:16;64:21;81:2	
48:4	50:4;72:5	38:6;61:5;102:5	<b>provided (5)</b>	
<b>portion (1)</b>	<b>presented (5)</b>	<b>process (37)</b>	12:1,5;19:5;64:17;	
48:4	64:2;80:15;82:10;	17:7;21:1;22:23;	82:8	
<b>posed (2)</b>	83:22;93:21	32:6,13;39:16,17;	<b>provides (2)</b>	
56:4;60:25	<b>preserve (3)</b>	40:23;42:10;58:22;	13:5;61:12	

9:1;22;21;77:20; 78:13,24	54:22	54:21;80:4	<b>resources (4)</b>	91:17;92:4,7;94:8; 97:19;98:4;99:19,22; 101:13,14,23;102:4
<b>rational (1)</b>	<b>recognized (1)</b>	<b>repeatedly (1)</b>	<b>respect (7)</b>	<b>rightly (2)</b>
42:23	77:21	48:23	11:25;31:9;43:4; 82:6;94:21;97:18; 100:5	61:3;66:25
<b>rattle (1)</b>	<b>recommendation (1)</b>	<b>replace (1)</b>	<b>respectfully (3)</b>	<b>rights (9)</b>
50:23	84:24	78:4	64:2;65:6;69:21	13:10;14:8;19:24; 58:15;74:2,3,10;79:6; 84:13
<b>re (1)</b>	<b>record (4)</b>	<b>replicate (1)</b>	<b>Respond (4)</b>	<b>risk (11)</b>
32:17	49:18;55:23;75:15; 85:4	44:9	32:15;45:20,23; 99:13	42:3;45:6;54:20,23; 56:15,20;83:12; 88:17;89:12,17,21
<b>reach (1)</b>	<b>recoupment (1)</b>	<b>replicated (1)</b>	<b>responded (3)</b>	<b>risks (5)</b>
17:24	74:5	40:16	42:19;64:13,13	14:2;93:20;94:15; 95:21;96:2
<b>reached (2)</b>	<b>recover (1)</b>	<b>replies (3)</b>	<b>responding (1)</b>	<b>road (4)</b>
15:7;80:14	87:21	67:11,17,19	99:5	22:22;50:9;51:2;
<b>reaches (1)</b>	<b>recovery (1)</b>	<b>report (1)</b>	<b>responses (1)</b>	53:10
78:2	70:4	89:1	57:18	<b>Robert (1)</b>
<b>reaching (1)</b>	<b>reflective (1)</b>	<b>reported (1)</b>	<b>responsibility (1)</b>	5:9
76:7	9:7	42:21	9:11	<b>Rochester (1)</b>
<b>react (1)</b>	<b>refusing (1)</b>	<b>represent (1)</b>	<b>responsible (1)</b>	80:12
95:24	82:15	89:8	95:8	<b>Rockville (3)</b>
<b>reaction (1)</b>	<b>regard (2)</b>	<b>representative (1)</b>	<b>responsive (1)</b>	57:25;60:9;80:20
9:25	43:3;69:15	42:1	10:20	<b>rolling (1)</b>
<b>read (3)</b>	<b>relate (1)</b>	<b>represented (2)</b>	rest (2)	43:1
10:16;37:15;92:10	33:13	72:19,20	86:18,25	<b>Rome-Banks (1)</b>
<b>reading (1)</b>	<b>related (1)</b>	<b>representing (2)</b>	<b>restrictions (1)</b>	5:10
47:11	5:25	5:10;83:20	100:19	<b>room (3)</b>
<b>ready (3)</b>	<b>relates (2)</b>	<b>request (7)</b>	<b>restructuring (1)</b>	30:19;89:7;91:13
16:19;62:9;76:16	11:22;12:24	35:20;36:19;53:13; 57:19;58:9;61:12; 63:4	80:16	<b>roughly (1)</b>
<b>real (3)</b>	<b>relatively (3)</b>	<b>requested (4)</b>	<b>result (7)</b>	84:2
21:3;68:5;83:15	73:19,20;94:5	35:14;43:10;51:8; 53:20	30:20;31:4;52:9; 76:13;81:20;96:11; 97:1	<b>route (1)</b>
<b>realistically (1)</b>	<b>release (1)</b>	<b>requesting (1)</b>	<b>results (1)</b>	90:22
93:4	56:21	58:1	97:11	<b>rule (4)</b>
<b>realize (1)</b>	<b>released (2)</b>	<b>required (1)</b>	<b>retains (1)</b>	35:7;36:21;53:17;
92:20	22:14;56:7	58:3	61:9	59:11
<b>really (34)</b>	<b>relevance (1)</b>	<b>reservation (2)</b>	<b>retake (1)</b>	<b>ruled (1)</b>
6:23;10:19,22; 13:23;17:19;18:7,23; 19:18,20,21:6,16,20; 22:24;23:12,13; 24:17;26:19;27:17; 30:18;32:12,17; 42:15;44:1;45:18; 69:6,22;70:6,7,14; 71:13;73:3,17,25; 77:21	53:17	11:18;74:3	28:11	58:18
<b>reason (15)</b>	<b>relevant (2)</b>	<b>reserve (5)</b>	<b>review (2)</b>	<b>Rules (2)</b>
12:6;30:8;39:8,15; 45:3;57:21;60:25; 62:11;67:9,25;68:5; 70:21;75:7;78:5; 92:14	50:24;51:1	13:10,11,11;14:12; 79:6	30:21;31:3	53:14,16
<b>reasons (5)</b>	<b>relief (32)</b>	<b>reserves (2)</b>	<b>revised (3)</b>	<b>ruling (5)</b>
10:9;28:15;31:11; 32:16;71:12	9:8,13,18,19;10:15; 22:23,24;25:8;37:7, 14,18;39:8;43:23; 51:8;53:20;56:5;58:2; 63:9;78:1,25;79:10, 12:80;13:81;13,23; 88:5,8,23;93:3;95:17; 96:6;97:17	14:8,14	17:1;99:10,21	13:1,14;20:2;
<b>recall (2)</b>	<b>remain (2)</b>	<b>resist (1)</b>	<b>rich (1)</b>	100:11;101:4
72:2;87:12	78:5;83:13	49:16	95:6	<b>rulings (1)</b>
<b>receive (1)</b>	<b>remaining (1)</b>	<b>resolution (12)</b>	<b>right (73)</b>	100:5
81:18	85:8	9:17;16:20;26:25; 42:16;51:24;52:17; 54:12;76:10;78:13; 81:20;84:18;88:15	5:24;8:19,22;9:9; 10:2,3;13:11,12; 14:19,22,23,24,25; 15:8;16:1;18:11;19:7;	<b>run (2)</b>
<b>received (3)</b>	<b>remark (1)</b>	<b>resolutions (1)</b>	20:2,5,5,7,18;24:18; 24:29;5,19;32:15; 33:22;34:3,6;35:25; 36:9;37:6;38:14;41:9;	97:24;99:10
12:18;46:9;86:15	48:18	97:7	44:4,6;45:11;47:3;	<b>running (1)</b>
<b>receives (1)</b>	<b>remember (5)</b>	<b>resolve (5)</b>	50:5,6;52:4;53:3;	8:15
51:12	62:6	26:6;28:11;29:11; 44:15;85:24	59:25;62:12,14;63:3;	<b>rush (2)</b>
<b>recently (2)</b>	<b>remind (1)</b>	<b>resolved (10)</b>	6,8,24;66:18,18;	69:19,20
56:5;57:11	64:7	11:10,15,20;30:24; 42:25;44:25;54:11; 77:10;83:24;98:2	70:10,18;74:1,4;	<b>S</b>
<b>recognize (1)</b>	<b>reopened (1)</b>	<b>resolves (2)</b>	76:19;79:5,18;85:14;	
	42:22	15:1;78:2		
	<b>reorganization (3)</b>	<b>resolving (1)</b>		
	89:16,19,25	84:22		
	<b>repeat (2)</b>	<b>resource (1)</b>		
		39:19		

23:58:14,20;59:1; 62:8;66:12;72:2; 83:14;85:15;86:6; 90:14,23;96:21	9:1 <b>second (3)</b> 11:17;51:14;85:21	<b>settlement (10)</b> 26:20;40:18;54:20, 24;55:4;76:7,11,13, 22,25	<b>single (3)</b> 34:11;88:7,8	25:18:10;19:8,20,21, 23:22:3,8,11;23:13;
<b>sample (1)</b> 42:1	<b>secret (1)</b> 47:21	<b>settles (1)</b> 78:1	<b>sit (3)</b> 17:2;72:16;86:19	24:21;25:20;26:6,9, 21;27:1;28:10;37:3;
<b>Samuel (1)</b> 45:12	<b>seeing (2)</b> 56:16;83:9	<b>Seven (1)</b> 56:13	<b>sitting (1)</b> 99:18	41:6;42:1;58:18; 65:14;66:10,15,25;
<b>San (3)</b> 38:17;46:15;82:24	<b>seek (3)</b> 52:11;58:13	<b>seventeen (2)</b> 56:6;81:12	<b>situated (1)</b> 88:17	67:16,19;68:1;69:6,9; 71:8;72:21;73:13,17;
<b>Sandler (1)</b> 6:9	<b>seem (1)</b> 26:22	<b>shall (1)</b> 100:23	<b>situation (12)</b> 19:3;23:13;37:12;	74:5;81:19;85:22; 86:3,14;95:7;100:10
<b>sat (1)</b> 81:8	<b>seems (2)</b> 23:4;86:7	<b>share (5)</b> 12:5;13:2,5;32:21; 33:10	<b>sought (4)</b> 13:7;32:5;42:21; 78:25	
<b>satisfied (4)</b> 15:4,6,9;93:23	<b>select (3)</b> 18:1;22:9;40:3	<b>shared (1)</b> 25:3	<b>sounded (1)</b> 10:1	
<b>Saturday (1)</b> 67:17	<b>selected (12)</b> 16:7;23:3;38:3; 39:24;65:17,18;68:8, 9,12;69:7;71:21;	<b>sharing (2)</b> 19:14;20:11	<b>sounds (3)</b> 10:22;17:5;61:24	
<b>saw (1)</b> 42:20	85:18	<b>shield (1)</b> 19:14	<b>speak (5)</b> 10:14;16:6;41:16; 73:21;90:16	
<b>saying (13)</b> 15:4;20:12;34:5; 41:10;49:16;55:2,3; 59:10;66:22;70:9; 76:21;92:9;98:1	<b>selecting (1)</b> 85:6	<b>shift (1)</b> 37:6	<b>SPEAKER (12)</b> 59:16;91:15,19,22, 25;98:13,16,19,22,25; 99:3,8	
<b>scenario (1)</b> 78:4	<b>selection (2)</b> 43:2;66:23	<b>short (2)</b> 67:4;71:4	<b>slow (1)</b> 39:2	
<b>scheduled (2)</b> 8:16;9:23	<b>selections (1)</b> 86:20	<b>shortened (1)</b> 45:22	<b>small (4)</b> 12:13;28:1;38:23; 69:13	
<b>Schiavoni (122)</b> 6:20,20;7:2,4;15:9, 10,14,16,18,21,24; 16:3,6,10,13,17,22; 17:5,9,11,13,16,18, 22:20;5,7,10,15,17; 21:10,13,16,24;22:3, 14,16,20;23:2,6,9,12, 16,18,22,25;24:3,7, 12,15,19,21,24;25:2, 6,8,10,13,17,22;26:2, 8,12,15,18;27:3,6,9, 12,14,17,25;28:4,14; 29:4,6,9,14,16,18; 31:12,18;55:16; 64:14;65:11,11,13,24; 66:1,5,7,10;67:7,9,15; 71:1,4;72:7,11,24; 73:16;74:20,23;75:3; 78:8;83:10,22,23; 88:10;99:1,25;100:3, 5,8,15;101:8,10,13, 15,19,22,24;102:2	<b>send (1)</b> 28:16	<b>show (1)</b> 76:15	<b>smiling (1)</b> 17:18	
<b>Schiavoni's (3)</b> 8:24;75:21;80:3	<b>sense (7)</b> 19:10;28:9;31:5; 32:25;51:21;94:10; 96:21	<b>showing (5)</b> 68:23;93:11;94:3,9, 12	<b>SoCal (2)</b> 38:6,7	
<b>scope (2)</b> 50:21;55:5	<b>sent (3)</b> 46:8;57:15;64:19	<b>Sidd (5)</b> 47:9,10,17;48:18; 82:13	<b>somebody (7)</b> 42:19;48:17;51:16; 59:11;70:14;79:2; 96:20	
<b>screen (2)</b> 7:1,23	<b>separately (2)</b> 8:19;18:3	<b>side (17)</b> 9:5;15:9;29:20; 31:16;34:22;35:2;	<b>somebody's (1)</b> 92:11	
<b>se (1)</b> 72:19	<b>September (1)</b> 81:15	<b>series (1)</b> 55:15;63:15;75:10;	<b>somehow (10)</b> 13:23;22:12;46:21;	
<b>search (1)</b> 26:4	<b>serious (1)</b> 78:16	<b>serious (1)</b> 79:19;83:17;88:19;	<b>speculating (2)</b> 70:17;73:1	
<b>seating (1)</b>	<b>seriously (1)</b> 49:25	<b>seriously (1)</b> 89:7,20,21;90:13; 92:18	<b>speculation (1)</b> 70:23	
	<b>serve (2)</b> 57:25;58:12	<b>sidelines (1)</b> 86:19	<b>speed (1)</b> 76:9	
	<b>served (3)</b> 60:9,10;77:19	<b>sides (1)</b> 62:16	<b>spell (1)</b> 48:10	
	<b>serving (2)</b> 57:7;58:3	<b>sign (1)</b> 93:25	<b>spelled (1)</b> 48:12	
	<b>set (4)</b> 17:23;26:25;81:4; 101:19	<b>signed (1)</b> 14:7	<b>spend (2)</b> 42:24;53:14	
	<b>sets (1)</b> 78:8	<b>significant (1)</b> 48:4	<b>spending (1)</b> 74:2	
	<b>settle (5)</b> 40:24;43:1;69:10; 84:4,5	<b>silver (1)</b> 54:9	<b>spent (2)</b> 62:3;76:3	
	<b>settled (5)</b> 42:25;60:8,11;71:9; 87:14	<b>Similarly (3)</b> 48:19;56:10;88:16	<b>sphere (1)</b> 55:3	
		<b>Simons (1)</b> 72:5	<b>spirit (1)</b> 58:24	
		<b>simply (5)</b> 13:10;78:3;94:20; 95:24;100:23	<b>split (1)</b> 93:9	
			<b>spoke (1)</b> 82:11	
			<b>spoken (1)</b>	

28:5	20,22;88:4,8,22;89:9, 9,10;90:10;93:3,7,13, 15;94:6,7,7,18,21;	<b>submit (6)</b> 30:7;31:6;64:2; 77:18;78:21;99:10	<b>survivor (2)</b> 54:21;61:14	<b>terms (5)</b> 9:10;33:5;43:5; 78:17;84:8
<b>Sports (3)</b> 47:11,19,19	95:17;96:6;97:17; 101:6	<b>submitted (6)</b> 43:10;64:11,17; 98:17,22,25	<b>survivors (10)</b> 51:8;53:4;56:20; 57:7,13;87:1;89:19; 90:13,16,17	<b>terribly (4)</b> 94:20;96:7,22,23
<b>St (2)</b> 6:1,1	<b>step (2)</b> 64:9,10	<b>Subsequently (1)</b> 57:25	<b>survivors' (2)</b> 58:15;61:8	<b>Thanks (4)</b> 5:22;6:3;31:13; 47:5
<b>stabbed (1)</b> 49:14	<b>steps (3)</b> 69:9;76:23;77:3	<b>substantive (6)</b> 13:1,14;21:20; 26:20;46:17;78:17	<b>suspect (1)</b> 33:4	<b>that'll (1)</b> 18:4
<b>stage (1)</b> 88:20	<b>sternly (1)</b> 36:14	<b>substantively (1)</b> 8:17	<b>sympathetic (2)</b> 32:18;33:20	<b>theme (1)</b> 38:22
<b>stake (6)</b> 45:18;68:17;69:4; 73:19;80:25;101:5	<b>still (5)</b> 10:23;23:2;24:4; 36:16;95:25	<b>success (1)</b> 82:2	<b>sympathy (1)</b> 90:13	<b>theoretically (1)</b> 77:9
<b>stall (1)</b> 54:15	<b>STIPPEL (25)</b> 6:13,14;55:19,21, 23,24;56:2,10,13,19; 57:1,3,15;58:6,8,25; 59:4,7,20,22;60:3,6, 13,15,22	<b>succesfully (1)</b> 83:24	<b>Syracuse (3)</b> 57:1,23;60:7	<b>theory (1)</b> 93:5
<b>stalled (1)</b> 54:16	<b>stipulated (2)</b> 98:17,19	<b>successor (1)</b> 12:25	<b>system (11)</b> 18:18;19:19;21:6; 31:21;32:8,12;51:17; 62:5;66:14;76:3;82:3	<b>therefore (2)</b> 42:4;87:19
<b>stand (2)</b> 54:8;88:16	<b>stipulation (6)</b> 46:5,9;61:4,11; 62:15;83:2	<b>sudden (1)</b> 90:16	<b>There'll (2)</b> 5:7;28:19	<b>third (2)</b> 26:2;35:11
<b>standard (2)</b> 77:14;94:5	<b>stood (1)</b> 69:7	<b>sufficient (2)</b> 30:15,17		<b>thirteen (1)</b> 37:19
<b>standing (2)</b> 53:6;60:25	<b>stop (3)</b> 51:11,14;53:10	<b>sufficiently (1)</b> 30:12	<b>talk (15)</b> 17:2;30:13;40:10; 44:17;51:2;55:15; 58:20;72:12;73:4; 84:19;91:4,5;95:25; 98:3,18	<b>thirty (1)</b> 16:23
<b>standpoint (1)</b> 85:19	<b>stopping (1)</b> 69:19	<b>suggested (1)</b> 24:25	<b>talked (4)</b> 27:20;60:18;76:17; 82:11	<b>thirty-five-million (1)</b> 69:2
<b>start (17)</b> 5:8;15:10,21;37:24; 50:3;51:2;56:22; 58:21;69:23;74:2; 85:20;86:13;88:3; 92:5;96:16,16;97:6	<b>stories (1)</b> 73:8	<b>suggesting (2)</b> 24:21;27:3	<b>talking (7)</b> 34:14,15,19;57:10; 62:4;83:11;88:25	<b>thirty-second (1)</b> 98:14
<b>starting (1)</b> 37:23	<b>story (4)</b> 29:3;47:17;49:14; 73:10	<b>suggestion (2)</b> 56:2;97:25	<b>talks (1)</b> 34:12	<b>thoroughly (1)</b> 21:4
<b>starts (1)</b> 86:24	<b>strange (1)</b> 67:21	<b>sui (1)</b> 70:11	<b>Tanc (1)</b> 65:11	<b>though (4)</b> 56:20;67:9;76:19; 80:5
<b>state (15)</b> 39:23;40:12;57:13; 61:5,9,21;63:1,8,10; 70:10;72:21;77:5; 78:7;99:5;100:20	<b>strangely (1)</b> 74:15	<b>suits (1)</b> 80:10	<b>Tancred (1)</b> 6:20	<b>thought (7)</b> 33:4;45:14;47:15; 58:23;66:24;95:19; 99:25
<b>status (1)</b> 97:13	<b>strategy (3)</b> 20:12;25:14;27:4	<b>support (2)</b> 80:8,16	<b>tear (1)</b> 73:22	<b>thoughts (2)</b> 91:3,6
<b>statute (1)</b> 42:22	<b>straw (1)</b> 90:7	<b>supported (2)</b> 64:10;81:21	<b>tee (1)</b> 59:11	<b>threat (2)</b> 54:22;80:21
<b>statutory (1)</b> 77:17	<b>stresses (1)</b> 77:3	<b>suppose (1)</b> 10:16	<b>ted (3)</b> 13:23;72:7;97:8	<b>three (7)</b> 16:14;18:6;26:12; 63:7;68:10;71:14; 80:18
<b>stay (83)</b> 9:13,18,19;10:15; 17:25;18:1,2,4;22:5, 23,24;26:16,19;28:6, 11,17;32:6;37:7,14, 18,25,25;38:2;39:8; 50:11;51:3;52:3; 53:24;54:16;56:5; 57:8,20,24;58:1,4,19, 20;61:4;62:4;63:9; 66:18;67:12,23,24; 68:2;69:17,25;72:3,8; 74:8;75:5;78:19; 81:12,17,19;82:23; 83:4,14,14,25;86:14,	<b>strictly (1)</b> 85:18	<b>sure (17)</b> 20:6,19;21:4,23; 26:6;29:3;39:13;51:9; 56:10;60:5,10;65:5; 70:8;74:22;75:20; 87:7;100:4	<b>telling (4)</b> 44:12;54:8,14,14	<b>throw (1)</b> 47:24
	<b>strong (1)</b> 18:3	<b>surprise (1)</b> 59:10	<b>ten (1)</b> 52:19	<b>tied (1)</b> 71:10
	<b>strongest (1)</b> 22:1	<b>surprised (2)</b> 40:21;47:7	<b>tenets (1)</b> 76:6	<b>tilting (1)</b> 53:1
	<b>strongly (1)</b> 59:8	<b>surprising (1)</b> 92:22	<b>tension (1)</b> 61:21	<b>Tim (2)</b> 6:15;48:21
	<b>structure (4)</b> 10:1;84:19,19,20	<b>surprisingly (1)</b> 90:6	<b>term (1)</b> 44:21	<b>times (2)</b> 52:20;89:1
	<b>structured (2)</b> 23:23;79:12	<b>surreply (5)</b> 45:20,23;46:1,17; 66:24	<b>terminated (1)</b> 93:17	<b>timing (1)</b> 17:14
	<b>subhead (1)</b> 48:9			<b>Timothy (1)</b> 7:18
	<b>subject (9)</b> 12:6,17;67:12;80:7; 87:11;99:4;101:3,4,8			<b>today (18)</b> 5:13;9:2,11;15:14;

27:10;42:7;49:20; 50:24;51:4;54:8;56:2; 59:11;60:18;68:18; 75:19;82:8;84:5; 92:20 <b>today's (1)</b> 50:3 <b>together (3)</b> 22:9;24:5;38:1 <b>told (5)</b> 46:6;8;58:2;66:20; 68:16 <b>tomorrow (4)</b> 38:17;68:18;72:15; 94:24 <b>tongue (1)</b> 48:1 <b>took (4)</b> 25:18;51:15;73:13; 77:1 <b>tool (10)</b> 52:4,5,6,12,15,23; 58:20;69:22;93:16,16 <b>tort (15)</b> 5:20;18:18;19:18; 21:6;31:21;32:8,12; 51:17;66:13;75:1,4; 76:3;78:6;83:24; 94:17 <b>total (2)</b> 51:21;86:25 <b>touched (1)</b> 85:5 <b>touried (1)</b> 47:22 <b>toward (2)</b> 30:25;96:12 <b>towards (3)</b> 9:17;78:13;84:17 <b>toxic (3)</b> 71:13;73:6,14 <b>track (2)</b> 83:8;94:2 <b>traction (1)</b> 35:20 <b>trade (1)</b> 69:20 <b>Trans (1)</b> 8:8 <b>Transcontinental (1)</b> 79:25 <b>Transferring (1)</b> 5:5 <b>transpired (1)</b> 55:13 <b>Travelers (6)</b> 7:16;8:12;35:14; 36:11;87:9,21 <b>treated (2)</b> 76:8;90:17 <b>treatment (3)</b> 42:21;77:12,14 <b>trees (1)</b>	65:7 <b>tremendous (1)</b> 18:17 <b>trial (7)</b> 38:18;54:22;56:21; 72:13;76:17;81:18; 88:8 <b>trial-ready (1)</b> 38:17 <b>tried (2)</b> 78:13;88:13 <b>true (5)</b> 46:5,13,15;73:23; 77:1 <b>trust (1)</b> 84:14 <b>try (3)</b> 54:18;55:3;75:22 <b>trying (12)</b> 17:24;18:18;26:21; 29:11;31:22;59:4; 65:21;82:6;84:6; 85:23;24;95:15 <b>TUESDAY (1)</b> 5:1 <b>turn (2)</b> 25:24;74:7 <b>turned (2)</b> 49:12;71:24 <b>TURNER (7)</b> 8:7,8,8;79:22,24, 24;83:23 <b>turning (1)</b> 25:14 <b>TV (1)</b> 42:20 <b>twenty (1)</b> 84:2 <b>twenty- (1)</b> 20:24 <b>two (21)</b> 10:23;11:9;20:8; 25:25;28:9;34:21; 38:17;18;42:4;46:11; 49:19;51:6;53:3; 56:13;23;64:19; 68:20;69:3;82:20; 83:7;90:17 <b>types (1)</b> 75:3 <b>typical (1)</b> 30:22 <b>Typically (1)</b> 52:12	71:18;93:1 <b>Um-hum (92)</b> 9:6;10:12;11:7,16, 19:12;3:13;16,18; 16:21;17:4,17;20:9; 23:15,17,21,24;24:2, 6,11,14;25:1,5,7,9,12, 21:27;5,24;28:3; 29:23,25;30:23;32:2, 4,11;33:12,15;37:13, 20:38;15,20,24;40:5; 43:21;45:2;49:4,24; 50:2,7,14,18;51:5; 52:18;53:5,22;54:25; 55:20;56:1,18,25; 57:2;58:7;60:12;61:6, 13;62:17,23;63:20; 65:5,12;66:6,9;67:14; 71:3;72:6,10,23; 73:15;75:2;76:20; 77:23;78:18,20; 79:11;87:7,8,16;99:2, 7,100:14;101:7,7 <b>unable (1)</b> 75:4 <b>under (8)</b> 19:2;57:17;67:5; 74:3;77:14,18;81:23; 96:21 <b>underlying (2)</b> 25:15;42:8 <b>undermine (1)</b> 19:8 <b>undermines (1)</b> 76:6 <b>understood (1)</b> 62:25 <b>uneven (1)</b> 81:10 <b>unexpected (1)</b> 97:11 <b>unfortunately (2)</b> 39:1;86:19 <b>UNIDENTIFIED (12)</b> 59:16;91:15,19,22, 25;98:13,16,19,22,25; 99:3,8 <b>uninsured (1)</b> 81:12 <b>unique (4)</b> 9:1;37:12;55:4; 92:25 <b>universe (3)</b> 33:5,17,18 <b>unless (6)</b> 8:17;10:21;20:10; 51:16;66:21;88:8 <b>unlike (1)</b> 55:5 <b>unlikely (2)</b> 59:11;72:21 <b>unlimited (2)</b> 86:7,10	<b>unprecedented (1)</b> 78:4 <b>unsolvable (1)</b> 29:1 <b>up (41)</b> 5:15;7:6;8:15,22; 10:8;11:3;13:23;15:9, 22:1;28:12;30:1; 34:21;35:5;36:11; 41:23;48:5,16,24; 51:17;53:8;55:20; 57:19;59:12;66:18, 18,18;68:23;70:10, 21;71:11;72:7;75:12; 76:9;78:8;85:20; 86:10;92:9;97:8; 98:15,15;100:17 <b>upon (4)</b> 24:9;54:10;56:21; 90:25 <b>Urge (4)</b> 35:23;55:10;99:9, 11 <b>use (8)</b> 52:15;65:23,24; 71:20;84:21;93:18; 94:6;95:8 <b>used (2)</b> 52:6;93:17 <b>using (3)</b> 44:21;50:21;52:8 <b>utilize (1)</b> 52:11 <b>utilizes (1)</b> 52:12	<b>violate (2)</b> 57:20;58:4 <b>violates (1)</b> 77:14 <b>violation (3)</b> 13:13;57:8,24 <b>visibly (1)</b> 64:22 <b>vital (1)</b> 58:14 <b>vitally (2)</b> 19:17;20:1 <b>voice (1)</b> 40:22 <b>voluntary (2)</b> 18:25;24:12 <b>votes (1)</b> 88:19
				<b>W</b>
				<b>wait (1)</b> 56:3 <b>waiting (5)</b> 17:19,20;56:5,16; 96:14 <b>waive (1)</b> 13:4 <b>wailed (1)</b> 18:16 <b>waiver (1)</b> 13:15 <b>walk (1)</b> 73:13 <b>Walsh (22)</b> 7:7;75:13,15,15, 18,21,24;76:1,19,21; 77:24;78:16,19,21,24; 79:6,9,12,15,17;88:4 <b>Walsh's (1)</b> 80:2 <b>wants (7)</b> 45:23;48:18;59:11; 60:19;69:2;79:3; 91:13 <b>war (2)</b> 73:8,10 <b>Warshak (1)</b> 82:13 <b>Washington (1)</b> 68:12 <b>way (36)</b> 19:22;20:4,7,12; 22:25;29:14;32:15; 39:9,10;44:8,25; 45:21;47:24;51:25; 52:8,9;58:20;59:12; 61:4,10;63:10;64:9, 10;65:17;71:12;76:6; 79:12;83:25;85:15; 86:6;94:12;95:9,17, 21;96:10;101:5 <b>ways (2)</b>

59:13;76:19	<b>Wise (1)</b> 38:12	<b>wrote (2)</b> 49:14;58:24	<b>2022 (1)</b> 80:15
<b>week (1)</b> 37:16	<b>Wisenberg (1)</b> 90:5	<b>Wyatt (10)</b> 7:15,15;36:12,15, 21;37:1,5;85:3,4;87:3	<b>2024 (5)</b> 64:11,12,16;82:9, 13
<b>weekend (1)</b> 84:10	<b>wiser (1)</b> 31:5		<b>2025 (2)</b> 5:1;81:15
<b>weeks (1)</b> 101:18	<b>wisest (1)</b> 28:7		<b>23-41723 (1)</b> 5:5
<b>Weisberg (1)</b> 41:17	<b>wish (1)</b> 39:14		<b>25th (2)</b> 98:21,25
<b>WEISENBERG (52)</b> 6:8,9;8:17,20;15:5, 6;41:16;47:6,6,11,15; 48:6,9,12,15;49:8,18, 18,22,25;50:3,8,15, 19;51:6;52:1,10,14, 17,19,24;53:6,23; 55:1;60:24;61:3,7,14, 18,25;62:3,12,14,18, 21,24;63:4,7,12,14; 82:22;90:5	<b>withheld (4)</b> 19:12;30:12,16; 31:8		<b>28th (1)</b> 66:18
<b>weren't (1)</b> 67:12	<b>withholding (1)</b> 30:10		<b>2nd (1)</b> 82:13
<b>whatnot (3)</b> 65:18;71:12;74:6	<b>within (4)</b> 50:20;62:5;90:8; 99:9		
<b>what's (12)</b> 33:4;45:17;52:10; 54:7;55:13;66:11; 68:17;69:4,5;70:4,18; 95:17	<b>without (5)</b> 13:8;47:8;82:2; 83:24;86:21		<b>3 (2)</b> 91:8,16
<b>whatsoever (2)</b> 50:19;53:24	<b>woman (1)</b> 49:13		<b>31st (1)</b> 64:16
<b>whereas (2)</b> 13:9;86:21	<b>wonderful (3)</b> 48:4;49:11;92:8		
<b>Whereupon (1)</b> 102:5	<b>Woodhull (2)</b> 72:8,9	<b>Z</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>whip (1)</b> 88:19	<b>word (7)</b> 20:24;29:21;65:23; 66:1;79:20;83:18; 95:2	<b>Zurich (3)</b> 8:2;83:21,21	<b>4 (1)</b> 11:18
<b>White (1)</b> 83:20	<b>words (2)</b> 13:3;93:18		<b>400,000 (3)</b> 69:1;87:14,20
<b>whole (10)</b> 19:8;24:8;28:22; 52:7;58:21;66:15; 69:18;85:8,23;90:7	<b>work (16)</b> 12:15;22:8;23:18; 32:20;35:19;37:23; 54:9;56:17,19;61:7; 62:4,21;76:12;89:11; 97:21;100:25	<b>1</b>	<b>4001c (1)</b> 53:17
<b>whomever (1)</b> 31:17	<b>worked (4)</b> 14:20;64:19,19,20		
<b>who's (6)</b> 10:1;18:1,12;63:17; 70:15;93:12	<b>working (6)</b> 10:5;24:5,9;55:7; 78:13;84:7	<b>10:30 (1)</b> 8:16	<b>500,000-dollar (1)</b> 42:18
<b>Williams (2)</b> 46:7;83:20	<b>works (1)</b> 18:13	<b>10:38 (1)</b> 5:1	<b>501 (1)</b> 38:4
<b>willing (5)</b> 9:22;35:18;40:7; 73:20;89:12	<b>world (5)</b> 40:11,12,15;54:18, 19	<b>1121d2A (1)</b> 77:18	
<b>willingness (3)</b> 63:1;84:4,5	<b>worried (3)</b> 19:7;96:22,23	<b>1123 (1)</b> 77:14	<b>7</b>
<b>win (1)</b> 65:21	<b>worry (2)</b> 19:18,19	<b>12 (1)</b> 5:4	<b>7th (1)</b> 64:24
<b>windmills (1)</b> 53:1	<b>worst (1)</b> 17:23	<b>12:28 (1)</b> 102:5	
<b>window (3)</b> 81:4,5,6	<b>worth (1)</b> 71:19	<b>14 (1)</b> 11:8	<b>8</b>
<b>Wisconsin (1)</b> 68:11	<b>writing (1)</b> 49:16	<b>150 (1)</b> 74:25	
	<b>written (3)</b> 47:18;48:1;67:16	<b>168 (1)</b> 47:24	<b>842 (1)</b> 98:23
	<b>wrong (3)</b> 26:10;32:19;50:10	<b>168-mile-an-hour (1)</b> 47:13	
		<b>18 (1)</b> 26:3	
		<b>1985 (1)</b> 47:18	
		<b>1st (3)</b> 47:9,18;77:16	
		<b>2</b>	
		<b>2 (1)</b> 84:10	